

Bremhill - Recommendation 3

Comment	Status	Agree/Disagree/Suggest amended	Amended Proposal	Reasons	Other Comments
3.01	A resident of the parish of Bremhill	Agree		It is logical to improve the boundary as suggested, particularly in the area around Rose Cottage, Stanley	
3.02	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		Agree that the houses at Blavk Dog Halt should remain with Derry shill and Studley	
3.03	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		More appropriate geographic representation	
3.04	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		More appropriate geographic representation	
3.05	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
3.06	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
3.07	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
3.08	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
3.09	A representative of a parish or town council affected by the proposals, or a unitary representative from the area affected (please specify which council in your response)	Disagree		Having regard to recommendation 3.1 Calne Without Parish Council does not believe that the proposed boundaries around the Stanley Abbey Farm area. The councillors still believe that the canal and former railway line are a natural boundary between Bremhill and Calne Without and should not be altered as part of this review.	
3.10	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Suggest amended	I agree with most of the proposals but feel that the Stanley Abbey properties and those accessed from the A4 around Chilvester Hill are better related to Studley and Derry Hill rather than Bremhill.		
3.11	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Disagree		I agree with almost all of the proposals but the 4 houses around Stanley Abbey Farm are surely part of Studley s matter of 500m away the new boundary looks contrived and whilst i would support the bizarre finder of land being part of Bremhill thereare no good governance reasons for moving The Stanley Abbey Farm group pf houses into Bremhill.It would also leave Studley Bridgei in Bremhill	

Cherhill - Recommendation 5

Comment	Status	Agree/Disagree/Suggest amended	Amended Proposal	Reasons	Other Comments
5.01	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Suggest Amended	I am in full agreement with recommendation 5 with the exception of the proposed number of councillors in the Lower Compton Ward. I believe that the recommendation to have 3 councillors will not give the people of Lower Compton an equitable level of representation compared to the other wards. Lower Compton's population is roughly the same as Cherhill - which will have 5 councillors.	I do not believe that there can be effective local governance if some areas of the parish are under represented.	
5.02	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		More appropriate geographic representation	
5.03	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		More appropriate geographic representation	
5.04	A resident of the parish of Cherhill	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
5.05	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
5.06	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
5.07	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
5.08	A representative of a parish or town council affected by the proposals, or a unitary representative from the area affected (please specify which council in your response)	Agree		Calne Without Parish Council welcomes the acceptance of our proposal to move Blackland in its entirety into Cherhill parish council but note that the proposed boundary should be as the warding map in the document not the map j which appears to be in error.	
5.09	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree			
5.10	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree			

Heddington - Recommendation 6

Comment	Status	Agree/Disagree/ Suggest amended	Amended Proposal	Reasons	Other Comments
6.01	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Disagree		The Cherhill View Estate built by Redrow is referred to as Marden Farm but the map is completely out of date and does not show the internal roadways. The road running from Beacon Drive to The Rise is blocked to all vehicular traffic and the entire development has just a single access road from Stockley Lane. It was developed and marketed by Redrow as a rural estate, not as an extension of Calne town. The proposal to include it as part of Calne Town is inappropriate as many residents see it as a rural environment and its identity is focussed on the local rural area and landscape.	The proposal to absorb Cherhill View is a concerning development as it suggests Calne Town will use this approach to extend its operations over any new housing developments near the town boundaries and thereby squeeze out adjacent rural parishes. These external parishes have a right to exist by dint of history and local governance. The Cherhill View Estate (Marden Farm Estate) should form part of the Stockley Ward within the new Heddington Parish Boundary, not Calne Town.
6.02	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		More appropriate geographic representation	
6.03	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		More appropriate geographic representation	
6.04	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		Strongly agree with the Recommendation 06. We have lived in Broads Green over 29 years & have always felt that we were living in 'a part of Heddington'.	
6.05	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
6.06	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
6.07	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
6.08	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree		The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	

