

Q2 Safeguarding Exception Dataset Report – October 2021

Note: Child in Need statutory figures for 2020-21 have been published by the DfE and have been updated in the “Comparator” columns below. Note the Children Looked After Statutory Return is not yet published so figures provided are last year’s published CLA results for 2019-20 and can be identified in italics/grey.

			MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
			106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
			21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs
EARLY HELP	Early Support Assessments recommended by MASH	Number	91	143	169	127	86	222					403	435												
EARLY HELP	Active Early Support Assessments	snapshot	570	634	641	657	529	561					641	561												
CONTACTS	1. No. of contacts	number	1293	1699	1668	1645	1366	1800	3536	4392	4158	4309	4660	4811	14016	11799	13288	16712	16395	3600	4500					
CONTACTS	1. Rate of contacts per 10,000 under 18	rate	1463	1922	1887	1857	1542	2032	1338	1656	1568	1625	1757	1811	1348	1134	1265	1583	1546	1362	1703					
CONVERSION	Conversion: Completed Contacts to Referrals Started (qtrly)	% snapshot	22%	18%	15%	20%	21%	19%	19%	17%	18%	20%	18%	20%	28%	32%	34%	24%	19%							
REFERRALS	No. of social care referrals in period	number	288	313	250	324	282	335	684	754	750	860	851	941	3976	3739	4539	4051	3034	807	1175					
REFERRALS	Rate of referrals per 10,000 under 18	rate	326	354	283	366	318	378	259	284	283	324	321	355	382	359	432	384	287	305	445	286	494	419	407	462
REFERRALS	2. % referrals w/in 12 months of prev. referral at end of period	% snapshot	15.4%	15.1%	14.5%	14.6%	14.4%	14.7%	20.1%	18.4%	17.9%	15.8%	14.5%	14.7%	-	-	14.5%	21.2%	15.8%	17.0%	19.0%	16.0%	22.7%	22.7%	22.8%	21.0%
SUPPORT OPEN	3. No. of support cases open at end of period	snapshot	594	627	638	607	589	589	362	512	539	583	638	589	-	422	766	467	583	375	575					
SUPPORT OPEN	No. of Support assessments completed	number	147	140	173	134	148	108	175	382	372	453	460	390	0	262	1324	1899	1382	384	564					
SUPPORT OPEN	% Support assessments completed within 25 days	%	84%	90%	93%	95%	90%	88%	86%	90%	84%	79%	93%	95%	-	31%	40%	81%	84%	85%	100%					
SUPPORT VISITS	Total number of Support visits (actual, missing or overdue)	number	1182	1041	1144	1050	979	961	1993	2714	2728	3243	3367	2990	0	0	0	6829 (6m)	10678							
SUPPORT VISITS	No. of Support visits on time	number	1130	995	1086	971	911	874	1815	2487	2527	3089	3211	2756	0	0	0	6006 (6m)	9918							
SUPPORT VISITS	% all Support visits on time	%	96%	96%	95%	92%	93%	91%	91%	92%	93%	95%	95%	92%	-	-	-	88% (6m)	93%	80%	100%					
SUPPORT VISITS	No. of children	number	493	486	497	467	488	437	821	1121	1185	1319	1476	1392	0	0	0	2978 (6m)	4446							
NARRATIVE:																										
<p>Reds of concern: None</p> <p>Reds on watching brief:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Indicator 1 (volume and rate per 10k of Contacts) – September is regularly a high volume month with schools returning and this Sept was no different with volumes peaking at 1800. As a whole, Q2 was higher than previous Q2’s going back as far as 2016-17 and this was despite including August which is usually a quieter month. Q3 by comparison is usually our quietest quarter of the year and we expect to see lower volumes Oct-Dec. If we see an increase in Q3 volumes this may indicate we are seeing the beginnings of increased demand and the appearance of latent demand due to the longer term impacts of covid on vulnerable children and families. So far, however, this has not translated to an increase in referrals beyond our normal expected range (we remain at the lower end). <p>Ambers of concern: None</p> <p>Ambers on watching brief:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Indicator 2 (repeat referrals) – this is measured on a rolling 12 month basis (using DfE methodology) so monthly variability is harder to see. A review of actual volumes month by month shows a small increase in Q2 above Q1 – which smooths out to a 0.2% increase on a rolling 12-month basis. This brings us closer to our lower expected range and remains on watching brief. Monitoring of actual volumes continues alongside the rolling 12 month measure through the monthly ChAT Report (Children’s services Analysis Tool) and in particular repeat referrals for children under 1 are identified through this process and reviewed.Indicator 3 (Family Keyworker Support caseload) – This indicator was on watching brief during Q1 and, positively, caseload numbers have reduced in Q2 to slightly outside our top expected range. To remain on watching brief for Q3. <p>Other exceptions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Support assessment and visit timeliness indicators both remain within range.A new indicator “percentage of recommended Early Support Assessments (ESAs) that are registered” will be added to the dataset going forward as will the “rate of completed case supervisions” by service area.																										
CIN	4. No. of children in need (SASS only)	number	1046	1014	967	983	954	1018	1020	1006	1057	1015	967	1018	1082	1281	1398	1070	1015	1100	1350					
CIN	Rate of children in need (SASS only)	rate	98.6	95.6	91.2	92.5	89.8	95.8	97	95	100	96	91	96	104	123	133	101	96	104	128					
CIN	4. No. of children in need (excl CLA & CP)	snapshot	1799	1729	1720	1743	1727	1783	1673	1674	1736	1730	1720	1783	1793	1926	2096	1703	1730	1820	2009					
CIN	Rate of children in need per 10,000 under 18 (excl CLA & CP)	rate	169.6	163.0	162.2	164.0	162.5	167.8	158.3	157.8	163.7	163.1	162.2	167.8	172	185	199	161	163	172	190					
CIN	4. No. of children in need at end of period (ALL)	snapshot	2540	2481	2486	2516	2532	2576	2463	2451	2444	2472	2486	2576	3006	2754	2970	2551	2472	2600	2870					
CIN	Rate of children in need per 10,000 under 18 (ALL)	rate	239.5	233.9	234.4	236.7	238.3	242.4	233	231	234	233	234.4	242.4	257	262	281	242	233.0	246	272	231	321	275	273	300

			MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
Population -->			106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
			21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs
CIN VISITS	Total number of CIN visits (actual, missing or overdue - SASS only)	number	1405	1319	1344	1227	1413	1393	3856	3943	4237	4411	4068	4033	0	0	0	7701 (6m)	16447							
CIN VISITS	No. of CIN visits on time (SASS Teams only)	number	1149	1075	1132	974	1075	1076	2906	3085	3538	3757	3356	3125	0	0	0	4945 (6m)	13286							
CIN VISITS	5. % all CIN visits on time (SASS Teams only)	%	82%	82%	84%	79%	76%	77%	75%	78%	84%	85%	82%	77%	0%	0%	0%	64% (6m)	81%	80%	100%					
CIN VISITS	No. of CIN children visited	number	874	892	828	787	888	853	2393	2412	2609	2660	2594	2528	0	0	0	4996 (6m)	10074							
SGL A/MENTS	No. of single assessments completed (SASS only)	number	278	291	261	299	264	290	762	814	827	913	830	853					3316							
SGL A/MENTS	No. of single assessments completed (all)	number	314	346	332	342	333	331	907	966	960	1056	992	1006	5016	4283	4448	5016	3869	860	1360					
SGL A/MENTS	Rate of single assessments completed per 10,000 (all)	rate	355	391	376	386	376	374	343	364	362	398	374	379	482	412	439	475	365	325	515	364	518	417	458	423
SA TIMELINESS	6. % completed within 45 days or less	%	92%	94%	90%	83%	84%	82%	88%	90%	86%	86%	92%	83%	0%	88%	80%	78%	90%	90%	95%	90%	88%	87%	88%	92%
SA OUTCOME	NEW No. of Single Assessments with the Outcome NFA	number	116	163	129	168	163	182	310	372	379	408	408	513					1469							
SA OUTCOME	NEW % of Single Assessments with the Outcome NFA	%	37%	47%	39%	49%	49%	55%	34%	39%	39%	39%	41%	51%					38%							
SA REPEAT	NEW No. of Single Assessments - Refreshed	number	82	61	78	67	66	66	212	235	245	252	221	199					944							
SA REPEAT	NEW %. of Single Assessments - Refreshed	%	26%	18%	23%	20%	20%	20%	23%	24%	26%	24%	22%	20%					24%							
SA REPEAT	NEW No. of Single Assessments - Repeat within less than 6 months	number	30	20	26	33	33	45	110	80	80	84	76	111					354							
SA REPEAT	NEW %. of Single Assessments - Repeat within less than 6 months	%	10%	6%	8%	10%	10%	14%	12%	8%	8%	8%	8%	11%					9%							
SA REPEAT	NEW No. of Single Assessments with 5 or more factors identified (proxy for acuity)	number	74	51	64	40	47	42	114	102	115	180	189	129					511							
SA REPEAT	NEW %. of Single Assessments with 5 or more factors identified (proxy for acuity)	%	24%	15%	19%	12%	14%	13%	13%	11%	12%	17%	19%	13%					13%							

NARRATIVE:

Reds of concern: None

Reds on watching brief:

- Indicator 6 (Single Assessments completed within 45 days) – performance has slipped to 83% in Q2 which is outside our lowest expected range. However, a check of performance as of 28 Oct already shows an improvement to 88%. Exception reporting of Q2 has shown some impact on timeliness from families with covid delaying full access in order to conclude assessments. Regarding other services, performance in Emerald has suffered significantly over the summer, a period of high staff absence due to annual leave and sickness and the departure of the previous team leader. The incoming team leader has given this immediate attention upon their arrival and an uplift in assessment timelessness is expected and will be oversighted. For our CYPDT service, single assessments are hovering at the 80% completed within time scales; two team members are facing difficulties between the adult and children’s legislation, and 1 new AYSE that has recently joined the team. Over recent weeks there has been a considerable increase in the request for carers assessments for cases that do not meet the threshold for the disability service, which will require a single assessment to be opened (10-12 known cases).

Ambers of concern: None

Ambers on watching brief:

- Indicator 4 (number of Children in Need – all groupings) – for the first time since numbers of children in need dropped at the beginning of the pandemic all CIN groupings (i.e., SASS Team only, excluding and including CLA and Care Leavers) have shown an increase in Q2. However, volumes remain much lower than our lowest expected range and lower than our annual figures since 2017. As with our increase in Contacts, this will remain on watching brief.
- Indicator 5 (CIN visit timeliness) – SASS performance has dipped slightly out of expected range in Q2. Exception reporting has confirmed that families have been seen but there has been a dip in recording performance. It is recognised that the summer holiday period has impacted on this and discussions will be held about how to avoid this in future. The bulk of CIN activity is undertaken by SASS however other service areas also manage CIN casework. Future quarterly exception reporting will include evaluation of other services performance where relevant.

Other exceptions: None

STRATEGY DISCUSSIONS	Rate per 10,000 strategy discussions	rate	126	137	100	140	120	105	79	103	100	135	121	122	166	155	157	100	104	130	170					
SECTION 47s	No. S47 enquiries started	number	81	92	75	101	80	77	183	248	238	291	248	258	1520	1542	1301	1152	952	265	370					
SECTION 47s	8. Rate per 10,000 S47 enquiries started	rate	92	104	85	114	90	87	69	94	90	110	94	97	146	148	124	109	90	100	140	79	144	126	133	127
SECTION 47s	9. % S47 completed in 5 working days	%	85%	91%	82%	91%	84%	85%	84%	87%	85%	88%	86%	86%					86%	90%	100%					
ICPC	No. children subject to initial child protection conference	number	27	32	45	37	48	19	80	95	83	137	104	104	603	483	566	486	395							
ICPC	10. Rate per 10,000 initial child protection conferences	rate	31	36	51	42	54	21	30	36	31	52	39	39	58	46	55	46	37	44	64	39	59	53	59	44
ICPC TIMELINESS	% within 15 days of strategy discussion	% snapshot	93%	100%	89%	95%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	96%	93%	98%	50%	90%	84%	93%	97%	95%	100%	98%	83%	86%	84%	88%

			Population -->	MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
				106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
				21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs	
ICPC TIMELINESS	% within 16-20 days of strategy discussion	% snapshot	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	1%	5%	2%	16%	7%	11%	5%	2%	0%	5%	0.0%	7.8%	6.6%	7.8%	8%	
ICPC TIMELINESS	% over 21 days of strategy discussion	% snapshot	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	35%	3%	4%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0.0%	9.1%	7.8%	6.9%	11%	
ICPC TO CPP	% conferenced and went CP Plan	%	89%	94%	96%	84%	92%	100%	86%	92%	93%	93%	93%	90%	90%	89%	92%	95%	94%	87%	90%	88%	88%	87%	88%	89%	
RCPC	% RCPCs within timescales	%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%	96%	99.1%	100%	99.8%	100%	99.6%	98.2%	-	-	-	96.3%	99.7%	90%	100%	100%	93%	91%	90%	97%	

NARRATIVE:

Reds of concern: None

Reds on watching brief: None

Ambers of concern: None

Ambers on watching brief:

- Indicator 8 (Rate of S47s started) – Our rate remains low compared to our comparators but this remains compatible with our rate of referrals. Some small increase in volume has been seen in Q2. To remain on watching brief.
- Indicator 9 (% S47s within 5 working days) – performance has remained at 86% for the second quarter in a row and is slightly below our expected range. Note that the methodology for measuring timeliness was changes early in Q2 – previously the clock stopped at the moment the social worker completed their S47 whereas now we stop counting when the manager signs the S47 off as authorised. Despite this elongating, it is promising that performance didn’t dip during Q2, suggesting an underlying improvement if we were to measure the ‘old’ way. An audit of S47’s completed out of timescale in Q1 was undertaken which revealed some system (liquid logic) user improvement being necessary as well as delay with police investigations. Further audit activity has taken place with police colleagues through Q2 and actions are being put into place to prevent impact moving forward. Indicator 10 (rate of Initial CP Conferences) – our rate per 10,000 for Q1 and Q2 is up slightly on previous quarters which brings us more in line with those local authorities judged as outstanding.

