

Appendix 3

APPENDIX 3

<p>Jill R Brown</p>	<p>7 St. Nicholas Road Salisbury SP1 2SN</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly objects to proposals for double yellow lines all the way along St. Nicholas Road with no consideration given to residents of nos. 7, 9, 11 & 18 to park o/s their homes. If parking bays allocated would agree with proposal. 2. Essential for her to have a parking space o/s house as she is disabled and uses an electric wheelchair. She is driven around by her friends and carers who have to carry equipment to her vehicle. She can not walk as far as either end of the proposed double yellow lines and needs to be collected from front gate.
<p>Mrs. Josephine Hall</p>	<p>2 School Lane Tarrant Gunville Blandford Dorset DT11 8JL</p>	<p>Objects most strongly for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Residents where she lives are fully entitled to park o/s her house, so why shouldn't she be allowed to park in their road, 2. Cannot see the economic sense of making her drive from the south of the City to use the park and ride and then catch a bus back in to Salisbury etc.... 3. If going ahead with proposals are we going to commit to a park and ride on the Blandford Road. If so, get park and ride going before this ridiculous proposal. 4. Get suitable alternatives in place before bringing in residents only parking. All we will do is move cars to other streets, causing other problems.
<p>Mrs. B Lloyd-Jones</p>	<p>School Cottage School Lane Tarrant Gunville Dorset DT11 8JJ</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lives in Blandford and works in Salisbury. Finds it preferable to park in Harnham and walk in to town rather than get stuck in traffic. Until get park & ride on our side of town would it be possible to delay ban on parking in Harnham. 2. Parks for 5 hours and tries not park o/s anyone's house. 3. Finds the cost of £ 2.60 for parking in central car park expensive.
<p>Hans-Dieter Scholz</p>	<p>12 St. Nicholas Rd Salisbury SP1 2SN</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Basically supportive of ideas contained in proposal. 2. Absolutely no need or justification not to provide for some residents parking in all of St. Nicholas Road.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Would create a ludicrous shortage of parking spaces blighting the whole area. 4. Believes proposals are part of a wider aim to remove traffic signals over bridge.
R H Green	20 Ayleswade Road Salisbury SP2 8DR	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It would not be reasonable to expect your authority to provide spaces for parking or for a loading bay alongside No. 18 St. Nicholas Road. The road at this point is too narrow to allow this. This leaves two alternatives for residents of nos. 11a, 11b, 18 and 7 on the bridge: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stop the proposed yellow lines just short of no. 7 and leaves things as at present; or • Use a single yellow line from no. 7 to the end of the road. This might allow the residents on the bridge to park legally to load and unload before parking in Ayleswade Road. 2. Provide residents parking alongside no. 18 St. Nicholas Road. 3. Yellow lines over bridge will increase speed.
Barrie Hodson Regional Policy Manager for FTA	Suite 206 Haywood House Nth Dumfries Place Cardiff CF10 3GA	Have no objections.
G J Good District Manager	Wilts & Dorset Bus Company Ltd Bus Station 8 Endless Street Salisbury SP1 1DW	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wholeheartedly support the proposals and is confident it will address the present parking problems in St. Nicholas Road that obstruct Service 55. 2. Would like to see traffic signals on bridge more responsive to buses. 3. If both above implemented would abandon any proposal to re-route Service 55 along Newbridge Road.
Andy Roberts	12A St. Nicholas Rd Salisbury SP1 2SN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Basically supportive of ideas contained in proposal. 2. Absolutely no need or justification not to provide some residents parking in all of St. Nicholas Road. 3. Would create a ludicrous shortage of residents parking spaces blighting the whole area. 4. Believes proposals are part of a wider aim to remove traffic signals over bridge.

Mr Charles Romilly	2 de Vaux Place Salisbury Wiltshire SP1 2SJ	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lack of parking in St. Nicholas Road may force people to park in de Vaux Place leading to insufficient spaces for all. 2. A sign at the junction of de Vaux Place and St. Nicholas Road to deter rat running through Close. 3. 2 hours free parking to all comers is not appropriate and will continue to encourage local people to drive through the Close. 4. Many of the garages in de Vaux Place are no longer used (ours inc.) and makes sense for residents to park o/s. 5. Biggest concern is impact of signing. Discreet signs would be preferred.
Marianne Hamley	12A St. Nicholas Rd Salisbury SP1 2SN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Basically supportive of ideas contained in proposal. 2. Absolutely no need or justification not to provide some residents parking in all of St. Nicholas Road. 3. Would create a ludicrous shortage of residents parking spaces blighting the whole area. 4. Believes proposals are part of a wider aim to remove traffic signals over bridge.
Adrian Burrows	12A St. Nicholas Rd Salisbury SP1 2SN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Basically supportive of ideas contained in proposal. 2. Absolutely no need or justification not to provide some residents parking in all of St. Nicholas Road. 3. Would create a ludicrous shortage of residents parking spaces blighting the whole area. 4. Believes proposals are part of a wider aim to remove traffic signals over bridge.
Mr R Key	4 Old Street Salisbury SP2 8JL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Old Street is not public highway and is, therefore, not included in the scheme proposals. This could lead to increased parking in Old Street to evade the proposed restrictions.