

STANDARDS COMPLAINTS ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The Monitoring Officer and Assessment Sub-Committee will adopt the approach and apply the criteria set out below in the assessment of complaints under locally adopted Codes of Conduct for Members.

~~The Review Sub-Committee will use the same approach and criteria.~~

1. Relevance

1.1 The criteria and procedures set out in this document only apply to complaints made against individual members that fall within the relevant code of conduct. It is likely that complaints will be received by the Monitoring Officer which do not relate to local codes of conduct for members. These might include complaints relating to the provision of services by ~~local~~ councils; matters relating to the ~~local~~ council as a corporate body; (including decisions made by the council); or matters which should be dealt with under a council's complaints procedure. -They may be ~~matters~~complaints relating to council employees, other authorities or matters relating to a member's private life which do not fall within the remit of the Standards Committee.

Commented [EK1]: For clarity

~~The~~1.2 Where complaints are received that are outside the scope of these procedures, the Monitoring Officer will advise the complainant in such matters that they cannot proceed under local codes of conduct, but that the complainant should contact the relevant council in order to bring a complaint under the appropriate alternative complaints procedure, where available.

Commented [EK2]: For clarity

2. Alternative resolution

2.1 The Monitoring Officer or Assessment Sub-Committee will always consider whether an alternative means of resolving the complaint would be appropriate.

3. Initial Tests

3.1 Before the assessment of a complaint begins, the Monitoring Officer~~Assessment Sub-Committee~~ should be satisfied that:

- a) The complaint is about the conduct of a member of a council within the area of Wiltshire Council;
- b) That the member was a member at the time of the incident giving rise to the complaint;
- c) That the member remains a member of the relevant council, or, if not, that there are exceptional circumstances to justify a decision

that it is in the public interest to continue to consider the complaint;

Commented [EK3]: For emphasis

- d) That a Code of Conduct for the relevant council is in force and has been provided;
- e) That the matters giving rise to the complaint would, if proven, be capable of breaching that Code.

3.2 If the complaint fails one or more of these tests it cannot be investigated and no further action will be taken.

4. Sufficiency of information

~~The complainant must~~ **4.1** As any assessment will be conducted solely on the papers provided, it is essential that the complainant provide sufficient information to enable the subject member and those responsible for assessing the complaint to understand the substance of the complaint. -If insufficient information is provided, the Monitoring Officer will not normally proceed with assessment/consideration of the complaint. It is the responsibility of the complainant to provide any supporting evidence for their complaint to justify a full investigation.

Commented [EK4]: For clarity

Commented [EK5]: To ensure sufficient info is provided

4.2 If the complaint meets the criteria set out in 3. a-e above, and the complainant has provided sufficient information to enable the issues complained of to be understood, the Monitoring Officer will send a copy of the complaint to the subject member and ask for the subject member's comments. When these have been received, the Monitoring Officer will ~~assess the complaint, after consulting the Independent Person~~ consider the complaint and provide a report and recommendation on it to the Assessment Sub-Committee, together with copies of the original complaint (and any supporting documentation) and the Subject Member's response.

Commented [EK6]: New procedure

4.3 At this ~~initial~~ assessment stage, the ~~Monitoring Officer~~ Assessment Sub-Committee will not normally consider any further representations or correspondence from either the complainant or subject member.

5. Seriousness of the Complaint

5.1 A complaint will not be referred for investigation if, on the available information, it appears to the Assessment Sub-Committee to be trivial, vexatious, malicious, politically motivated or 'tit for tat'.

5.2 A complaint will not normally be referred for investigation if the subject member has offered an apology, a reasonable explanation of the issues, or if the ~~Monitoring Officer~~ Assessment Sub-Committee takes the view that the complaint can reasonably be addressed by other means.

5.3 Bearing in mind the public interest in the efficient use of resources, referral for investigation is generally reserved for serious complaints where alternative options for resolution are not considered by the Monitoring Officer ~~to be appropriate~~ Assessment Sub-Committee to be appropriate, particularly in cases where a subject member is no longer a member of a relevant council.

Commented [EK7]: For emphasis

6. Length of Time Elapsed

6.1 A complaint will not be referred for ~~investigation~~ assessment when it is made more than 20 working days from the date upon which the complainant became, or ought reasonably to have become, aware of the matter giving rise to the complaint. Any such complaint will be dismissed by the Monitoring Officer, and will not be referred to the Assessment Sub-Committee, although the Monitoring Officer retains the discretion to refer a complaint for assessment that would otherwise be out of time, in exceptional circumstances.

Commented [EK8]: To ensure timescales are adhered to

6.2 In any event, the ~~Monitoring Officer~~ Assessment Sub-Committee may decide not to refer a complaint for investigation where, in ~~his~~ their opinion, the length of time that has elapsed since the matter giving rise to the complaint means that it would not be in the ~~interest~~ interests of justice to proceed.

7.1 Anonymous complaints will not be ~~considered~~ accepted for consideration unless the Monitoring Officer is satisfied that there would otherwise be a serious risk to the complainant's personal safety, in which case the Monitoring Officer will decide how the complaint should be taken forward.

8. Multiple Complaints

8.1 A single event may give rise to similar complaints from a number of complainants. Where possible these complaints will be considered by the ~~Monitoring Officer~~ Assessment Sub-Committee at the same time. Each complaint will, however, be considered separately. - If an investigation is deemed to be appropriate the Monitoring Officer may determine that, in the interests of efficiency, only one complaint should go forward for investigation, with the other complainants being treated as potential witnesses in that investigation.

9. Confidentiality

9.1 All information regarding the complaint will remain confidential to the parties until determined otherwise by the Monitoring Officer, ~~Review~~ Assessment Sub-Committee or Hearing Sub-Committee.

10. Withdrawing Complaints

A10.1A complainant may ask to withdraw their complaint before it has been assessed.

10.2 In deciding whether to agree the request the Monitoring Officer will consider:

- a) the complainant's reasons for withdrawal;
- b) whether the public interest in taking some action on the complaint outweighs the complainant's wish to withdraw it;
- ~~e)~~ whether action, such as an investigation, may be taken without the complainant's participation.