Other exceptions:

- Both our Initial and our Review CP Conference timeliness performance remains consistently strong and benchmarks stronger than all our comparators (England, south west region, statistical neighbours and outstanding LAs).

CP No./RATE	11. No. with CP plan at end of period	snapshot	313	323	332	338	371	356	342	315	270	318	332	356	397	360	400	381	312	381	425					
CP No./RATE	11. CP plan rate per 10,000	rate	30	30	31	32	35	33	32	30	28	30	31	32	38	35	38	36	29	36	40	29	41	37	39	31
CPP START	No. becoming subject to CP plan during the year	number	24	30	43	31	44	19	78	90	77	127	97	94	541	430	519	460	372	114	133					
CPP START	Rate per 10,000 becoming subject to a CP plan during the year	rate	27	34	49	35	50	21	30	34	29	48	37	35	52	41	50	44	35	43	50	35	53	47	53	40
CPP END	12. No. ceasing to be subject to CP plan during the year	number	21	21	34	23	13	32	105	115	121	87	74	68	525	466	489	509	428	105	153					
CPP END	12. Ceasing to be subject to CP plan during the year rate per 10,000	rate	24	24	38	26	15	36	40	43	46	33	28	26	51	45	47	48	40	40	58	40	54	48	51	41
REPEAT CPP (of new)	No. of children subject of CP Plan for second or subsequent time ("Repeat Ever")	number	7	12	3	7	7	6	11	25	19	20	22	20	0	0	131	84	77							
REPEAT CPP (of new)	13. % children subject of CP Plan for second or subsequent time (of new) ("%" Repeat Ever")	%	29%	40%	7%	23%	16%	32%	14%	28%	25%	16%	23%	21%	0%	0%	25%	18%	21%	17%	22%	21%	22%	25%	25%	19%
REPEAT CPP IN 2YRs (of new)	No. of children subject of CP Plan within 2 years of last plan (not cumul)	number	2	5	0	6	7	2	8	13	13	8	7	15	52	50	74	41	42							
REPEAT CPP IN 2YRs (of new)	14. % children subject of CP Plan within 2 years of last plan (of new)	%	8%	17%	0%	19%	16%	11%	10.3%	14.4%	16.9%	6.3%	7.2%	16.0%	10%	12%	14%	9%	11%	5%	9%					
CPP END 3 MONTHS	No. CPP plans ended under 3 months	number	1	6	7	2	0	6	22	21	6	9	14	8	136	102	108	114	58							
CPP END 3 MONTHS	% CPP plans ended under 3 months	%	5%	29%	21%	9%	0%	19%	21%	18%	5%	10%	19%	12%	26%	22%	22%	22%	14%	15%	18%	14%	17%	19%	20%	17%
CPP END OVER 2 YRS	No. children who ceased CP Plan of over 2 years	number	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	4	6	1	0	14	16	26	17	19							
CPP END OVER 2 YRS	% children who ceased CP Plan of over 2 years	%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.0%	8%	3%	7%	1%	0%	2.7%	3.4%	5.3%	3.3%	4.4%	0%	4%	4.4%	3.7%	4.8%	4.2%	4.4%
CP VISITS	No. CP visits held within 10 working days (SASS teams only)	number	727	696	842	732	806	881	2643	2254	2182	2171	2265	2419	0	0	0	5132 (6m)	9250							
CP VISITS	No. of late CP visits or visits overdue (SASS teams only)	number	58	57	53	99	107	96	169	205	113	106	168	302	0	0	0	619 (6m)	593							
CP VISITS	15. % CP visits in time (SASS teams only)	%	93%	92%	94%	88%	88%	90%	94%	92%	95%	95%	93%	89%	-	-	-	89% (6m)	94%	90%	95%					
CP VISITS	No. of CP children seen alone in reporting period	number	132	174	211	210	201	304	481	509	431	466	517	715	0	0	0	1274 (6m)	1887							
CP VISITS	No. of CP children visited	number	307	413	518	486	535	578	1046	969	850	897	1238	1599	0	0	0	2155 (6m)	3762							

			MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
			106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
			21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs
EPO	No. of Emergency Protection Orders (children) (L2) cumul	number	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	4	4	4	2	0	4	5	5	1	38	0	5					
PPO	No. of Police Protections order (children) (L1) cumul	number	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	4	8	9	3	0	14	19	3	4	65	0	10					
MHA	No. detentions of CYP where S136 of Mental Health Act is used	number	-	-	2	-	-	3	1	1	0	3	2	3	0	0	0	7	6	0	6					

NARRATIVE:

Reds of concern:

- Indicator 12 (CP Plans ending) – Since Q4 last year our numbers of children coming off a CP Plan have declined steadily (although note that no children in Q2 that had their CP ended had been on a plan for longer than 2 years). Service Managers review in supervision with Team Managers each month any children subject to a CP plan for 12-18 months to ensure timely progression and step up/down. The Conference and Reviewing Service Operational Lead also provides a quarterly report to POG including any areas of child protection work deemed to require improvement, which managers and the PSW pick up and take action as necessary.

Reds on watching brief:

- Indicator 14 (repeat CP Plan within 2 years of last) – as reported in previous quarterly reports, this monthly cohort is very small so any changes in numbers will produce a significant variance in the percentage rate reported. When looking at actual volumes, we see that 15 children had a CP Plan opened in Q2 when they had had one previously within the last two years. The Conference & Reviewing Service have oversight of CP cases and review repeat CP Plans quarterly and any case learning is taken back through the Performance and Outcomes Group. An ‘Anomalies’ report is also shared with managers each month which includes a review of all repeat CPs for neglect. Further assurance is given in that our new “Repeat CP Ever” measure performs strongly being better than England average, Stat Neighbours and the South West region.

Ambers of concern: None

Ambers on watching brief:

- Indicator 11 (number and rate of children subject of a CP Plan) – numbers have been slowly but steadily rising since Q3 last year. However, this is being driven by the slowdown in CP cases closing rather than an actual increase in volume (the rate of new CP starts remains lower than expected). This is being regularly watched in anticipation of increased demand (new CP plans) as the longer term impacts of covid are expected to take effect. It is relevant to note that repeat CP plans is a focus which may lead to some children remain subject to a CP plan for slightly longer to avoid a repeat situation; this would be a strategy by design and therefore appropriate – this is not yet reflected in closure duration indicators as they will still be open.

Other exceptions:

- Indicator 13 (repeat CP ever) – as mentioned above, our newly reported “Repeat CP Ever” measure performs strongly being better than England average, Stat Neighbours and the South West region.
- Note ‘Child Seen Alone’ measures will be incorporated into the exception report where necessary following a review of our KPI methodology (Ofsted methodology differs from ours – our CP Visit indicator is a more ambitious standard as we visit two weekly rather than the 4 weekly).