6.09	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Suggest Amended	<p>My suggested amendment is that Rookery Farmhouse which is currently in Calne Without should be integrated into Calne Town and not Heddington. I note that this has already been reviewed by the Committee and a decision was made on the basis that a farm has a "distinct rural character" compared with the urban area of Cherhill View Estate. However this decision seems to be based on the view that Rookery Farmhouse is a working farm when it in fact ceased to be a working farm some time in the 1970's. The site is now entirely residential. The key desire to be part of Calne Town rather than Heddington is that access and all services and facilities (post, bins, road, telephone, internet, water, electricity, bus service, street lighting) are all routed through Cherhill View and any changes in these services are entirely within Calne Town. Without being part of Calne Town Ward, Rookery Farmhouse has no voice in any council related matters. Changes in services in the newly expanded Heddington ward would not impact on Rookery Farmhouse at all. I am not certain whether this response should be correctly in Q14, Q15 or Q16 - but I hope you understand the basis of our request and thank you for your consideration</p>	See Q14 above	
6.10	A representative of a parish or town council affected by the proposals, or a unitary representative from the area affected (please specify which council in your response)	Agree		Calne Without Parish Council welcomes that their proposal has been accepted in respect of the historic Bowood estate,	
6.11	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Agree			
6.12	A resident of the parish of Calne Without	Disagree		<p>As a resident of the Cherhill View estate, I strongly object to the proposal for the estate to be taken into Calne Town Ward. Under clause 55, the Committee decided that Cherhill View is more suited to a built up area and therefore belongs to Calne Town. This completely ignores the fact that 40% of the estate is meadow land and wild life havens. Considerable expense falls on the residents of the estate to maintain these areas to standards imposed upon them by Wiltshire Council !! This is neither fair nor equitable as these rural amenities are extensively used by non-estate walkers etc. The committee has swept this issue under the carpet and passed the buck onto residents and in future Calne Town Council. A case of Wiltshire Council having their cake and eating it. This will be strongly resisted!! It is quite obvious by looking at the proposed parish boundary for Stockley Heddington Ward that the Cherhill View estate bulges into that Ward and does not belong with Calne Town.</p>	Any other points are contained in my previous submission .

6.13

A resident of the
parish of Calne
Without

Agree

Calne Without - Recommendation 7

Comment	Status	Agree/Disagree / Suggest amended	Amended Proposal	Reasons	Other Comment
7.01	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Agree		Much more logical structure for local governance	
7.02	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Agree		Looks reasonable	
7.03	A resident of a part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be transferred to another parish	Agree		I believe that the proposed boundaries of the parish and warding arrangements will boost community identity and interests and stop the smaller villages from being dominated by Derry Hill and Studley.	
7.04	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Agree		More appropriate geographic representation	
7.05	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Agree		More appropriate geographic representation	
7.06	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Agree		I feel that this restructured parish council will be more representative of the population it covers and able to focus more efficiently and effectively on their relevant matters, concerns and interests.	
7.07	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	DH & Studley should be unwarded and include Abbey Farm. At most, no more than a 2nd ward for Pewsham & Sandy Lane combined.	We need to promote DH & Studley as ONE community	

