

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Priority A, B or C
	Marlborough Community Area Transport Group			
	Date of meeting: Thursday 22nd February 2018			
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	Present:	Cllr Nick Fogg, Cllr Stewart Dobson, Cllr Jane Davies (Wiltshire Council), Cllr John Hetherington (Ogbourne St Andrew PC), Cllr John Bevan, Cllr Sir Nigel Thomson (Mildenhall PC), Cllr Vanya Body (Froxfield PC), Cllr Guy Loosemore (Marlborough TC), Cllr Jim Gunter (Broad Hinton PC), Cllr Nic Combe, Cllr Steve Campbell (Chilton Foliat PC), Cllr Allison Edmunds (Aldbourne PC), Cllr Andrew Smithson (Savernake PC), Cllr Andrew Williamson (Avebury PC), Martin Cook, Steve Hind, Andrew Jack (Wiltshire Council).		
	Apologies:	Cllr Guy Singleton (Savernake PC), Cllr Sheila Glass (Ramsbury PC), Cllr Peter Cairns (Marlborough TC), Cllr Sarah Chigley (Baydon PC), Cllr James Sheppard (Wiltshire Council)		
2.	Notes of last meeting			
		The minutes of the previous meeting held were agreed at the Marlborough Area Board meeting on the 14 th December 2017. <i>Link can be found at</i> https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/documents/g10936/Public%20reports%20pack%2023rd-Jan-2018%2019.00%20Marlborough%20Area%20Board.pdf?T=10		
3.	Financial Position			

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Finance sheet to be presented.	SH circulated the current budget situation. Marlborough CATG has funding of £55,432. Of this £50,551 is committed to projects, leaving £4,880 remaining unspent. SH felt this could be rolled forward into the new financial year.	
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes Following discussion of all projects currently being developed, the priority of remaining schemes was allocated. The letter given here reflects the new priority. SH stressed the need for the group to prioritise five projects to allow him to focus his limited time on those the group wants taking forward.			
a)	Issue 3578 A346 Ogbourne St Andrew, Crawlings Piece – More space needed on verge for wheelie bins	Following design work, updated cost estimate is £9k PC have offered £1k contribution Construction commenced but delayed due to BT apparatus. Works due to re commence after extensive Thames Water works.	SH confirmed that Thames Water was still at work at this location but thought that once they are finished, work at Crawlings Piece could continue. MC stated that because of all the other road works scheduled for Marlborough town in the coming weeks, that the work at Crawlings Piece would not be allowed to continue for some weeks, until those other works had been completed and the traffic situation calmed down. It was agreed to keep this project a high priority A. There was discussion about the number of road works and closures in the area. CATG was	A

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

			directed to the Traffic Management Group for further information.	
b)	Substantive scheme Baydon- Ermin St (west)	<p>A petition against the scheme has been received by the Area Board but did not meet the criteria for further action. Area Board endorsed scheme at meeting on 28/11/17.</p> <p>Ongoing objections from some residents. Construction programmed which includes the build out near Ermin Close and a gateway improvement at the western end to replace the build out by a resident's property.</p> <p>Road closure programmed for 19th March for up to 4 weeks. (9.30 to 15.30 Mondays to Fridays)</p>	<p>SH described this work as ongoing. The road closure has been booked in, so should go ahead. He has been checking with Highway's contractors, Ringway, for this work to go ahead.</p> <p>NC asked if the diversion would go through Chilton Foliat during the road closure. There was further discussion about road closures.</p> <p>It was agreed to keep this project a high priority A.</p>	A
c)	Issue 4352 Lockeridge – 20mph limit proposal	<p>Proposal developed through site meetings for additional signing and a virtual footway. Proposal approved by PC. Further additional works being considered to include a gate at the eastern entrance.</p>	<p>SH described the inclusion of a new virtual footway for the village. There is also a request for a new white gate to the village, which will add approx. £1,200 to the project. The whole project is now waiting for drier weather so that road markings will stick.</p> <p>SH says that the initial project came in under budget, so there is funding remaining from that given by the area board. CATG and area board members agree that</p>	A