Missing - All	MISSING - Count of young people missing	number	27	33	38	31	31	32	99	119	114	92	79	77					424	112	132					
Missing - All	Count of young people with more than 1 missing episode	number	7	12	9	7	11	8	27	45	37	28	27	24					137							
Missing - All	Number Missing Episodes	number	42	67	52	48	54	46	155	220	205	157	161	148					737							
Missing - All	Episodes where Return Interview offered	number	37	43	42	36	23	18	138	208	191	127	122	77					664							
Missing - All	15. % of Missing Episodes where RI offered	%	88%	64%	81%	75%	43%	39%	89%	95%	93%	81%	76%	52%					90%	85%	95%					
Missing - All	- RI accepted	number	31	32	22	24	17	13	94	117	130	90	85	54					431							
Missing - All	- RI not accepted	number	6	11	20	12	6	5	44	91	61	37	37	23					233							
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	84%	74%	52%	67%	74%	72%	68%	56%	68%	71%	70%	70%					65%	60%	75%					
Missing - All	16. NEW % of episodes Offered AND Accepted	%	74%	48%	42%	50%	31%	28%	61%	53%	63%	57%	53%	36%					65%	55%	70%					
Missing - All	- Wilts CLA IN County offered	number	12	8	6	11	11	6	29	33	55	36	26	28					153							
Missing - All	- Wilts CLA IN County accepted	number	10	8	3	9	9	3	21	21	43	29	21	21					114							
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	83%	100%	50%	82%	82%	50%	72%	64%	78%	81%	81%	75%					75%							
Missing - All	- Wilts CLA OOC offered	number	13	14	6	6	8	7	20	34	16	24	33	21					94							
Missing - All	- Wilts CLA OOC accepted	number	11	13	6	6	5	5	17	24	14	16	30	16					71							
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	85%	93%	100%	100%	63%	71%	85%	71%	88%	67%	91%	76%					76%							
Missing - All	- Other LA CLA offered	number	0	4	4	0	0	0	8	38	42	15	8	0					103							
Missing - All	- Other LA CLA accepted	number	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	11	17	7	2	0					36							
Missing - All	% of RI offered that were accepted	%	-	25%	25%	-	-	-	13%	29%	40%	47%	25%	-					35%							
Missing - CLA	Number of Missing Episodes for CLA		28	43	24	22	32	27	63	108	121	95	95	81					387	90	120					

			MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
Population -->			106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
			21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed IN county		13	13	10	12	12	14	29	34	55	46	36	38					164	30	60					
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed OUT of county		13	19	9	9	18	12	23	34	16	24	41	39					97	15	45					
Missing - CLA	- OLA CLA placed in Wiltshire		2	11	5	1	2	1	11	40	50	25	18	4					126							
Missing - CLA	Count of CLA young people missing		15	13	17	14	13	15	41	52	52	45	31	30					190							
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed IN county		10	3	6	8	4	11	18	19	25	24	14	19					86							
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed OUT of county		4	5	8	5	7	3	14	18	10	11	10	8					53							
Missing - CLA	- OLA CLA placed in Wiltshire		1	5	3	1	2	1	9	15	17	10	7	3					51							
Missing - CLA	Count of CLA young people with more than 1 missing episode		6	8	4	5	8	6	13	23	25	23	15	16					84							
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed IN county		2	3	2	2	3	3	5	8	10	14	5	7					37							
Missing - CLA	- Wilts CLA placed OUT of county		3	2	1	3	5	3	6	7	3	4	7	8					20							
Missing - CLA	- OLA CLA placed in Wiltshire		1	3	1	0	0	0	2	8	12	5	3	1					27							
Missing - CLA	CLA Missing episodes as % of ALL Missing episodes		67%	64%	46%	46%	59%	59%	41%	49%	59%	61%	59%	55%					53%	35%	45%					

NARRATIVE:

Reds of concern:

- Indicators 15 and 16 (MRIs offered/accepted [completed]) – as reported in the last quarterly report, a Missing Coordinator administrative vacancy has impacted on capacity to follow up on late or missing recordings both internally and with other local authorities, as reflected in the low offer rate in August and September. Following successful recruitment this post is now recently filled and will have an immediate impact on performance and capacity to support teams in reinforcing practice and quality standards. In addition, it was agreed in September that Performance & Outcomes Groups would add Missing indicators to their regular performance monitoring to improve oversight, drive up timeliness of recording and release capacity for the Missing Coordinator to follow up with other local authorities and spend time on other value added tasks. Scrutiny into Missing performance is currently being undertaken and findings will be reported to the senior management team complete with an action plan for improvement.

Reds on watching brief: None

Ambers of concern: None

Ambers on watching brief: None

Other exceptions:

- It is positive that the number of missing episodes for our young people, including those looked after, continues to decline.

CLA No./RATE	17. No. CLA	snapshot	428	429	434	435	434	437	448	462	438	424	434	437	443	444	462	467	424	448	478					
CLA No./RATE	Rate CLA per 10,000	rate	40.4	40.4	40.9	40.9	40.8	41.1	42.4	43.6	41.3	40.4	40.9	41	42.6	42.7	44.0	44.2	40.4	42.4	45.3	43.0	67.0	56.9	57.0	59.0
CLA STARTS	No. CLA starts	number	20	15	16	7	10	13	31	45	37	23	51	30	175	149	163	178	131							
CLA STARTS	18. Children who started to be looked after – rate per 10,000	rate	23	17	18	8	11	15	12	17	14	9	19	11	17	14	16	17	12	15	20	16	26	22	22	30
CLA CEASE	No. CLA Ceased	number	11	13	6	11	12	8	38	40	61	31	30	31	145	148	149	170	174							
CLA CEASE	19. Children who ceased to be looked after – rate per 10,000	rate	12	15	7	12	14	9	14	15	23	12	11	12	14	14	14	16	16	17	22	17	25	21	22	28
UASC	No. UASC	snapshot	18	16	17	15	20	23	14	20	21	19	17	23	-	-	41	23	19							
UASC	% UASC	%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	-	-	9%	5%	4%			5%	6%		5%	12%
ICO	No. of Interim Care Orders	snapshot	41	40	50	52	58	59	45	59	59	43	50	59	59	49	57	59	41							
ICO	% Interim Care Orders	% snapshot	10%	9%	12%	12%	13%	14%	10%	13%	14%	15%	13%	12%	13%	11%	12%	13%	10%	10%	14%	10%	17%		16%	14%
S20	No. of Section 20 CLA	snapshot	102	109	112	111	105	108	102	105	91	93	112	108	99	99	128	113	93							
S20	% Section 20 CLA	% snapshot	24%	25%	26%	26%	24%	25%	23%	23%	21%	22%	26%	25%	22%	22%	28%	24%	22%	23%	26%	25%	17%		20%	26%
S20	No. Section 20 more than 2 yr	snapshot	-	-	11	-	-	7	5	6	5	7	11	7	-	-	-	-	7							
S20	% of not LTA and Section 20 more than 2 yr	% snapshot	-	-	5%	-	-	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	-	-	-	-	3%							
SGO AND RO	No. leaving care on new Spec Guardianship Order (SGO)	number	5	2	2	3	0	1	7	10	25	14	9	4	21	19	19	20	56	20 (pa)	30 (pa)					
SGO AND RO	% leaving care who were SGO	% snapshot	45%	15%	33%	27%	0%	13%	18%	25%	41%	45%	30%	13%	14%	13%	13%	12%	32%							

NARRATIVE:

			Population -->	MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
				106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
				21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs	

Reds of concern:

- Indicator 19 (CLA ceases) – this indicator was reported on watching brief in the last quarterly exceptions report and performance has remained static in Q2.

