REPORT TO COUNCIL		Report No. 9
Date of Meeting	26 February 2008	
Title of Report	Annual Prudential Indicators Statement	t
Portfolio	Leader's Responsibilities	
Link to Corporate Priorities	All	
Key Decision	Yes	
Executive Workplan Ref	A17	
Public Report	Yes	

Summary of Report

To propose the Council's prudential indicators for, 2008/09 as part of the 2008/09 Budget Setting process. The movement to Unitary Council in 2009-10 avoids the normal 3 year plan. This report will need to be submitted for the approval of the Council.

Officer Recommendations

That the Executive:

Agree to recommend to Council the prudential indicators;

Other than those implications agreed with the relevant Officers and referred to below, there are no other implications associated with this report.

Financial Implications	Legal Implications	Community & Environmental Implications	Human Resources Implications	Equality & Diversity Implications			
Yes	Yes	None	None	None			

Contact Officer(s)	Stuart McGregor 01249 706219	Head of Finance & Resources smcgregor@northwilts.gov.uk
-----------------------	---------------------------------	---

1. Background

- 1.1 The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities, hereafter referred to as the Code, is a statutory requirement laid down in the Local Authorities (Capital Finance & Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 & enacted in Part 1 of the Local Government Act 2003.
- 1.2 The Code requires all Councils to consider and set "prudential indicators", hereafter referred to as the indicators, as part of their budget process. The indicators are set out in detail in section 2.
- 1.3 The indicators link to the approval of the Council's capital and revenue budget for 2008/09 and the Medium Term Financial Plan. The indicators also set an integrated framework for the Council's treasury management activities and guide the Treasury Management Strategy.
- 1.4 The Code is designed to ensure budgetary plans are affordable, prudent, sustainable & practical. They aim to improve value for money, ensure stewardship of assets & demonstrate service delivery of Council priorities.
- 1.5 The Prudential Indicators are a key element in the corporate goal of ensuring the Council is a well-managed local authority.
- 1.6 There is a requirement to seek approval from full council for these Prudential Indicators. This report if approved by the Executive would then form part of the Annual Budget Pack for approval by full council on 26th February 2008.

2. The Indicators

1	The Estimate of Capital Expenditure	This shows the planned level of capital expenditure over the planning period i.e. 2008-2009
2	Not used at present	
3	Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream	This helps to evaluate the impact of funding the capital programme on revenue by stating the debt as a percentage of the Council's income.
4	Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)	This is calculated from the Council's actual and forecast balance sheet. It is a measure of the Authority's underlying need to borrow.
5	Authorised Limit for External Debt	The limit is currently set at £4 million and cannot be exceeded without Council approval.
6	Operational Boundary for External Debt	The limit is currently set at £2 million and represents the expected maximum borrowing to cover short-term cash flow requirements.
7	Incremental Impact of Capital Expenditure Plans	This indicator shows the opportunity cost of the capital programme in terms of lost interest.

8	Upper Limit for Fixed Interest Exposure	This is the maximum percentage of the total borrowing that can be at fixed rate.
9, 10	Upper Limit for Variable Rate Exposure	This is the maximum percentage of the total borrowing that can be at variable rate.
11	Upper Limit for Total Principal Sums invested for over 364 (per maturity date)	This indicator sets the maximum percentage of Council investment funds that can be lent for 1 year or more.
12	Maturity structure of new fixed rate borrowing during 2008-09	This indicator shows the borrowing profile upper and lower limits (%) by time period e.g. < 12 months, 12 – 24 months, 24 months – 5 years, 5 – 10 years & 10+ years.

3. Prudential Indicators for 2005/06 to 2008/09

PI 1 Estimate of Capital Expenditure

Description	2005/06 Actual £000's	2006/07 Actual £000's	2007/08 Projected £000's	2008/09 Budget £000's
Capital Programme & Bids	6,727	4476	6,471	9,280
Equipment Fund	552	751	718	500
Total	7,279	5,227	7,189	9,780

These figures are based on the current projection for the 2008/9 budget and include capital spending financed from the Equipment fund. For 2008/09 the amount shown is the total of the base programme, plus carried forward expenditure from previous years. With the approach of Unitary status the Capital Programme will be subsumed.

PI 2 N/A

PI 3 Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

Description	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Actual	2007/08 Budget	2008/09 Budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Financing Costs	-1,039	-889	-1,830	-1,284
Net Revenue Stream	12,662	14,554	14,706	15,179
Ratio	-8.2%	-6.1%	-12.4%	-8.5%

This indicator reflects the costs of all borrowing, less the interest gained on investments as a percentage of the net revenue stream. The net revenue stream is defined as the finance arising from Government Grants & local taxpayers. (RSG, Council Tax, NNDR)

Whilst the Council is nearly debt-free & has negative financing costs i.e. investment interest exceeds other financing costs, the ratio is negative. Should a Council enter into borrowing and the financing costs begin to exceed the investment returns then the ratio would become positive.

If a decision on financing options is effected, this indicator would change.

PI 4 Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

Description	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
	Actual	Projected	Budget	Budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)	-185	229	Nil	Nil

This indicator calculates the Council's underlying need to borrow for capital purposes. There is no requirement in 2008/09 to borrow to fund the Capital Programme proposed.

