



## Area Board Boundary Review Information

### Malmesbury – Existing Board

#### Shertson, Malmesbury, Brinkworth and Minety Divisions



### Malmesbury – Post Electoral Review implications




There were no changes to the Minety and Brinkworth divisions, and adjustments only between Malmesbury and Sherston due to the size of the town.

No area beyond the existing area board area has been included in the four divisions.




## Chippenham – Existing Board

Bybrook, Kington, Chippenham Hardenhuish, Chippenham Pewsham, Chippenham Monkton, Chippenham Hardens and England, Chippenham Lowden and Rowden, Chippenham Queens and Sheldon, Chippenham Cepen Park and Derriards, Chippenham Cepen Park and Redlands



## Chippenham – Post Electoral Review implications




There were no changes to the Bybrook and Kington divisions. The only change in divisions that impacts the existing community area is the inclusion of the part of Chippenham currently in the Corsham Town division, and part of Lacock in the proposed Lowden and Rowen Division. The latter is proposed to be transferred into Chippenham by the Electoral Review Committee, supported by both parish councils.

New division names: Bybrook, Kington, Chippenham Hardenhuish, Chippenham Pewsham, Chippenham Monkton, Chippenham Hardens and Central, Chippenham Lowden and Rowden, Chippenham Sheldon, Chippenham Cepen Park and Hunters Moon, Chippenham Cepen Park and Redlands.




## Corsham – existing Board

Box and Colerne, Corsham Pickwick, Corsham Town, Corsham Without



## Corsham – post Electoral Review implications




Apart from the area of Chippenham and Lacock (proposed to be moved into Chippenham) listed under Chippenham above, the new divisions do not impact other area boards, and simply amend the boundaries of the divisions within the Corsham area.

The new divisions are: Box and Colerne, Corsham Ladbrook, Corsham Pickwick, and Corsham Without.




Royal Wootton Bassett – existing Board

Cricklade and Latton, Purton, RWB East, RWB South, RWB North, Lyneham




## Royal Wootton Bassett – post Electoral Review implications



Cricklade and Latton and Purton divisions remained unchanged by the Electoral Review. The Lyneham Division now contains the parishes of Winterbourne Bassett and Broad Hinton, which are a joint Parish Council. Previously these were part of the West Selkey division of Marlborough Area Board.







16% of the projected electorate of the new division were previously within the Marlborough area, the remaining 84% within the Royal Wootton Bassett area.

## Bradford on Avon – existing Board

Bradford on Avon South, Bradford on Avon North, Holt and Staverton, Winsley and Westwood



## Bradford on Avon – post Electoral Review implications





The town divisions have been minutely amended by the Electoral Review. The Winsley and Westwood division now includes areas previously in the Holt and Staverton division. The parish of Atworth has been included within the Holt division. If this were included in the Melksham Area Board, where Atworth is presently located, Bradford on Avon would have only three members and require some sort of substitution arrangement, likely with Trowbridge.

Atworth comprises approximately one quarter of the Holt division, the remaining 75% of the division was previously within the Bradford on Avon area board.

Trowbridge – existing Board

Southwick, Hilperton, Trowbridge Adcroft, Trowbridge Paxcroft, Trowbridge Park, Trowbridge Drynham, Trowbridge Grove, Trowbridge Lambrok, Trowbridge Central





## Trowbridge – post Electoral Review implications




Trowbridge Park and Trowbridge Drynham each contain a part of the town and part of North Bradley Parish, with the remainder in the Southwick division, but no areas from beyond the existing board are impacted by the new divisions. The other town divisions have been amended slightly.



Westbury – existing Board

Ethandune, Westbury West, Westbury North, Westbury East



Westbury – post Electoral Review implications






The parish of Coulston has been removed from the Ethandune division and included in the Devizes Rural West division, but there are no other impacts across existing area board arrangements. Were Ethandune included in any other area board Westbury would be reduced to three members. There were minor amendments across the town divisions.

Melksham – existing Board

Melksham Without North, Melksham Without South, Summerham and Seend, Melksham North, Melksham Central, Melksham South





## Melksham – post Electoral Review implications




As noted under Bradford on Avon, the parish of Atworth has been included in the Holt division, which means 25% of that division was previously within Melksham.

The town divisions have been amended, and some included with Melksham Without parish. The existing Summerham and Seend division has been split between Melksham Without West and Rural division, and Devizes Rural West division.

Devizes Rural West comprises approximately 4% of electorate previously within Westbury Area Board, 39% electorate previously within Melksham Area Board, and 57% electorate previously within Devizes Area Board.

Devizes – existing Board

Bromham, Rowde and Potterne, Roundway, Urchfont and the Cannings, The Lavingtons and Erlestoke, Devizes North, Devizes East, Devizes South





## Devizes – post Electoral Review implications




The new division of Devizes Rural West as noted under Melksham includes significant areas currently within both Devizes and Melksham areas. The town divisions have been amended, including a section of the town (formerly of the separate Roundway parish) being included with Bromham and Rowde. All Cannings parish has been moved into the Pewsey Vale West division, and the boundaries of The Lavingtons division has been amended and no longer includes Erlestoke.