Reds on watching brief:

Ambers of concern:

Ambers on watching brief:

- Indicator 17 (number of CLA) – a slight increase in our number of looked after children as seen in Q2 bringing us nearer to our lower expected range although the gap to our higher expected range is still significant. To remain on watching brief (see also indicator 19 narrative).
- Indicator 18 (children becoming CLA) – the volume of children becoming looked after is variable month to month however, numbers were still low during Q2 although higher than previous Q4. The number of UASC in Q2 was 23 – a significant increase from 17 in the previous quarter. Under the National Transfer Scheme, the number we are expected to take is rising and this will impact on placement sufficiency and caseloads and will need to be monitored and managed carefully. Regarding Care and Pre-proceedings: In 2019-2020, 147 orders were granted in comparison to 120 orders granted in 2020-2021. The reasoning behind the drop in orders being granted is that pre-proceedings is being used more consistently and effectively as a mechanism to divert to court and in the year 2020-2021 we saw our diversion rate for pre-proceedings sit consistently between 50 and 60% where it was previously at 35%. This would fit in terms of these being the likely children to remain at home at the end of proceedings either subject to supervision orders or child arrangement orders and we have seen a drop in these orders by 14 children which would support this hypothesis. At the conclusion of proceedings, 13% of children remained in their family home subject to supervision orders across both of these years. When you compare the number of Special Guardianship Orders granted in relation to Care and Placement Orders granted, 53% were subject to Special Guardianship Orders in the year 2019-2020 but in the year 2020-2021 we see this rise to 64% of this cohort being subject to Special Guardianship Orders. This rise is likely to be associated with the recommendations of the Public Law Working Group around keeping children within their families wherever possible and being able to extend the timetable to allow for transitions to prospective Special Guardians within proceedings, where there has not been a previous relationship with the child. This would also account for the decline in the number of Supervision Orders being attached to Special Guardianship Orders, only one case out of 32 orders made in 2020-2021 compared with 8 of the 27 orders granted in the previous year. When considering the number of children with plans for adoption – 16% of children subject to proceedings in 2019-2020 were granted placement orders and 15% in the year 2020-2021 meaning our rate has remained consistent. However, with less orders being granted, it is possible that the number of children being adopted is may fall as use of SGOs increases.

Other exceptions:

- Performance for Interim Care Orders, Section 20 and SGOs remain within expected ranges.

APPROVED FCs	NEW Current number of approved foster carers - All	snapshot			184	181	186	185					184	185												
APPROVED FCs	NEW Current number of approved foster carers - Mainstream	snapshot			141	138	143	143					141	143												
APPROVED FCs	NEW Current number of approved foster carers - Kinship full approval	snapshot			34	37	37	36					34	36												
APPROVED FCs	NEW Current number of approved foster carers - Kinship temp approval	snapshot			12	9	9	9					12	9												
APPROVED FCs	NEW Current number of approved foster carers - ONSB approval	snapshot			1	1	1	0					1	0												
APPROVED FCs	No. of approved in-house foster carers in period (mainstream)	number	3	1	1	4	2	3	12	5	11	7	5	9	20	17	27	8	35							
APPROVED FCs	No. of de-registered in-house foster carers in period (mainstream)	number	3	2	3	2	1	4	8	3	1	4	8	7	21	30	22	13	16							
APPROVED FCs	Net gain/loss of approved in-house foster carers in period (mainstream)	number	0	-1	+2	+2	+1	-1	+4	+2	+10	+3	-3	+2	-1	-13	5	-5	+19							
IN-HOUSE FOST	No. placed in LA provision	snapshot	152	155	163	165	168	169	151	161	156	155	163	169	160	158	161	150	155							
IN-HOUSE FOST	% in LA provision	%	36%	36%	38%	38%	39%	39%	34%	35%	36%	37%	38%	39%	36%	36%	35%	32%	37%	35%	40%	46%	49%		50%	56%
AGENCY FOST	No. placed in agency foster care	snapshot	124	120	117	117	113	112	138	137	129	123	117	112	135	151	145	139	123							
AGENCY FOST	% placed in agency foster care	%	29%	28%	27%	27%	26%	26%	31%	30%	29%	29%	27%	26%	30%	34%	31%	30%	29%	26%	28%					
FRIENDS/REL FOST	No. fostered by relatives/friends	snapshot	56	56	58	59	60	60	60	65	58	57	58	60	32	31	47	60	57							
FRIENDS/REL FOST	% fostered by relatives/friends	%	13%	13%	13%	14%	14%	14%	13%	14%	13%	13%	13%	14%	7%	7%	10%	13%	13%	9%	11%					
PLACED AT HOME	No. placed at home	snapshot	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	10	15	8	8	8	-	-	12	11								
PLACED AT HOME	% placed at home	%	1.9%	1.9%	1.8%	1.8%	1.6%	1.8%	1.8%	2.2%	3.4%	1.9%	1.8%	1.8%	5.0%	2.8%	2.6%	2.4%				3.0%	7.0%		5.0%	5.0%
ALL FOST	% fostered (in-house and agency)	%	64%	64%	65%	65%	65%	64%	65%	65%	65%	66%	65%	64%	67%	70%	66%	62%	66%							
ALL FOST	% fostered (in-house, agency and relatives/friends)	%	78%	77%	78%	78%	79%	78%	78%	79%	78%	79%	78%	78%	74%	77%	76%	75%	79%							
RESI	No. placed in residential care (homes, hostels, schools - K1/K2/R1/S1)	snapshot	40	43	41	39	40	42	44	43	44	41	41	42	45	39	44	52	41							
RESI	20. % placed in residential care (homes, hostels, schools)	%	9.3%	10.0%	9.4%	9.0%	9.2%	9.6%	9.8%	9.3%	10.0%	9.7%	9.4%	9.6%	10%	9%	10%	11%	10%	4%	7%					
RESI	No. in Independent Living (P2)	snapshot	24	26	24	24	26	28	24	25	24	23	24	28				23								

			Population -->	MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
				106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
				21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs	
RESI	No. in Unregulated placements - 16 or over	snapshot	52	52	63	55	56	55					58	55													
RESI	No. in Unregistered placements - 16 or over	snapshot	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0													
RESI	No. in Unregulated placements - Under 16	snapshot	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0													
RESI	No. in Unregistered placements - Under 16	snapshot	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0													

NARRATIVE:

Reds of concern:

- Indicator 20 (% in residential placements) - The number of children placed in residential care has reduced through 19/20 (n.52) and at the end of 20/21 to 9.7% (n.41) of children in care and at 9.6% at the end of Q1. This has remained above our highly ambitious target range (4-7%). Wiltshire is now part of the South West Sufficiency Project (SWSP), a 2 year programme which incorporates 14 local authorities to consider sufficiency of placements through 3 project groups - Fostering, Residential and Non-Maintained Special Schools. Wiltshire Council is represented on each group. The SWSP key objectives are to create a whole system approach, creating new models for commissioning, improve partnership working between local authorities and independent providers and improve placement sufficiency by placing children in local high-quality placements at sustainable costs. Under the DfE's Children's Home Capital Programme, Wiltshire Council is also seeking grant funding for 2 Children's Homes to ensure sufficient provision for children with more complex needs and increasing capacity for more local children's home provision within Wiltshire. This request was submitted to our Cabinet in September, the total capital investment is forecast at £1.5m and Cabinet has agreed to match fund the DfE programme whether we are successful with the bid or not. The Children in Care Service Development Plan contains an array of activities in this area to improve performance such as the "Move Across" project (reviewing young people where it may be in their best interests to revisit moving in with their families). Market development also needs to be a keen focus.