7.08	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	Whilst I am delighted with the proposal to form a separate Derry Hill and Studley parish I see no compelling case to form separate wards within it. Doing so will have the opposite effect to that which it is hoped to achieve and will divide communities rather than uniting. Derry Hill and Studley are very much at the core of this community and provides for all the community's amenities, school, shop, village hall and pubs. The most effective way for local governance is through one unwanted council. Creating internal boarders will only have a detrimental impact.	As explained above I am delighted with the revised proposal to form a separate Derry Hill and Studley parish and recognising the central role Derry Hill and Studley plays within this rural community. But forming separate wards will provide no benefits to the need for Effective and Convenient Local Governance. It will only complicate what has the potential to be a clear and easily managed parish.	
7.09	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Disagree		Wards should not be specified. The area is small and dividing it, means i.m.o. an over zealous policy of bureaucracy. Keep it simple.	
7.10	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	The establishment of a Derry Hill & Studley Parish council is sensible. But the creation of wards is questionable and the inclusion of properties on the south side of the A4 in the Sandy Lane and Bowood ward, including Rumsey House, the Barn and (our property) the Coach House, is indefensible. We are part of Studley. Try reading the road sign!	Explained above	
7.11	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	The new parish should be unwarded in order to give best representation for the residents	The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
7.12	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	The new parish should be unwarded in order to give best representation for the residents	The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
7.13	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	The new parish should be unwarded in order to give best representation for the residents	The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	
7.14	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	The new parish should be unwarded in order to give best representation for the residents	The change will enable better representation of the residents of that area	

7.15	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Agree		Recommendation 7 is sensible and is roughly in line with what I have wanted to see done for many years	
7.16	A representative of a parish or town council affected by the proposals, or a unitary representative from the area affected	Suggest Amended	Calne Without Parish Council voted to support the new ward names however they had some suggested amendments to the wards. It was voted to request that the Properties to the south of Church Road should be within the Derry Hill and Studley Ward, with the exception of the Golden Gates House. It was felt that these properties looked out onto the Derry Hill and Studley Ward and would as such feel more identity with this ward. It was voted to request that the properties on Devizes Road remain in the Pewsham ward as these properties share the same road (A342) and therefore road issues as those properties in Pewsham Ward.		
7.17	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	I'm grateful for all the work Wiltshire Council has put onto making some very good decisions but the decision to create two wards in the new parish is not a good one. There is no need for wards in such a geographically compact new council and the ward boundaries chosen are splitting off houses from The villages of Derry Hill and Studley. Before the 2021 elections boundary changes were made to bring about 40 houses in Derry Hill & Studley into West Ward where they should always have been, now the new boundaries proposed split off houses on Church Rd from the village they are at the heart of. Almost all of the houses in Bowood are more related to Derry Hill and Studley than Sandy Lane,. All the houses on Devizes Rd area actually signed as being in Derry Hill and have no connection with Pewsham. Many of the houses that access from The A4 are now being proposed to be in Sandy Lane not Studley or Derry Hill where their true links and connections lie.. The proposals seem very close to a form of Gerrymandering to create two wards that cannot be justified without pulling in houses from Derry Hill and Studley including many at the heart of the village including the Golden Gate itself. Please reflect on the fact that the hundreds of residents who signed the petition for a separate parish also asked for it to be unwarded with 9 councillors - that was a conscious choice to have a council without divisive wards and a limited number of councillors that would increase the likelihood of contested elections , which is good for democracy. Please go back to your original recommendation of an unwarded parish		
7.18	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	The Parish should not be renamed but should continue as Calne Without	There is no reason to rename and lose the historic parish based on the Bowood Estate, and it would remind councillors that the Parish is not just Derry hill and studley	