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

			<p>this remaining money can be used for this purpose. It was agreed to keep this project a high priority A.</p>	
d)	<p>Issue 5179 Request for new assessment for 20mph limits throughout Marlborough.</p>	<p>Scheme has been developed and options report issued to Marlborough Town Council for consideration. Cost of assessment is £2500. 25% contribution from Marlborough TC.</p>	<p>The assessment for 20mph has been completed and a report sent to Marlborough TC. This was discussed by them on 19/02/18. GL gave the different aspects mentioned by the TC. They included whether to try to get George Lane included, the need for greater consultation with residents and considering this within a wider study of traffic across the whole town – to fit into a bigger picture.</p> <p>JD asks about George Lane and the new Marlborough St Mary's School. They have put in a bid for Taking Action On School Journeys funding. A decision on this by the Cabinet member will be made in March. This could help fund warning signage and lights showing a temporary 20mph limit.</p> <p>MC mentioned the resurfacing work due to take place on George Lane. He said that new "Slow" markings could be placed onto the road as part of this. CATG felt</p>	

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

			<p>this a good idea and asked MC to go ahead with request. NT mentions the Neighbourhood plan under way in Marlborough and how 20mph fits in with that. SD asks about the cost of a wider traffic management paln. SH is not sure. NF asks GL for Marlborough TC to get ideas of quotes for this work, so that CATG could look to fund. It was agreed that this project could move to a lower priority whilst this background work is ongoing.</p>	
e)	<p>Issue No: 4245 A4 at Beckhampton and West Kennett – speeding</p>	<p>Atkins fees are £9650 for Beckhampton and £9125 for West Kennet to provide a prelim design. Avebury’s transport group has agreed to fund 25%. AW said that a further 25% will be raised from the community. CATG agreed to fund the remaining 50%.</p> <p>Atkins have concerns about the West Kennet designs. There was a need for some intial investigation work to be done before committing to the full project.</p> <p>Atkins have undertaken preliminary work and a meeting with the Transport Group. B4003 requires closure except for access. Concern that speed along A4 will not be reduced to 40mph. Costs to date on this are £2850.</p>	<p>Atkins are carrying on with work to the Beckhampton design guide as planned. SH reports costs are increasing with inflation though. The work on the West Kennet guide has halted for the moment. AW describes the funding for this work as 50% from CATG, 25% parish council and 25% community. He confirms the community element will eventually be made up in full. It was agreed to keep this project a high priority A.</p>	A

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Atkins fees for Beckhampton have gone up to £9800 due to inflation. Confirmation required from Avebury PC to proceed.		
f)	Issue 4793 Request for clearer No Cycling signage at Figgins Lane	SH has visited the site, surveyed locations of existing utility covers and is considering either barrier or bollards in combination with road markings. Town Council have agreed 25% contribution	SH has visited this location and different solutions were discussed. These included bollards placed near the entrance to River Park. These would force any cyclists over to the Priory Gardens side and so protect pedestrians from being hit. SH agreed that with other high priority projects currently going slowly, he had capacity to take a sixth high priority project and CATG agreed this would be it.	A
g)	Froxfield's Village Traffic Plan	Froxfield PC has ideas for potential projects. These need to be prioritised and discussed further with SH. A further meeting could be arranged to discuss and prioritise development of schemes within the village plan. Priority from the CATG will then be required to make progress with any design work.	VB described how the PC has a new project on water meadows on the other side of the A4 working with Action for River Kennet. This will create a new village amenity. To get to this, people will need to cross the A4 safely. After discussion about the length of time this project had been waiting and because the parish council is ready to go, CATG agreed to take this as a high priority project. SH will meet on site with VB and Froxfield PC.	A