Reds on watching brief: None

Ambers of concern: None

Ambers on watching brief: None

Other exceptions:

- Positively, percentages of children in LA provision, agency foster care and fostered by friends/family remain within expected ranges.

CLA EXCL UASC	No. CLA excl UASC and no data for in/out	snapshot	388	394	397	400	398	403	412	421	397	384	397	403	0	0	421	424	384							
>20 MILES	No. CLA >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	snapshot	146	146	142	141	141	146	147	154	146	150	142	146	0	0	153	151	154							
>20 MILES	% CLA placed >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	%	38%	37%	36%	35%	35%	36%	36%	37%	37%	39%	36%	36%	32%	32%	31%	36%	37%			34%	20%		30%	26%
IN COUTY	No. CLA IN county (excl UASC)	snapshot	273	280	281	283	285	288	293	288	276	266	281	288	287	266	291	299	260							
IN COUTY	% CLA IN county (excl UASC)	%	70%	71%	71%	71%	72%	71%	71%	68%	70%	69%	71%	71%	67%	63%	69%	71%	62%							
OUT OF COUNTY	No. CLA OUT of county (excl UASC)	snapshot	115	114	116	117	113	115	119	133	121	118	116	115	112	119	115	125	125							
OUT OF COUNTY	% CLA placed OUT of County (excl UASC)	%	30%	29%	29%	29%	28%	29%	29%	32%	30%	31%	29%	29%	34%	37%	38%	29%	30%			38%	41%		34%	44%
OOO AND >20	No. CLA OUT of county AND >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	snapshot	85	88	87	86	87	89	93	100	94	90	87	89	86	97	86	98	100							
OOO AND >20	% CLA OUT of county AND >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	23%	24%	24%	23%	22%	22%	20%	21%	23%	23%	24%			24%	16%		32%	16%
IN COUNTY AND >20	No. CLA IN county AND >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	snapshot	61	58	55	55	54	57	54	54	52	60	55	57	66	61	67	53	54							
IN COUNTY AND >20	% CLA IN county AND >20 miles from home (excl UASC)	%	16%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	13%	13%	13%	16%	14%	14%	12%	10%	9%	13%	13%			10%	4%		10%	10%
CLA 3+ MOVES	CLA with 3+ placements during the year	snapshot	26	27	30	30	31	36	33	36	35	29	30	36	56	54	41	44	27							
CLA 3+ MOVES	% CLA with 3+ placements during the year	%	6.1%	6.3%	6.9%	6.9%	7.1%	8.2%	7.4%	7.8%	8.0%	6.8%	6.9%	8.2%	12.0%	12.0%	8.9%	9.4%	6.5%	7%	10%	10.7%	10.6%	12.2%	13.4%	12.4%
NOT LONG TERM ARR.	No. CLA in care 18months+ who are <u>not</u> in long term arrangements	snapshot	-	-	107	-	-	117	102	96	102	104	107	117	0	0	0	99	104							
NOT LONG TERM ARR.	21. % CLA in care 18months+ who are <u>not</u> in long term arrangements	%	-	-	38%	-	-	38%	34%	32%	35%	35%	38%	38%	0%	0%	0%	33%	35%	30%	35%					
LONG TERM ARR	No. of CLA aged under 18 in care for 2.5 years or more	snapshot	156	154	157	157	153	153	147	153	153	152	157	153	146	150	175	154	152							
LONG TERM ARR	No. aged under 18 in same placement for 2 years or more	snapshot	122	123	126	123	120	119	116	119	117	119	126	119	108	115	134	119	119							
2YR STABILITY	% CLA 2yr stability	%	78%	80%	80%	78%	78%	78%	79%	78%	76%	78%	80%	78%	75%	79%	75%	77%	78%	75%	82%	77%	68%	64%	66%	67%
PRIVATE FOSTERING	% PF notifications followed up in 7 days	%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	67%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	82%	94%	92%	100%	100%					

NARRATIVE:

			MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21					
Population -->			106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064	
			21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21					
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs	
Note, a separate report is being presented on distance placed from home.																											
Reds of concern: None																											
Reds on watching brief: None																											
Ambers of concern:																											
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Indicator 21 (CLA not in long term arrangements) – CLA for more than 18 months and not long term matched was at 38% in quarter 1 and 2 and continues to be slightly above the target of 35%. All 117 children have had their situation reviewed and will be monitored every month prior to the permanency panel. We have had a number of placement breakdowns over the Summer which is evident in the number of children who have been between STEPS placements and one who is still in Aspire House. We also had a young woman in hospital for 8 weeks. In addition, some of our transfers-in have been in care for over 12 months. This is in addition to those YP who turned 18 in the period. We are now tracking those children who have been in care for 9 months and over at permanence panel on a monthly basis in order to take earlier action wherever possible. There is some assurance in our continued strong performance around placement stability.																											
Ambers on watching brief: None																											
Other exceptions:																											
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Placement stability and low numbers of children experiencing more than 3 moves remains a strength performing better than all comparators including outstanding local authorities.																											
PATHWAY PLAN	Should have Pathway/Care Plan (qtrly)	number	-	-	92	-	-	94	102	102	94	89	92	94	203	148	253	338	387								
PATHWAY PLAN	Have a Pathway/Care Plan (qtrly)	number	-	-	80	-	-	84	101	93	88	82	80	84	197	136	115	219	364								
PATHWAY PLAN	22. % pathway/care plans in place for 16-18 year olds (qtrly)	%	-	-	87%	-	-	89%	99%	91%	94%	92%	87%	89%	97%	92%	45%	65%	94%	95%	100%						
CLA STAT VISITS	Total number of CLA stat visits (all teams)	number	-	-	1652	-	-	1547	1759	1871	1628	1588	1652	1547 (prov)	0	0	0	6916 (6m/prov)	6846								
CLA STAT VISITS	No. of In-Time CLA stat visits (all teams)	number	-	-	1540	-	-	1423	1664	1803	1558	1485	1540	1423 (Prov)	0	0	0	6240 (6m/prov)	6510								
CLA STAT VISITS	% In-Time CLA stat visits (all teams)	%	-	-	93%	-	-	92%	95%	96%	96%	94%	93%	92% (prov)	0%	0%	0%	88% (6m/prov)	95%	90%	95%						
CLA REVIEWS.	CLA Reviews held or overdue - cumulative (child count, can go down with CLA cessations)	snapshot	44	120	190	279	321	388	224	426	417	403	190	388	416	409	430	429	403								
CLA REVIEWS	CLA Reviews held on time - cumulative (child count, can go down with CLA cessations)	snapshot	43	107	180	271	315	380	224	425	404	380	180	380	402	394	348	376	389								
CLA REVIEWS	% CLA reviews on time	% snapshot	98%	89%	95%	97%	98%	98%	100%	100%	97%	94%	95%	98%	92%	96%	81%	88%	98%	93%	98%						
CLA REVIEWS	% CLA participating in reviews	% snapshot	92%	95%	95%	96%	95%	93%	96%	96%	95%	95%	95%	93%	97%	97%	75%	89%	95%	95%	98%						
CLA HEALTH	CLA in care 12 months or more (require health check)	snapshot	330	323	323	323	324	325	342	351	332	331	323	325	-	-	-	337	327								
CLA HEALTH	No. CLA had annual health check	snapshot	227	248	256	242	258	273	252	289	243	219	256	273	-	-	-	256	307								
CLA HEALTH	23. % CLA had annual health check	% snapshot	69%	77%	79%	75%	80%	84%	74%	82%	73%	66%	79%	84%	97%	80%	74%	76%	94%	100%		96%	90%		86%	90%	
CLA HEALTH	No. CLA had annual dental check	snapshot	75	81	94	107	130	197	157	171	91	65	94	197	-	-	-	146	138								
CLA HEALTH	24. % CLA had annual dental check in last year	% snapshot	23%	25%	29%	33%	40%	61%	46%	49%	27%	20%	29%	61%	96%	89%	91%	43%	42%	95%		89%	86%		78%	87%	
CLA HEALTH	25. % CLA had an IHA excl those not yet recorded (C/B)	Number	94%	85%	71%	100%	83%	50%	93%	71%	66%	tbc	84%	86%					77%	90%							
CLA HEALTH	25. % CLA had an IHA incl those not yet recorded (C/A)	%	94%	79%	63%	100%	83%	20%	93%	71%	66%	tbc	79%	67%					76%	80%							
CLA HEALTH	CLA SDQ % cohort completed (rolling 12 month)	%	-	-	78%	-	-	82%	53%	77%	86%	94%	78%	82%	0%	0%	0%	66%	94%			90%	81%		71%	83%	
CLA HEALTH	CLA average SDQ score (rolling 12 month)	snapshot	-	-	16	-	-	15	14	15	15	15	16	15	-	-	15.6	15.7	15			15.7	14.1		15.3	13.8	
CLA HEALTH	% CLA with a score >= 17 (rolling 12 month)	% snapshot	-	-	51%	-	-	47%	38%	42%	47%	44%	51%	47%					44%			49%	38%		43%	36%	
NARRATIVE:																											
Reds of concern:																											
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Indicator 22 (has Pathway or Care Plan) – Pathway plans and Care plans in place for 16 – 18-year-olds was at 89% at the end of quarter 2, the remaining 11% equates to 10 young people, 8 are now completed and the remaining 2 will be completed by 5 Nov 2021. The reason for the delay for 6 was due to the IRO not completing their part of the review which triggers the care plan and this will be unpicked to understand whether this is a practice or IT systems issue. There is still some work to do to get within our target range of 95% and management oversight will continue to understand and resolve any issues identified.																											

			Population -->	MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
				106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
				21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs	

Reds on watching brief:

- Indicators 23, 24, 25 and 26 (medicals and dentals) – improvement is seen in Q2 for health checks (both annual and initial) and both are nearing the lower target range which is positive progress. Dental checks, which was a concern in Q1, have increased significantly now that dental surgeries are fully open and provision data for early November suggests performance is now at 83% (will be validated before the next report). The IT solution is still being actively pursued although some significant technical barriers still need to be overcome to allow the seamless flow of activity between the LA and Virgin Care.

Ambers of concern: None

Ambers on watching brief: None

Other exceptions:

- Visits and Reviews timeliness remains strong.

ADOPTION	No. of children Placement Order Granted (E1)	snapshot	31	28	26	26	23	19	36	33	27	31	26	19	0	0	0	33	31							
ADOPTION	No. of children adopted	number	1	4	3	1	4	2	2	10	9	2	8	7	33	22	34	22	23	27	32					
ADOPTION	% those leaving care who were adopted	%	9%	31%	50%	9%	33%	25%	5%	25%	15%	6%	27%	23%	23%	15%	23%	11%	50%	15%	20%	11.1%	11.7%		13.5%	13.2%
ADOPTION	No. adopted who were placed within 12 months of SHBA	number	13	12	10	10	6	6	54	50	37	35	35	22					176							
ADOPTION	27. % adopted who were placed within 12 months of SHBA	% snapshot	93%	80%	77%	not avail	67%	67%	78%	78%	86%	90%	83%	71%				78%	82%	85%	95%					
ADOPTION	Av. Time: child enter care<>moving in with adoptive family (days) A1 (YTD)	snapshot	383	548	486	not avail	449	438	316	423	426	420	486	438	-	-	-	369	420							
ADOPTION	Av. Time: child enter care<>moving in with adoptive family (days) A1 (3YrAv)	snapshot	373	411	409	not avail	408	406	352	366	374	373	409	406	469	397	377	339	373	300	380					
ADOPTION	Av. Time: A1 measure adjusted for foster carer adoptions NEW A10 (YTD)	snapshot	tbc	431	413	not avail	388	385				420	413	385					420							
ADOPTION	Av. Time: A1 measure adjusted for foster carer adoptions NEW A10 (3YrAv)	snapshot	354	403	401	not avail	400	399				354	401	399					354							
ADOPTION	Av. Time: LA has court order to place <> deciding match A2 (YTD)	snapshot	tbc	119	111	not avail	124	126	133	156	164	157	111	126	-	-	-	142	157							
ADOPTION	Av. Time: LA has court order to place <> deciding match A2 (3YrAv)	snapshot	128	146	143	not avail	144	143	117	123	130	128	143	143	186	173	152	116	128	150	170					
ADOPTION	% cyp wait <14 months: enter care <> moving in w/ family A3 (YTD)	% snapshot	62%	58%	56%	not avail	55%	57%	62%	59%	59%	62%	56%	57%	-	-	-	64%	62%							
ADOPTION	% cyp wait <14 months: enter care <> moving in w/ family A3 (3YrAv)	% snapshot	71%	65%	64%	not avail	63%	64%	71%	70%	69%	71%	64%	64%	69%	76%	76%	72%	71%	75%	80%					
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	No. of newly approved adopters - Wiltshire only (qtrly)	number	-	-	5	-	-	3	5	7	10	11	5	3	-	-	-	21	33							
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 0-3 months of application	number	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-		0							
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 3-6 months of application	number	-	-	5	-	-	2	0	0	3	0	5	2	-	-	-		3							
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved within 6-12 months of application	number	-	-	0	-	-	1	3	7	6	10	0	1	-	-	-		26							
ADOPTION RECRUITMENT	Adopters approved more than 12 months of application	number	-	-	0	-	-	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	-	-	-		4							

NARRATIVE:

Reds of concern: None

Reds on watching brief:

- Indicator 27 (adoption timeliness) – performance dipped again in Q2 and remains outside expected range. The children accounting for the dip in Q1 were reviewed by the Service Manager, all of the delays were outside of our control i.e. care proceedings being extended due to 1) a family member putting themselves forward at a late stage 2) appeal from a father and 3) situations involving international elements that protracted the proceeding. Q2 timeliness will be subject to a joint and broader audit between the Service Manager in SASS and Adoption West; which will be reported to POG in December 2021.