7.19	A resident of the part of the parish of Calne Without proposed to be renamed to Derry Hill and Studley	Suggest Amended	<p>I agree that some of the changes are helpful, including uniting the whole of the historic part of the estate surrounding Bowood House into the the new Derry Hill & Studley parish as well as including the two houses at Black Dog Halt. Unfortunately the Review Committee is now recommending that the new Derry Hill & Studley council should have wards rather than be 'unwarded' as was requested by 769 voters in Derry Hill, Studley, Old Derry Hill and the surrounding rural area that signed the petition. The change follows a request by only a very small number of residents who supported warding and backed by a majority of Parish Councillors. Warding as proposed (3 wards - Pewsham, Sandy Lane and Derry Hill & Studley) needlessly introduces a number of boundary problems where residents of some houses that are clearly in the villages of Derry Hill and Studley will find themselves yet again not being able to vote for councillors to represent their own village. All the houses in the Bowood Estate, even the 4 cottages on the Bowood side of Church Road and the Golden Gate in the heart of Derry Hill, have been put into the Sandy Lane Ward. imilarly the 17 houses on Devizes Road opposite the Lansdowne Pub will be in the Pewsham Ward. The Lodge on Old Rd has also been placed in Sandy Lane. Whilst the consultation maps are not entirely clear, houses in Studley including two houses at Black Dog Halt and others on the south side of the A4 may bizarrely be in the new enlarged Sandy Lane Ward. There are a number (20+) of residential properties within the Bowood Estate including those at the main house Buckhill that have no links to Sandy Lane and access is vain Derry Hill or the A4 Residents of all these properties have little or no direct links to Sandy Lane or Pewsham and have been grouped in these wards purely to bolster the voter numbers up to a level that could justify separate wards for both Sandy Lane and Pewsham. If there is to be warding I believe that the vast majority of properties within the Bowood Estate and all of those on Devizes Rd and the A4 should be in a Derry Hill and Studley Ward. These properties are within the signed village boundaries or have such close connections to Derry Hill & Studley that the villages are the focus of residents day to day activities. The national guidance on Community Governance reviews (paragraph 162) is very clear that principal councils like Wiltshire "should take account of community identity and interests in an area, and consider whether any particular ties or linkages might be broken by drawing of particular ward boundaries". I think it's very clear that Wiltshires latest proposal for "warding" does break ties and linkages that residents of several dozen houses have with the villages of Derry Hill & Studley.</p>		
------	--	-----------------	---	--	--

I would urge the Electoral Review Committee to go back to their original recommendation of an unwarded parish, meaning that all councillors are elected by the whole of the parish to serve the whole parish. There is a growing trend for parishes to be unwarded which improves community cohesion, reduces divisiveness and completely removes ward boundary issues and the common problem where there are contested elections in some wards and vacant seats in adjoining wards where not enough candidates stand. The losing candidate very often (which has happened here several times) then, understandably in some ways, does not want to be co-opted as a councillor to fill the vacancies in the neighbouring ward where they do not live. The fear amongst those relatively few supporters of warding, that Pewsham & Sandy Lane might not be properly represented in an unwarded parish is unfounded. This has been demonstrated in the past, in that despite having far fewer voters than Derry Hill, Studley has always had more than its fair share of villagers elected even though it does not have its own separate ward. Even in an unwarded parish, individual councillors can still be given particular responsibility for particular parts of the parish and can easily act as a contact point for residents. In the past there have been major electoral inequalities between wards in Calne Without, with Derry Hill & Studley being significantly underrepresented in comparison with Pewsham and particularly Sandy Lane which was grossly over represented. In an unwarded parish such inequalities between wards are completely removed, which is in marked contrast to the proposed warding, that tries to artificially create electoral equality by bolstering voter numbers in Pewsham & Sandy Lane by incorporating significant parts of Derry Hill and Studley into those wards. In truth, without the properties on the A4, Devizes Road and in the Bowood Estate, Sandy Lane only has 30 or so houses with little more than 60 voters. Pewsham and Old Derry Hill has only around 120 voters. Although an unwarded parish is a much better arrangement, if it were felt that wards were absolutely essential, a single ward of 180 or so voters for Pewsham & Sandy Lane would be far more preferable to having parts of Derry Hill & Studley. Seven or eight councillors could then collectively represent the 1200 to 1300 voters in the remaining part of the new parish. At a time when many people feel that the fantastic Jubilee celebrations organised in our villages have made our communities more cohesive than ever before, it would be a great shame to introduce divisive warding with major boundary issues breaking long established ties and linkages. I would urge the Electoral Review Committee to revert to an unwarded parish for Derry Hill & Studley which was supported by the petitioners including the vast majority of the Pewsham Ward. I'm unsure if this has recorded my full response so I will email the full text to Keiran Elliott