PI 5 Authorised Limit for External Debt

Description	2005/06 Actual £000's	2006/07 Actual £000's	2007/08 Projected £000's	2008/09 Budget £000's
Borrowing	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Other Long Term Liabilities	9,310	9,296	9,076	8,842
Total	13,310	13,296	13,076	12,842

The "Other Long Term Liabilities" figure is the creditor arising from the PFI scheme for Monkton Park Offices

If a decision on financing options is effected, this indicator will change.

PI 6 Operational Boundary for External Debt

Description	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
	Actual	Actual	Projected	Budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Borrowing (Short Term)	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Other Long Term Liabilities	9,310	9,296	9,076	8,842
Total	11,310	11,296	11,076	10,842

In 2007/08 to date, borrowing has taken place on one occasion to meet a short term cash flow deficit. The Council's positive cash holding should usually avoid this course of action.

The total authorised limit is made up of external borrowing and 'long term liabilities' for the Monkton Park PFI offices. The operational boundary is based on officers' expectations of the maximum level for external debt, allowing for expected borrowing to cover short term cash flow variations.

The borrowing elements of the authorised limit and operational boundary for external debt will cover the Council's need to borrow for cash flow purposes. Both indicators reflect the Section 151 Officer's estimate of the most likely, prudent but not worst case scenario, with the authorised limit including a factor of additional headroom to allow for unusual cash movements.

PI 7 Incremental Impact of Capital Expenditure Plans

Description	2005/06 Actual £000's	2006/07 Actual £000's	2007/08 Projected £000's	2008/09 Budget £000's
Increase in Council Tax (per band D property)				
Incremental effect				
Cumulative effect				

There is no detrimental impact on council tax in funding the proposed capital programme through existing capital reserves.

If a decision on financing options is effected, this indicator will change.

Treasury Indicators

The Council is also required to set indicators in relation to its treasury activities for the coming year. Together with the treasury management strategy, these will determine the framework for the treasury function.

The Council adopted the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in January 2004.

PI 8 Upper Limit for Fixed Interest Exposure

Description	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
	Actual	Actual	Projected	Budget
	%	%	%	%
Fixed Interest Exposure Limit	100	100	100	100

Fixed rate borrowing contributes to reducing the potential risk from interest rate fluctuations thus, increasing financial certainty. This indicator is the maximum percentage of the total borrowing that can be at fixed interest.

PI 9,10 Upper Limit for Variable Rate Exposure

Description	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
	Actual	Budget	Budget
	%	%	%
Variable Rate Exposure Limit	0	25	25

Having a variable rate limit of 25% of total borrowing gives a degree of flexibility in minimising costs at the time finance is arranged. This allows the Head of Finance and Resources to arrange up to 25% of borrowing on a variable rate basis. This is a continuation of existing Treasury Management Policy.

PI 11 Upper Limit for Total Principal Sums invested for over 364 (per maturity date)

Description	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
	Actual	Budget	Budget
	%	%	%
Upper Limit for Total Principal Sums invested for over 364 (per maturity date)	33	40	40

It is expected that the majority of lending by the Council's officers will be for periods of up to 6 months. This indicator allows for a portion of longer-term lending i.e. more than 1 year, which may be needed to achieve optimum treasury performance.

PI 12 Maturity Structure of new fixed rate borrowing during 2008/09

Description	Upper Limit %	Lower Limit %
Under 12 months	10	0
12 months and within 24 months	0	0
24 months and within 5 years	0	0
5 years and within 10 years	0	0
10 years and above	100	0

During 2008/09 any operational borrowing will be for short periods to meet cash flow changes and will be undertaken at fixed rates.

The Council borrowed £4,000,000 in 2006-07 to finance its capital programme in 2007-08. This loan for this purpose was Lender Option / Borrower Option (LOBO that is for 60 years with a review after 5 years. The first review will be 2012.

4. Financial Implications

- 4.1 The Council, post the disposal of its housing stock in 1995, has historically been debtfree until 2006-07. It had been able to finance its capital programme from a combination of its continuing capital receipts, revenue & capital grants coupled with a depletion of it capital balance over a number of years.
- 4.2 The interest generated from the investment of these capital balances is an essential component to the balancing the Council's Budget. Interest from investments in 2007-08 is forecast to contribute in excess of £2.40 million to the Council, the actual interest earned in 2006-07 was £1.5 million. (circa 9% of the net budget). Due to rapidly changing conditions in the Financial Markets and a planned depletion of the balances in 2007-08, it is not anticipated that this level will be repeated in 2008-09.

5. Legal Implications

- 5.1 The Prudential Code requires that the same Members' decision making group that takes the decision on the authority's budget the full Council must set and revise the Prudential Indicators.
- 5.2 The setting and revision of Prudential Indicators is a continuous process rather than a one-off event. The authority may revise the indicators at any time.

Appendices:	None.
Background documents Used in the preparation of this Report:	 The Code of Practice on Treasury Management in the Public Services The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities [CIPFA]

Previous Decisions Connected with this Report

Report	Committee & Date	Minute Reference