Ambers of concern: None

Ambers on watching brief: None

Other exceptions:

- Numbers adopted and the majority of our timeliness figures remain strong.

17-18 – Ofsted	TOTAL CARE LEAVERS 17-18 - Ofsted	number	72	70	65	67	70	70	79	84	77	77	65	70					77							
In-Touch 17-18	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number In-touch	snapshot	63	58	59	61	62	64	70	70	63	69	59	64					69							
In-Touch 17-18	28. Ofsted - 17-18 - % In-touch	% snapshot	88%	83%	91%	91%	89%	91%	89%	83%	82%	90%	91%	91%					88%	95%	100%					

			Population -->	MONTHS						QUARTERS						YEARS					EXP. RANGES		COMPARATORS 2020-21				
				106064	106064	106064	106274	106274	106274	105690	106064	106064	106064	106064	106274	103960	104050	105070	105600	106064	2021-22	2021-22	106064	106064	106064	106064	106064
				21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	21-22	20-21	20-21	20-21	20-21	21-22	21-22	16-17	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	Lower	Higher	Comparators 'DfE 20-21				
Area	Indicator	type	Apr-21	May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	exp. range	exp. range	Wilts	Eng	SW Region	Stat Nbours	O/S LAs	
In-Touch 17-18	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number NOT In-touch	number	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0					0	0	0						
In-Touch 17-18	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number No Info entered	number	9	12	6	5	7	6	9	12	13	8	6	6					8								
Accomm 17-18	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number in Suitable Accommodation	snapshot	62	56	58	60	60	62	65	65	61	70	58	62					70								
Accomm 17-18	Ofsted - 17-18 - % in Suitable Accommodation	% snapshot	86%	80%	89%	90%	86%	89%	82%	77%	79%	91%	89%	89%	68%	73%	78%	0%	86%	85%	90%						
EET 17-18	Ofsted - 17-18 - Number in EET	snapshot	41	36	38	41	39	41	48	48	43	47	38	41					47								
EET 17-18	Ofsted - 17-18 - % in EET	% snapshot	57%	51%	58%	61%	56%	59%	61%	57%	56%	61%	58%	59%	68%	73%	78%	0%	57%	60%	70%						
19-21 - Ofsted	TOTAL CARE LEAVERS 19-21 - Ofsted	snapshot	175	174	175	178	173	179	163	160	166	174	175	179	153	163	-	151	174								
In-Touch 19-21	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number In-touch	snapshot	172	173	175	178	173	178	156	157	166	172	175	178					172								
In-Touch 19-21	Ofsted - 19-21 - % In-touch	% snapshot	98%	99%	100%	100%	100%	99%	96%	98%	100%	99%	100%	99%					98%	95%	100%						
In-Touch 19-21	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number NOT In-touch	number	1	1	0	0	0	1	7	3	0	0	0	1					0	0	0						
In-Touch 19-21	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number No Info entered	number	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0					2								
Accomm 19-21	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number in Suitable Accommodation	snapshot	164	165	165	170	164	169	149	150	160	164	165	169					164								
Accomm 19-21	Ofsted - 19-21 - % in Suitable Accommodation	% snapshot	94%	95%	94%	96%	95%	94%	91%	94%	96%	94%	94%	94%	68%	73%	78%	0%	94%	85%	90%						
EET 19-21	Ofsted - 19-21 - Number in EET	snapshot	73	58	99	103	107	110	93	93	98	96	99	110					96								
EET 19-21	Ofsted - 19-21 - % in EET	% snapshot	42%	33%	57%	58%	62%	61%	57%	58%	59%	55%	57%	61%	68%	73%	78%	0%	42%	50%	60%						
CARE LEAVERS 17-18 (DfE)	TOTAL CARE LEAVERS 17-18 - DfE 4 month window	snapshot	70	70	64	65	68	67	80	82	80	74	64	67	50	64	69	72	72								
Accomm 17-18	DfE 4 month window - 17-18 - Number in Suitable Accommodation	snapshot	57	58	56	54	55	57	55	62	63	62	56	57	49	61	64	65	69								
Accomm 17-18	DfE 4 month window - 17-18 - % in Suitable Accommodation	% snapshot	81%	83%	88%	83%	81%	85%	69%	76%	79%	84%	88%	85%	98%	95%	93%	90%	96%	85%	90%	90%	90%		79%	89%	
EET 17-18	DfE 4 month window - 17-18 - Number in EET	snapshot	47	47	42	40	41	45	46	52	55	51	42	45	34	46	54	55	57								
EET 17-18	DfE 4 month window - 17-18 - % in EET	% snapshot	67%	67%	66%	62%	60%	67%	58%	63%	69%	69%	66%	67%	68%	72%	78%	76%	79%	60%	70%	76%	64%		61%	71%	
CARE LEAVERS 19-21 (DfE)	TOTAL CARE LEAVERS 19-21 - DfE 4 month window	snapshot	200	198	202	200	200	203	160	182	188	199	202	203	164	163	166	175	198								
Accomm 19-21	DfE 4 month window - 19-21 - Number in Suitable Accommodation	snapshot	170	172	176	179	181	182	127	143	159	172	176	182	136	145	138	142	182								
Accomm 19-21	DfE 4 month window - 19-21 - % in Suitable Accommodation	% snapshot	85%	87%	87%	90%	91%	90%	79%	79%	85%	86%	87%	90%	83%	89%	83%	81%	92%	85%	90%	82%	85%		82%	87%	
EET 19-21	DfE 4 month window - 19-21 - Number in EET	snapshot	107	110	114	116	118	116	85	96	105	112	114	116	76	72	83	95	118								
EET 19-21	DfE 4 month window - 19-21 - % in EET	% snapshot	54%	56%	56%	58%	59%	57%	53%	53%	56%	56%	56%	57%	46%	44%	50%	54%	60%	50%	60%	54%	53%		48%	56%	

NARRATIVE:

Reds of concern: None

Reds on watching brief: None

Ambers of concern: None

Ambers on watching brief:

- Indicator 28 (17-18 yr olds In touch) – performance in Q2 remains static with 6 young people not recorded as being in-touch. Current checks as of 4th Nov shows late recording and only one failed contact (an attempt to visit the young person in hospital was unsuccessful but a video call since took place).

Other exceptions:

- EET and accommodation performance remains good for all age groups of care leavers