## Warminster – Existing Board

Warminster Without, Warminster Copheap and Wylve, Warminster West, Warminster East, Warminster Broadway



## Warminster – post Electoral Review implications



No areas external to the existing board have been included in the revised Warminster electoral divisions. There has been substantial amendment of the town divisions, and the divisions which are part town, part parish. The former copheap and wylve division no longer contains any part of the town and extends through the deverills. The former



warminster without division includes the northern part of the town and rural parishes to the west and south.





Mere and Tisbury divisions remain unchanged, with adjustments between Nadder Valley, Fovant and Chalke Valley and Wilton. The Nadder Valley division includes the parishes of Wylde and Steeple Langford, previously within the area of Amesbury Area Board. Those parishes comprise approximately 22% of the electorate of the division, with the remaining 78% previously within SWW area board. Were Nadder valley moved to another area board, Mere division would also need to be moved to another area board as it would not be contiguous with the remainder of the divisions.

Wilton now comprises the town, Quidhampton and the rural part of Netherhampton, with the parishes of Great Wishford and South Newton included in the Till Valley Division.








Pewsey Area Board is currently in an administrative arrangement with Tidworth Area Board, where each are appointed sub-committees of a Pewsey and Tidworth Area Committee, and the three members on each may substitute for one another.

The community area of Mere, Tisbury and Wilton, by contrast, form a single area board of five members across the three community areas.

Were Marlborough to have only three members, it would need to enter into either some form of administrative arrangement or new area board arrangement. This would also depend on what arrangements are made for Pewsey and Tidworth.

Pewsey – Existing Board  
Pewsey, Pewsey Vale, Burbage and the Bedwys



Pewsey – post Electoral Review implications






A number of small rural parishes have been included within Pewsey community-based divisions in order to ensure they have sufficient electorates. As is already the case, there are only 3 divisions across the Pewsey community area. Presently, these are included in an administrative arrangement with The Collingbournes and Netheravon, Tidworth, and Ludgershall and Perham Down divisions of Tidworth community areas.

Given the Marlborough situation as detailed above, it is possible that area would be in some form of arrangement with Pewsey. Therefore, a decision would need to be made what kind of arrangement that was, and its impact on the arrangement with Tidworth. For reasons listed under Tidworth, it would not be reasonable to increase that board to 4 members.


Pewsey could for instance have a three-community area committee of Pewsey, Tidworth and Marlborough, each with its own Board and requiring substitute arrangements, or all three areas could be combined in a single area board, without the need for additional administrative arrangements, or Pewsey and Marlborough could be combined in a single area board, with Tidworth to enter into other arrangements.

## Salisbury Area Board

Salisbury Bemerton, Salisbury Fisherton and Bemerton Heath, Salisbury St Francis, Salisbury St Marks and Bishopdown, Salisbury St Edmund and Milford, Salisbury St Martin and Cathedral, Salisbury St Pauls, Salisbury Harnham



## Salisbury – post Electoral Review implications






The existing Salisbury Area Board includes a small section of Laverstock and Ford parish, with the remainder of the parish within the Southern Area Board through the Laverstock, Ford and Old Sarum division. The revised divisions now do not include any part of Laverstock and Ford parish within a Salisbury city based division. They do include a section of the parish of Netherhampton in the new Salisbury Harnham West division, which is recommended by the Electoral Review Committee to be transferred to the city, supported by both parishes. The remainder of the parish is in the Wilton Division, presently part of SWW Area Board.

As Laverstock and Ford parish is now divided between the Laverstock and Old Sarum and Lower Bourne Valley Division, both divisions would need to be included together to avoid splitting a parish between area boards. However, Idmiston parish is also divided between the Old Sarum and Lower Bourne Valley division, and the Winterslow and Upper Bourne Valley divisions, so would also need to be included together.


Southern Area – existing Board

Downton and Ebble Valley, Redlynch and Landford, Alderbury and Whiteparish, Winterslow, Laverstock, Ford and Old Sarum





Southern Area – post Electoral Review implications



The Redlynch and Landford and Downton and Ebble Valley divisions are unchanged. The Alderbury and Whiteparish division now includes sections of the former Winterslow and Laverstock, Ford and Old Sarum Divisions. Laverstock and Ford parish has been

divided into 2 divisions. Winterslow has been included with sections of the Bourne Valley, previously part of the Amesbury Area Board.

If a parish being split between area boards is to be avoided then the Laverstock, Old Sarum and Lower Bourne Valley, and Winterslow and Upper Bourne Valley divisions must all be in the same area board. This is because Laverstock and Ford parish is split between the first two divisions, and Idmiston parish is split between the latter two.


Laverstock and Ford parish, currently divided between Southern Area Board and Salisbury Area Board, is projected to include approximately 65% of the electorate of the Old Sarum and Lower Bourne Valley division. Winterslow parish, currently of the Southern Area Board, is projected to include approximately 40% of the electorate of the Winterslow and Upper Bourne Valley division. Overall, therefore, the majority of the projected electorate currently resides in the Southern Area Board area, however significant numbers do not and the geography extends well into what is presently Amesbury Area Board.

If included in the Amesbury Area Board, this would result in the Southern Area Board including only 3 divisions, and requiring a substitution arrangement or other administrative solution. Depending on issue of Tidworth and Amesbury in the north, it might also result in an Amesbury Area Board of between 9 and 12 members.




# Amesbury – Existing Board

Bulford, Allington and Figheldean, Durrington and Larkhill, Till and Wylde Valley, Bourne and Woodford Valley, Amesbury West and Amesbury East



## Amesbury – post Electoral Review implications



Several parishes within the former Till and Wylve Valley division are now included in the Nadder Valley division, as detailed under SWW Area Board. The majority of the electorate of that division was previously within the SWW Area Board.

As a result of development growth there is an additional Amesbury division, and the parish of Durrington is split across two divisions. As a result of other growth as a result of army rebasing the 3 divisions around Tidworth cover a smaller geographic area. The Bourne Valley area is now included with both Laverstock and Winterslow, large parishes previously within the Southern Area Board. Till Valley now includes the Woodford valley parishes and Great Wishford and South Newton, previously part of South West Wiltshire Area Board.

The Tidworth Area Board presently has 3 councillors, and is in an administrative arrangement with Pewsey. As discussed under Marlborough and Pewsey, there is the option that Tidworth be included in a wider administrative arrangement, or a single area board, with those community areas.

The Tidworth area also cannot be increased to four divisions only without dividing a parish between area boards. The Avon Valley division includes the Larkhill area of the parish of Durrington, and therefore if it were included then Durrington division would also need to be included to avoid a split, for an area board with five divisions. This would leave an Amesbury Area Board of at least four divisions, with the Amesbury divisions and the Till Valley.

If the Bourne Valley divisions are included with Amesbury then Laverstock would also need to be included to avoid splitting the parish between area boards, resulting in at least




a 7 member area board around Amesbury, or 9 if including the Durrington divisions, or 12 if Tidworth were included.

Other options, if Pewsey and Marlborough were to form an area board or arrangement without Tidworth, would therefore be either a 9 division area board stretching from the Till Valley to Ludgershall North and Rural, or a 5 member Tidworth area board including the Avon Valley and Durrington and 4 member Amesbury Area Board, or a 6 member Amesbury Area Board including the Avon Valley, and a 3 member Tidworth Area Board with a substitution arrangement in place.


# Tidworth – Existing Board

## The Collingbournes and Netheravon, Ludgershall and Perham Down, Tidworth





## Tidworth – post Electoral Review implications



Several parishes within the former Till and Wylve Valley division are now included in the Nadder Valley division, as detailed under SWW Area Board. The majority of the electorate of that division was previously within the SWW Area Board.

As a result of development growth there is an additional Amesbury division, and the parish of Durrington is split across two divisions. As a result of other growth as a result of army rebasing the 3 divisions around Tidworth cover a smaller geographic area. The Bourne Valley area is now included with both Laverstock and Winterslow, large parishes previously within the Southern Area Board. Till Valley now includes the Woodford valley parishes.

The Tidworth Area Board presently has 3 councillors, and is in an administrative arrangement with Pewsey. As discussed under Marlborough and Pewsey, there is the option that Tidworth be included in a wider administrative arrangement, or a single area board, with those community areas.

The Tidworth area also cannot be increased to four divisions only without dividing a parish between area boards. The Avon Valley division includes the Larkhill area of the parish of Durrington, and therefore if it were included then Durrington division would also need to be included to avoid a split, for an area board with five divisions. This would leave an Amesbury Area Board of at least four divisions, with the Amesbury divisions and the Till Valley.

If the Bourne Valley divisions are included with Amesbury then Laverstock would also need to be included to avoid splitting the parish between area boards, resulting in at least


a 7 member area board around Amesbury, or 9 if including the Durrington divisions, or 12 if Tidworth were included.

Other options, if Pewsey and Marlborough were to form an area board or arrangement without Tidworth, would therefore be either a 9 division area board stretching from the Till Valley to Ludgershall North and Rural, or a 5 member Tidworth area board including the Avon Valley and Durrington and 4 member Amesbury Area Board, or a 6 member Amesbury Area Board including the Avon Valley, and a 3 member Tidworth Area Board with a substitution arrangement in place.




# Calne – Existing Board

Calne Rural, Calne South and Cherhill, Calne North, Calne Central, Calne Chilvester and Abberd



# Calne – post Electoral Review implications



No parish or area external to the existing community area has been included. There have been minor tweaks among the town divisions, and significant changes between Calne South and Calne Rural