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

h)	Issue 5781 Request for clearer markings at crossing on Kingsbury St Marlborough	There is danger to the public at the crossing point in Kingsbury Street that gives egress to Patten Alley. A section of the street incorporated in different material to make drivers aware that it is a crossing point.	There was agreement within the group that this was a difficult corner to cross at. There was also discussion about the need to protect pedestrian who cross there. Comments were that this is quite a busy place to cross. NF felt that if there were hazards to pedestrians here, they needed to be addressed quickly. There was general agreement that this work would fit under the wider traffic study hoped for within Marlborough. Because of this, the project was moved to a lower priority.	
5.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	Issue 3832 Marlborough, Silverless St HGV's using inappropriate road	'No Entry' signs for Silverless St entering from Herd St. Experimental Order implemented on the 1 st Feb to run for the maximum of 18 months. Expired Aug 2017 Cabinet Member report signed off. Works cost estimate £4,000 Town Council contribution 25% Works currently being implemented.	SH stated not all the new signs have been put up, but this is with Ringway to complete. SH will monitor this.	

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

b)	C189 Baydon- Aldbourne- Ogbourne St George C class speed limit review	<p>Speed limit to be moved back to the gates location as agreed through CATG. This will include taking up existing coloured approach markings. Total package around £5k. New coloured surfacing to gateways will not be implemented due to maintenance issues.</p> <p>Scheme to be prioritised</p>	SH has spoken to Sarah Chidgey from Baydon PC. The PC is not considering this a priority until the traffic calming in Ermin St is complete, so the project can be put on hold.	
c)	Issue 4851 Multiple issues about pedestrians safety and speeding at Herd St	<p>It was agreed that this Issue, along with 4852, 3832 and 4932 are all interlinked and need to be dealt with together.</p> <p>The first step in addressing these issues is to make progress with assessment of the requested 20mph limit for Marlborough town.</p>	CATG felt that any work here would need to be as a result of the wider traffic study that has been mentioned before. SD mentioned his idea for a roundabout at the top of Herd St. This has not been supported by Marlborough TC. GL felt this also had to be part of the wider study. MC offered to meet on site to look at the possible layout of the roundabout. He mentioned the possible use of CIL funds.	
d)	Issue 4932 Multiple issues at Kingsbury St including speeding, dangerous driving and HGVs	Refer to 4851 above	CATG felt that any work here would need to be as a result of the wider traffic study that has been mentioned before. The crossing close to Pattern Alley is included in this.	
e)	Issue 5190 Request for safety works at London Rd, Marlborough.	Issue raised by Community Speedwatch group. Wish to reduce the speed limit and keep hedges etc. cut back to open	AJ gave the response from Dave Thomas in Highways to the request for a new speed limit	

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>sightlines and to install new barrier near top of hill close the Savernake Hospital.</p> <p>Recent speed limit review shows that no change in speed limit is possible. SD states that the location of the requested barrier is not within Marlborough but is within Savernake PC. Members of CATG took on contacting Savernake PC through different channels to let them know about this request.</p>	<p>review for this location. Dave felt there had not been enough development along the frontage on the A4 to warrant a review, neither did the collision data for this location.</p> <p>CATG felt this was disappointing. JD asked if CATG can go back to Dave Thomas on this. SH and MC both felt it had been looked at fully.</p> <p>MC can arrange to meet with colleagues from traffic police to walk the site and to make an assessment of it. JD and AS agreed with this approach.</p>	
f)	<p>Issue 5689 Request for new bus stop area Mildenhall</p>	<p>This was described at CATG meeting on 21/09/17. Mildenhall PC would like to create new bus shelter and hard standing on verge on south side of road within the village.</p>	<p>NT gave the background to this request. There is currently a bus stop beside the road but people, especially children going to school, have to wait on the verge, with no safe space and with no shelter. NT is requesting support from CATG to lay new hard standing so the PC can erect their own bus shelter and for a new footway from the village to the bus stop.</p> <p>CATG supported this work. There was discussion about the costs of it, including the different materials that could be used.</p>	<p>A – 2nd reserve.</p>

