

Substantive Highway Scheme Bid Evaluation Formula

Cost/Benefit

| Scheme Name | Total Cost of Scheme (£000s) | Contribution (from CATG or other party) (£000s) | Cost to Wiltshire Council (£000s) | Benefit Score (from Scheme Assessment Framework) | Cost to Benefit Ratio |
|------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | A | B | A-B | 0-90 | A-B/Benefit Score |
| Example Scheme X | 60 | 30 | 30 | 50 | 0.60 |
| Example Scheme Y | 60 | 0 | 60 | 50 | 1.20 |

Deliverability

0 = no risk
 1 = low risk
 2 = medium risk
 3 = high risk

| | | |
|----------------------|---|-----------------|
| Technical | From a technical standpoint, what is the level of confidence in our ability to implement the proposal? Are there any likely departures from standards involved in developing or implementing the proposal? Is there sufficient land to implement a scheme that meets standards? | x |
| Legal/Procedural | Are there any statutory procedures that are likely to prove a barrier to delivery? What is the level of confidence that they can be dealt with? | x |
| Operational | Are there any aspects of the proposals which would result in the Council incurring significant additional costs over its projected life? | x |
| Financial: | Evidence from past transport projects illustrates that there is a systematic tendency for project appraisers to be overly optimistic when estimating costs. This assessment should appraise the likelihood of the project being delivered within the estimated cost. | x |
| Public | Has the proposal been made public? If so, how acceptable is the proposal? Are there likely to be objections from particular sections of the community or from particular areas? | x |
| Deliverability Score | | $\sum x \div 5$ |

Overall Score = Cost/Benefit x Deliverability