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

			<p>JD asked if this work could be funded through the area board. It can. MC can give estimates for the work.</p> <p>This project was marked as the 2nd reserve priority</p>	
6.	New Requests / Issues			
a)	<p>5931 Traffic calming on A4 west of Marlborough</p>	<p>Resident feels speed is too high, possibly reduce current 40mph limit to 30mph out to start of current 60mph. Make it safer for residents etc to exit driveways and side roads onto A4.</p> <p>This issue has not yet been agreed by Marlborough TC. They meet on 19/02/18 to discuss.</p>	<p>AJ says that Marlborough TC now supports this issue. They would like to see a new speed limit review.</p> <p>AJ felt the response from Highways would be the same as the request to look at the A4 east of town. NF, the local Wiltshire Councillors, would contact Dave Thomas to make the request anyway.</p>	
b)	<p>5932 Width of pavements beside A4 west of Marlborough</p>	<p>Pavement is too narrow beside A4 and does not allow for buggies or pushchairs or for two pedestrians to walk side-by-side.</p> <p>This issue has not yet been agreed by Marlborough TC. They meet on 19/02/18 to discuss.</p>	<p>AJ says that Marlborough TC now supports this issue. They recognise this will be difficult and have put this on the Parish Steward's work list to clear detritus etc. and cut back in order to create extra width on the pavement. MC agrees with this approach.</p>	

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

<p>c)</p>	<p>5933 No cycle route on Bath Road A4 west of Marlborough</p>	<p>There are no cycle paths or painted routes on the road surface and the road itself has painted centre margins that force traffic into the kerbside along most of its length. This issue has not yet been agreed by Marlborough TC. They meet on 19/02/18 to discuss.</p>	<p>AJ says that Marlborough TC now supports this issue. He describes that Marlborough already has a cycle network with routes from Manton using Treacle Bolly in to town and from Barton Park / College Fields coming through the residential areas past the leisure centre. MC mentions the road markings on the A4. There is currently hatching in the centre, which pushes cars to the edge of the road. If they ever need to be replaced, it could be with a simple centre line, which would give more space near the kerb. It was agreed this could fit with the wider traffic study for the town and the project has been parked for now.</p>	
<p>d)</p>	<p>6057 Poorly marked sharp bend on B4192 at Chilton Foliat</p>	<p>Increasing concerned over the number of vehicles which fail to take the right angle bend on the B4192 close to Chilton Foliat resulting in them leaving the highway and impacting the embankment.</p>	<p>SC describes the situation with a long straight followed by a tight bend. There is a smaller lane off the bend which gives the appearance the main road leads straight on. Cars often misjudge this and end up in the neighbouring field. CATG agrees this needs to be looked at. MC feels this is a signing matter and can go to Mark Stansby as</p>	

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

			an independent scheme. SH will discuss this with Mark initially to make progress.	
e)	6091 Need for clearer road names and house numbering	The road naming and house numbering at Benson Close and Thomson Way, Marlborough is not clear and deliveries often go to the wrong houses. Resident would like clearer numbering. This issue has not yet been agreed by Marlborough TC. They meet on 19/02/18 to discuss.	AJ said that Marlborough TC had not supported this issue. He described it to CATG. CATG felt they could not support it either.	
7.	Other items			
a)		JG raised three new issues that he had logged after the agenda had been set. One concerns a tight bend on the road leading from the A4361 to Uffcott. There is no warning signage of the bend approaching and cars often end up in the neighbouring field. The landowner has placed a large stone in the way which could be a hazard. MC has already been on site to look at it. He agrees signage is needed and has already made progress on moving this forward. The second issue involves a request for a speed limit review through Uffcott. Currently there is no limit, only the national 60mph limit. MC agreed this can be part of the wider scheme for Uffcott and can be looked at. The third issue is about rumble strips on the A4361 at Broad Hinton. The noise from them creates a nuisance to residents and the PC feels they are no use where they are. The road is due to be resurfaced and the PC would like to see them moved. MC says he has worked with the contractor on this. The rumble strips will be removed and be replaced later. That new location can be agreed by the PC.		

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

8.	Date of Next Meeting: 21st June 2018
----	---

Marlborough Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Steve Hind

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Marlborough Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Marlborough Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£4,880**

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications