

Wiltshire Local Development Framework

Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan

Report on the Informal Consultation on the Approach to Large Villages

Final Report

January 2016

Cabinet Version

Table of Contents

1. Purpose of the large village consultation	1
2. Overview of the consultation exercise	2
3. Summary of the consultation response	
4. Next Steps	
Appendix 1: Parish councils and Large Villages consulted	332
Appendix 2: Parish councils' responses to questionnaire	8
Eastern HMA	335
North & West HMA	409
South HMA	409
Appendix 3: Consultation email	428

1. Purpose of the large village consultation

- 1.1. Between Tuesday 30th June and Wednesday 12th August 2015, the Council undertook further informal consultation on the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD), 'the Plan', to provide another opportunity for parish councils with Large Villages to input into the preparation of the Plan.
- 1.2. The purpose of the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD is to allocate sufficient housing to ensure delivery of the Wiltshire Core Strategy housing requirement and to maintain a five year housing land supply up to the end of the plan period of 2026. The DPD will also review the settlement boundaries in the Wiltshire Core Strategy.
- 1.3. To assist with the preparation of the Plan, the council had previously undertaken three consultation exercises with town and parish councils; on the scope of the DPD (March to May 2014), on developing criteria for reviewing settlement boundaries (July to September 2014), and on the initial findings of the housing site assessment process (February to March 2015).
- 1.4. This most recent consultation presented the draft site assessment methodology and initial site options at the principal settlements¹ of Salisbury and Trowbridge, market towns and local service centres². The consultation exercise also asked consultees to respond to a series of questions about the approach taken including whether or not the DPD should identify sites for new housing in large villages.
- 1.5. The majority of comments received during that consultation supported the identification of housing site allocations at Large Villages in principle. However, not all parish councils provided a response and the council was keen to hear from more parish councils with Large Villages as the response from these was relatively low. Therefore, the council wrote to these parish councils to provide a further opportunity to make representations that the council would take into consideration in developing the draft Plan. The council was particularly interested in finding out more about local parish council views on potential development sites in each village. The consultation was also an opportunity for parish councils to update the council on any ongoing neighbourhood plan work.

2. Overview of the consultation exercise

- 2.1. A questionnaire and site maps were emailed (see *Appendix 3 for consultation email*) to all 66 parish councils with large villages and requesting specific

¹ Chippenham is being considered through the Chippenham Site Allocations Plan.

² Further information on this consultation can be found on the council's website at <http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/planninganddevelopment/planningpolicy/wiltshgsiteallocationsplansites.htm>

comments on each of the SHLAA sites identified in their large village to aid the site assessment process. SHLAA sites are parcels of land that have been submitted to the council, by the landowner or other interested parties, for consideration as potential housing sites. SHLAA sites have no status in planning terms. A list of all the large villages and parish councils that were consulted can be found in **Appendix 1**.

2.2. The questionnaire included the following questions:

- Is there a neighbourhood plan being prepared in your area?
- What progress have you made on your neighbourhood plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft neighbourhood plan to Wiltshire Council?
- Is your neighbourhood plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?
- The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your large village for housing – do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?
- Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?

2.3. Comments were then requested on each SHLAA site within their large village.

3. Summary of the consultation response

3.1. In total, the council received 38 responses from parish councils. These can be found in full in **Appendix 2** ordered by HMA and settlement.

Community Area	Parish Council	Community Area	Parish Council
Eastern HMA		North & West HMA	
Devizes CA	Market Lavington	Trowbridge CA	North Bradley
	Bromham		Southwick
	Potterne	Melksham & Bowerhill CA	Atworth
	Rowde		Seend
	Urchfont		Steeple Ashton
	Worton		Shaw & Whitley
Pewsey CA	Great Bedwyn		Semington
	Shalbourne	Chippenham CA	Hullavington
	Upavon	Bradford On Avon CA	Holt
South HMA			Westwood
Amesbury CA	Shrewton		Winsley
Tisbury CA	Ludwell	Malmesbury CA	Ashton Keynes
Southern Wiltshire CA	Alderbury		Crudwell
	Coombe Bissett		Great Somerford
	Morgans Vale &	Royal Wootton Bassett	Lyneham &

	Woodfalls	CA	Bradenstoke
	Pitton	Calne CA	Derry Hill & Studley
	Whiteparish	Westbury CA	Westbury
	Winterslow		Bratton
			Heywood & Hawkeridge
		Warminster CA	Warminster
			Chapmanslade
			Codford
			Heytesbury
			Sutton Veny
			Bishopstrow

Table 3.1 – List of parish councils that responded to the consultation

- 3.2. In summary, 16 parish councils said that they were in the process of preparing a neighbourhood plan and 23 said that they were not. Of those 16 who said they were in the process of preparing a neighbourhood plan, 13 said that they were actively seeking to allocate land for housing in their large village within their neighbourhood plan.
- 3.3. The parish councils identified various issues that affected their views on the identification of housing sites. Issues included infrastructure constraints; including highways, sewage and drainage issues as well as the pressures new developments would put on amenities, schools and NHS services.
- 3.4. Some parish councils stated their objections to large, high density housing developments due to them being out of the character and nature of their villages and as they would represent a significant increase in the size of the village. A number of parish councils suggested that infill housing within the settlement boundary would be acceptable and some were not prepared to comment on sites without knowledge of the new settlement boundaries for their villages. Two parish councils suggested that they would not support any new development within their villages.
- 3.5. Only one parish council stated that they did not have any knowledge on the level of housing growth that would be acceptable in their village. The other parish councils all confirmed their understanding that a certain amount of low level housing, including bungalows, would be required; with a number quoting that their knowledge was based on the policies within the Wiltshire Core Strategy. Ten of the parish councils stated that they had undertaken, or were in the process of undertaking, a village needs survey to identify the level of housing need within their village.

Bromham	Potterne
Rowde	Worton
Atworth	Steeple Ashton
Winsley	Sutton Veny
Coombe Bissett	Semington

Table 3.2 – villages that stated they had carried out a village housing needs survey

4. Next Steps

- 4.1. The information obtained through the consultation exercise will aid the council in understanding locally specific issues, those sites that are favoured over others (and the reasons for this) and the level of growth that may be supported in each village. The information gained through this consultation will be fed into the detailed analysis of sites to help determine which locations are suitable for allocation and inclusion in the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD.

Appendix 1: Parish councils consulted and their large villages

Community Area	Large Village	Parish Council
Amesbury	Great Wishford	Great Wishford Parish Council
	Porton	Idmiston Parish Council
	Shrewton	Shrewton Parish Council
	Tilshead	Tilshead Parish Council
	The Winterbournes	Winterbourne Parish Council
Bradford on Avon	Holt	Holt Parish Council
	Westwood	Westwood Parish Council
	Winsley	Winsley Parish Council
Calne	Derry Hill / Studley	Calne Without Parish Council
Chippenham	Christian Malford	Christian Malford Parish Council
	Hullavington	Hullavington Parish Council
	Kington St Michael	Kington St Michael Parish Council
	Sutton Benger	Sutton Benger Parish Council
	Yatton Keynell	Yatton Keynell Parish Council
Corsham	Box	Box Parish Council
	Colerne	Colerne Parish Council
	Rudloe	Box Parish Council
Devizes	Bromham	Bromham Parish Council
	Potterne	Potterne Parish Council
	Rowde	Rowde Parish Council
	Urchfont	Urchfont Parish Council
	West Lavington / Littleton Pannell	West Lavington Parish Council
	Worton	Worton Parish Council
Malmesbury	Ashton Keynes	Ashton Keynes Parish Council
	Crudwell	Crudwell Parish Council

Community Area	Large Village	Parish Council
	Great Somerford	Great Somerford Parish Council
	Oaksey	Oaksey Parish Council
	Sherston	Sherston Parish Council
Marlborough	Aldbourne	Aldbourne Parish Council
	Baydon	Baydon Parish Council
	Broad Hinton	Broad Hinton and Winterbourne Basset Parish Council
	Ramsbury	Ramsbury Parish Council
Melksham	Atworth	Atworth Parish Council
	Seend	Seend Parish Council
	Semington	Semington Parish Council
	Shaw / Whitley	Melksham Without Parish Council
	Steeple Ashton	Steeple Ashton Parish Council
Pewsey	Burbage	Burbage Parish Council
	Great Bedwyn	Great Bedwyn Parish Council
	Shalbourne	Shalbourne Parish Council
	Upavon	Upavon Parish Council
Royal Wootton Bassett and Cricklade	Lyneham	Lyneham and Bradenstoke Parish Council
	Purton	Purton Parish Council
Southern Wiltshire	Alderbury	Alderbury Parish Council
	Coombe Bissett	Coombe Bissett and Homington Parish Council
	Morgan's Vale / Woodfalls	Redlynch Parish Council
	Pitton	Pitton and Farley Parish Council
	Whiteparish	Whiteparish Parish Council
	Winterslow / Middle Winterslow	Winterslow Parish Council
Tidworth	Collingbourne Ducis	Collingbourne Ducis Parish Council

Community Area	Large Village	Parish Council
	Netheravon	Netheravon Parish Council
Tisbury	Fovant	Fovant Parish Council
	Hindon	Hindon Parish Council
	Ludwell	Donhead St Mary Parish Council
Trowbridge	Hilperton	Hilperton Parish Council
	North Bradley	North Bradley Parish Council
	Southwick	Southwick Parish Council
Warminster	Chapmanslade	Chapmanslade Parish Council
	Codford	Codford Parish Council
	Corsley	Corsley Parish Council
	Heytesbury	Heytesbury Imber and Knook Parish Council
	Sutton Veny	Sutton Veny Parish Council
Westbury	Dilton Marsh	Dilton Marsh Parish Council
	Bratton	Bratton Parish Council
	Heywood & Hawkeridge	Heywood Parish Council
Wilton	Broadchalke	Broadchalke Parish Council
	Dinton	Dinton Parish Council

Appendix 2: Parish councils' responses to questionnaire

Eastern HMA : Devizes community area

	<p>Village: Market Lavington (Local Service Centre) Consultee: Market Lavington Parish Council</p>
<p>Response</p>	<p>The Market Lavington Parish Council has reviewed the initial proposals on development site options, and comments as follows.</p> <p>First we deal with the specific questions asked by the Spatial Planning Team, then we set out some general principles formulated by the Parish Council and that are emerging from the formulation of a local Neighbourhood Plan, and finally we set out some comments on specific sites within the Neighbourhood Planning Area of Market Lavington.</p> <p>We attach an Appendix 1, where we have re-assessed all the potential development sites against the discretionary criteria, but incorporating local knowledge which may not, at this stage be appreciated by the Spatial Planning Team.</p> <p>Section 1 - Response to Spatial Planning Team's Specific Questions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Parish Council accepts the approach, to identify potential sites where new development could take place, is appropriate under the circumstances. 2. In our opinion, for smaller communities such as Market Lavington setting a 50 dwelling limit on the capacity of possible development sites is too high. We would suggest that a much smaller figure, we suggest 10 dwellings, would be more appropriate. We are concerned that within the current planning regime, a 50 dwelling limit may open the opportunity to develop smaller sites that have not been assessed and reviewed. The development of two or three such sites within a small community could result in a significant number of new houses developed outside the constraints of the core strategy. 3. Following on from comment 2 above we believe it is appropriate to identify sites for growth in Large Villages. 4. Subject to item 6 below, we are broadly in agreement with the methodology used for weeding out potential sites in the initial review. <p>However, we believe the application of scores derived from discretionary tests should be consistent across all sites. This is not the case</p>

	<p>Village: Market Lavington (Local Service Centre) Consultee: Market Lavington Parish Council</p>
	<p>in Market Lavington where some sites scoring only 6 points have been defined as suitable to carry forward to the next stage, while other sites, scoring the same, are dismissed. We also note that in the initial scoring there are a number of inconsistencies and errors (see Appendix 1)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Regarding factors to be considered in the methodology. Any search must recognise the importance of the amenity value to the local community of potential sites. It is essential that any sites carried forward as a potential area for development receive a site visit and assessment. Any sites not receiving a site visit should be excluded from the lists of Potential Development sites. Finally, if localism is to have any meaning there should be a test which asks if the site has local support. 6. Following on from point 5, it is our opinion that the discretionary criteria used for the initial assessment omit three relevant tests, the first of which we consider is important:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Does the site have an amenity value to the community? - Does the site have local support? - Has the site been subject to approval by a site visit? 7. We do not agree that some of the sites selected by the initial review are appropriate and should go forward for further assessment. We deal with this in the third section of this response. 8. The third section of the response also deals with other sites which should be considered. <p>Section 2. General Principles.</p> <p>Principles applicable to all development sites within the Market Lavington Neighbourhood Plan area.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The publicly stated position of the Parish Council is that further housing development in the village is unsustainable until a traffic management plan for the village is agreed and implemented. Any comments regarding the suitability of access of particular sites is subordinate to this principle. 2. Large developments of 50 or more homes in Market Lavington are unsustainable and would significantly alter the essential character of the community. With an existing population of only marginally over 2000 people, the cut off point for the definition of a Local Service Centre, the village is significantly smaller than other Local Service Centres in Wiltshire, and has characteristics more in common with a Large Village, and proposed developments should be judged on this basis. 3. We note that none of the development land in Market Lavington is designated as available for employment purposes, and as one of the roles of a Local Service Centre is to provide local employment this should be corrected. Suitable areas would be Site

	<p>Village: Market Lavington (Local Service Centre) Consultee: Market Lavington Parish Council</p>
	<p>1089, Southcliffe and land on the Broadway adjacent to existing commercial and warehousing activities.</p> <p>Section 3. Comments on Specific Sites.</p> <p>We have reassessed the list of SHLAA sites in the village using local knowledge and based on the criteria used by Spatial Planning Team. See Appendix 1</p> <p>In doing this we have also added additional criteria defined below, which we believe should be included in the discretionary tests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Does the site have an amenity value for the community. If no = 1 point - Does the site have local support. (Ideally this should be evidenced by some sort of community consultation, but in the absence of any consultation the view of a community body may be taken into account) Score: If Yes (by local consultation) = 2 points: If Yes (without consultation) 1point. - Has the site received a visit assessment. If yes = 1 point <p>The last two points are probably not applicable in an initial desk top selection exercise.</p> <p>Together with the discretionary tests used by the Spatial Planning Team the additional tests above would result in a total site score of 12 points and we propose that any site scoring five or less is automatically dismissed from the list of potential sites.</p> <p>Based on the Spatial Planning Team's original discretionary tests we recommend that the following sites be taken forward for further assessment:</p> <p>Site 619 - Land off Spin Hill. Capacity 22 homes Site 3268 - Long field, The Spring. Capacity 23 homes Site 712 - Land east of Northbrook. Capacity 24 homes Site 1089 - Southcliffe Designated for commercial/employment development Site 1061 - Adjacent to Nursery Site. Capacity 5 homes</p> <p>In total this gives a potential for the village to provide an additional 74 homes, which with other small infill construction will provide approaching half the designated additional houses required for the Devizes Community Area.</p> <p>The Parish Council considers that this number of new homes provides a healthy and sustainable level of development for the community and is consistent with the objective of maintaining the historic character and community well-being of the village.</p>

	<p>Village: Market Lavington (Local Service Centre) Consultee: Market Lavington Parish Council</p>
	<p>The Parish Council does not support the following sites being carried forward for possible development</p> <p>Site 529 - Land adjacent to the Clays Site 530 and 2055 – Land at Fiddington Nursery Site 623 - Land adjacent to Canada Woods Site 372 - Land off White Street.</p> <p>See Appendix 1 for the rational supporting these proposals</p> <p>Further potential development land could be identified to the south east of site 3268 (Long field, The Spring) accessed from site 3268. If developed his land could have a capacity of about 15 homes and would be a suitable for providing additional car parking for village use. Site 712, land off Northbrook could also provide car parking spaces for general village use as well.</p>

Village: Bromham	Consultee: Bromham Parish Council
Question	Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes and No
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	This is still up for discussion. We have been advised that a NP would assist our input with regard to local development; however, we have no volunteers to undertake this task as yet. We have identified a suitable site as you will note in our response to the final section. This is in addition to infill properties.
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	If it gets underway - yes, however, we have already identified a site as noted above and at the end.
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	Local Issues- Speeding through the village and lack of off-road parking particularly in the Highfield area. Additional housing would impact on road access and increased number of vehicles. The majority of properties have the use of two vehicles due to the fact that residents are employed outside the village with many commuting to Swindon or Bath in addition to the local towns. The numbers of vehicles increase when offspring reach the consented age of 17 to be able to drive. There would be a requirement for mini-roundabouts to be installed on both exits of the village on to the A342 to allow vehicles to safely access this road. Agricultural Land. The individual nature of Bromham and its importance within the food chain for providing quality produce has not been taken into account. The areas identified are Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land and provide local employment. This is a unique village with the only extensive area of Lower Greensand

Village: Bromham	Consultee: Bromham Parish Council	
	in the county. Large developments would be out of keeping in this rural area. Bromham is too diverse to be classed as a large village. The Parish of Bromham is made up of 6 separate hamlets including Chittoe, Westbrook, Durllett, Hawkstreet, Netherstreet and St Edith's Marsh, all consisting of mostly individual dwellings and very small developments. Any new developments over 15 dwellings in any of these hamlets would not be sustainable as they are all outside the settlement of Bromham village, with no amenities and no footpaths to the village centre 2 miles away, and would be detrimental to their rural status. We are, therefore, only looking at the centre of the village in which to identify potential housing sites. Bearing this in mind, small development should only be considered. An example of this being Spire View completed 2007.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	The most recent survey carried out by the Parish Council identified the need for 19 additional residential properties. This including bungalows for elderly residents. There have been/will be future infill properties to increase this number. These include 3 properties to be built by Aster housing in the Highfield area of the village. The Parish Council would support smaller developments of similar size in order to maintain the village "concept" i.e. large developments become a "standalone" community. Planning permission has been granted, currently, to 3 further infill properties. With future applications and should Crown Estates take up the offer of the site already proposed total new properties should reach at least 31.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	668	Supported. Previously submitted to Crown Estates for 15 dwellings
	670	Not Supported. Grade 2 agricultural land currently utilised for grain and vegetable produce for human food chain. Within or adjacent to a County Wildlife Site and Within a Special Landscape Area
	671	Not Supported. Grade 2 agricultural land currently farmed for food chain produce. Road safety issues.
	517	Not Supported. Grade 2 agricultural land. Road issues on exit due to parking on side of road.
	669	Not Supported. Grade 2 agricultural land. Junction of main road.
	348	In private ownership. Contains or adjacent to a Listed Building. This large garden now has been landscaped with pool etc for their own use.
	1086	This has been promoted by the owner of this land as suitable for development. However this area provides small units providing work facilities for local businesses. No pavement providing pedestrian access to village. Church Hill is a very narrow road leading to school and transport links.

Village: Potterne	Consultee: Potterne Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	Already submitted to Wiltshire Council.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes, several small sites.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	All housing should be considered in light of the Neighbourhood Plan.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	The Neighbourhood Plan has been drawn up following a complete survey of the wishes of the local residents.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	526	Access to this major site is extremely difficult and would cause major traffic problems in the rest of the village. It is also far bigger than the wishes of local residents.
	3415	The Parish Council had no idea this site had been submitted, but it is a linear site outside the limits of development, along a minor road, which has always defended against further development.
	1078	We do not understand how this particular site could possibly be included for development. While it is difficult to understand the limits of the proposed site, it is either an in accessible back garden or a lay-by on the side of A360.

Village: Rowde	
Consultee: Rowde Parish Council	
Question	Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	<p>The matter has been discussed at Parish Council meetings. It is likely that the Parish Council will commit to starting a Neighbourhood Plan at the next meeting on Wednesday 9th September 2015. Information has been put in the village magazine already about Neighbourhood planning and an advert has been placed looking for residents to form a steering group.</p> <p>There are plans to organise a village workshop day shortly.</p> <p>None of the sites have been accepted or declined by the Parish Council at this time. There has not been adequate time to consider them at Parish Council meetings due to the short deadline on the consultation and the recent addition of 3 new SHLAA sites.</p> <p>QUERY: The online consultation in February 2015 showed only one SHLAA site in Rowde, whereas there are now 4 proposed sites. What methodology was used to identify these new sites and was it different to the methodology applied in February?</p> <p>QUERY: Site no. 3461 is already partially developed and occupied by bungalows belonging to the HfT charity. Does the SHLAA map show the correct proposed site?</p> <p>PLEASE NOTE: THE SHLAA map is out of date. Scholars Park and Wheeler Close have been built for many years now. It seems misrepresentative and confusing to residents to show these sites as 'Completions and Commitments' on the SHLAA sites map of Rowde, when they have been part of the fabric of the village for some time.</p> <p>PLEASE NOTE: The deadline date for responses is incorrect. We have opted for Wednesday 12th August, not Tuesday 11th August.</p>
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>A flyer has been sent out to many residents and information has been placed in the village magazine which is delivered to every home in the village, noting Wiltshire Council's ongoing request to landowners to offer up land for potential development.</p> <p>Potential sites must be in keeping with the historical form of the development of the village.</p> <p>There is a high level of social homes in the parish (17.9% of total housing in Rowde, compared to the average number in Wiltshire of 14.7%).</p> <p>A small number of new homes may be needed and supported.</p>
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s)	

	<p>Village: Rowde Consultee: Rowde Parish Council</p>	
<p>at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?</p>		
<p>5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?</p>	<p>A 'Parish Housing Need Survey' was conducted in Rowde by Wiltshire Council in September 2013 and all households were sent a survey. It was suggested that low levels of new homes were needed.</p> <p>There has already been some housing development in the past few years, namely Wheeler Close, Scholars Park and Silverlands Road. There is a further commitment to development on land behind Silverlands Close by Wiltshire Council with 6 bungalows for older people. There was not found to be local need to build more houses of this type.</p> <p>It is understood that approximately 210 additional homes need to be built within the Devizes Community Area up until 2026. With the other Large Villages in this group preparing Neighbourhood Plans and offering sites for development, there should not be the requirement to build high density housing such as the size of the plots in Rowde would suggest, namely 685. It was noted that other villages such as our neighbours Poulshot are not required to offer up any sites for development when they benefit from similar travel distances to a nearby large town, namely Devizes.</p>	
<p>Comments on individual sites...</p>	<p>Site</p>	<p>Comment</p>
	<p>685</p>	<p>This potential area is too great for the size of the village. There are currently only 585 properties in the village. If permitted to be developed as high density, it would have a massive effect on the character of the village to the serious detriment of the existing way of life. The area is out of the settlement boundary of the village, which are designed to maintain the identity and individuality of villages. The bulk settlement in the village is to the south of the A342, where the school, pubs, village hall, church and village shop are all located. This proposed site is located on the north side of the A342, a designated lorry route and hence would be cut off from all of the village amenities. Access to the school, pubs, village shop, church and village hall will require the crossing of a main trunk road. Development on this site would be incongruous to the current form of the village. Existing houses on this site are in a linear pattern. The village has previously been developed to the south away from the main road. It is important to maintain the essential character and footprint of the village.</p> <p>Linear development along the A342 would not be incongruous, anything else would. The site is currently a working farm with farming operations taking place and this is the preferred long term use of the site. The farmer currently has 5 years remaining on his lease. The soil in this area is high quality agricultural soil. Please confirm the grade of the soil on this site. Development would cause a detrimental effect to the natural habitat, which is a nesting site for various birds and animals. There are colonies of common lizards and bats all of which are protected. There are also buzzards and small owls living in the trees. Toads, scarlet tiger moths, oil beetles, hares, house martins, and many different types of bees and deer inhabit the area. The land is marshy and regularly floods every year. There is a ditch which takes flood water from the A342. Usually half of the site, closest to Conscience Lane, is under water so much so that</p>

Village: Rowde	
Consultee: Rowde Parish Council	
	<p>ducks and geese inhabit the area during these times. Building would have a significant effect on the existing properties amenity - their value, views and privacy. It would negatively impact on the views from Roundway Hill and the Iron Age hill fort of Olivers Castle, part of the North Wessex Downs AONB. Effect on traffic and pollution.</p> <p>This proposed site is on the main road into Devizes the A342 which is designated as a main trunk road. The junction of this road at Dunkirk Hill is a notorious and dangerous bottleneck. Traffic often queues all the way to the Rowdey Cow café in Rowde.</p> <p>The proposed site also borders Conscience Lane which is in most parts a single track lane with a 7.5 tonne weight restriction. This lane is exceptionally narrow with many blind bends and it cannot sustain further traffic. Moreover the exit from it onto the A342 is a dangerous junction.</p> <p>Development on this site would put enormous pressure on the A342 and Conscience Lane. Both of these roads are used as routes into Devizes and Swindon. Results from Rowde's recent 'Parish Housing Need Survey' (2013) found that 42.6% of people who responded travel more than 10 miles to their place of work, suggesting a potential lack of more local sources of employment. Many people who live around Devizes commute daily to Swindon. It should be noted that the large development at Horton has limited impact on traffic in Devizes as the commuters come from Swindon and stop at Horton. There is no need for them to come into and around Devizes at rush hour. Building a large development in Rowde, however, would have a huge impact on traffic in Devizes. Conscience Lane would need to be either closed or restructured. It is already used by unsuitable traffic and is considered to be a rat run. Existing infrastructure to the site is poor. The pavement is very narrow along Devizes Road at the point opposite the school, and non-existent in Tanis (start of Conscience Lane). This coupled with the distance to be walked would not encourage people to use those village facilities which are available.</p> <p>It is also very difficult to use the pavement to walk to Devizes due to its narrowness and the busyness of the A342.</p> <p>Facilities: The village currently has limited facilities with only a primary school, a small shop entirely staffed by volunteers and 2 public houses. There are no doctors or dentists in the village and a very limited bus service into Devizes. Any significant development would simply swamp these facilities.</p> <p>The village school is already oversubscribed.</p> <p>The village shop is run by volunteers.</p> <p>It was noted that Wiltshire Council does very little to maintain the existing infrastructure so to consider building more houses is ill conceived.</p>
3461	<p>The proposed site is outside of the settlement boundary. The site is already partially developed and occupied by the charity HfT, at Furlong Close. There is a complex area of bungalows, a community hall and offices already in use. The area floods and further development of the site will add to the surface water problems of an overloaded 1860s main surface water system. A new development will have considerable effect on road traffic along Marsh Lane. The proposed development would be cut off from the village. Springfield Road area which borders this proposed site has already seen recent developments with the building of Scholars Park, Wheeler Close and Silverlands Road. WC is also planning to build 6 bungalows for older people adjacent to this new proposed site. The proposed site will have an effect</p>

	Village: Rowde	
	Consultee: Rowde Parish Council	
		on existing residents being overlooked and suffering a loss of privacy. There is concern about vehicular access to this site. If accessed from Marsh Lane, it would be on a sharp and dangerous bend. If accessed through Springfield Road or Silverlands Road, these roads are already busy and used by parents of the school for parking. Silverlands Road is narrow and not suitable for large volumes of traffic. There is also a busy play area which is heavily used by small children. There would be significant increased risk to children playing. It is believed that there is a covenant on this land. The covenant is believed to state that only single story developments can be erected and also a buffer zone must be left between any new development and Springfield Road.
	3367	<p>This proposed site sits outside of the settlement boundary to the North of the A342 and is not in keeping with the built form of the village. The proposed site is adjacent to a flood zone. There are issues with flooding in the area due to concrete footings, roads, sewage problems.</p> <p>There is believed to be a spring fed 'Dipping well' at the entrance to Close Lane. If development takes place here, it may cause flooding in the adjacent properties and result in flooding at the entrance of Close Lane and the A342. The drainage system takes extra water that travels down from the fields and the upper part of the lane whenever it rains.</p> <p>Any construction in this area will increase the likelihood of houses being flooded. The proposed site is accessed off Close Lane which is privately owned (by Merchant Venturers). Has their permission been sought to use the lane for development purposes? There would be a profound effect on the access, usage, drainage and sewerage of that lane. Road safety issues. The A342 is a very busy road with a record of fatalities at this site. The proposed site entrance sits on a sharp bend which cars often miss and hit No. 1 Close Lane. The lane leads to a farm and it is used daily by large farm vehicles. The lane is narrow and is a single track. There is no room to manoeuvre for any vehicle. The proposed site is in an elevated position and the ground floor of any development would look into the first floor of the existing homes, i.e. bedrooms and bathrooms. The natural habitat would be affected: deer, hare, barn owls use it as a flight path, bats, woodpeckers and others. There are electricity pylons near the site.</p>

	Village: Urchfont	
	Consultee: Urchfont Wedhampton & Lydeaway Neighbourhood Plan	
Response	<p>Urchfont is listed as a large village in the Wiltshire Core Strategy. I am the coordinator for the Urchfont Wedhampton & Lydeaway Neighbourhood Plan (UWLNP). In September last year we were provided with a proposal for the development boundary by Wiltshire Council See first attachment). This plan rather strangely specifically removed a piece of land which was in the previous boundary and which a house had already been consented and built! The Steering Group of UWLNP walked the village with our Link Officer (David Way) and has proposed and consulted widely in the Parish on the suggested boundary (see second attachment). I also enclose a third attachment which shows the sites we have identified to provide the 37 houses which we feel can be constructed during the Core Strategy period.</p>	

		Village: Worton	
		Consultee: Worton Parish Council	
Question	Response		
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?			
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?			
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?			
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	We are aware of the sites and without detail of where the revised settlement boundary will be; we are not in a position to make further comment.		
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Based on a recent village survey the majority of respondents wished to see a limited number of homes including affordable housing, over the next ten years.		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment	
	1068	No details on new settlement boundary, so unable to comment, and in the absence of specific planning applications the council is in no position to comment further.	
	3467	No details on new settlement boundary, so unable to comment, and in the absence of specific planning applications the council is in no position to comment further.	

Eastern HMA : Pewsey community area

		Village: Great Bedwyn	
		Consultee: Great Bedwyn Parish Council	
Question	Response		
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.		
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	NA		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	NA		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	<p>There are three areas identified on the plan: 1) the area on lower Church Street has now been withdrawn as it was inaccurate (houses are already built there;) 2) the allotments land on Back Lane is owned by Ramsbury Estates who have been made aware of various issues that could make this area unsuitable, in particular a historical tendency to flood. PC has a five year rolling lease on the allotments land which is highly valued by the community. Under section 29.4 of the 1950 Allotments Act, a Parish Council has a duty to provide allotments if requested by six electors. Therefore if this privately owned land were used for housing the PC would need to find an alternative site in close proximity to the village. The PC has requested that Ramsbury Estates consider withdrawing this site from the plan, which was originally placed on the SHLAA by Crown Estates; 3) the site on Browns Lane remains for possible inclusion, however there are indications of major problems with the current sewage infrastructure which new houses in this location would increase the burden on. Since two flooding events in the village Thames Water have constructed surveys that have identified surface water run off entering the drains and overloading the pump station at Frog Lane. Several homes at the Knapp have suffered repeat flooding as a result of sewage not being contained in the system.</p>		
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	<p>It is hoped that representatives from the PC and the community will begin the process of 'scoping' in order to establish what kind of document would be appropriate for the village. A considered response to question 5 and nominating other sites for development is simply not possible until we are further along this prescribed route. Certainly, the idea of a survey both online and conducted via the monthly Parish News (which is delivered to every household) would allow us to increase our qualitative understanding of the issues. For now, in keeping with past precedent, the view of the planning committee and the Parish Council as a whole is that each site must be considered individually, it should lie within the village envelope and design elements should satisfy the village design statement document.</p>		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment	

	Village: Great Bedwyn Consultee: Great Bedwyn Parish Council	
	368	Lower Church St - mistake made with map, now withdrawn.
	664	Back Lane allotments - waiting for response from landowner about whether this will remain on the map, serious risk of flooding and loss of village amenity.
	552	Browns Lane - potential site but questions remain about the sewage infrastructure and whether an additional number of houses in this area would be suitable.

	Village: Shalbourne Consultee: Shalbourne Parish Council
Response	Thanks your note. Although no sites are identified in Shalbourne we appreciate being kept in the loop.

	Village: Upavon Consultee: Upavon Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?		
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	Yes.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that	Yes.	

	Village: Upavon	
	Consultee: Upavon Parish Council	
would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	408	This site has outline planning for 45 dwellings, with PC support subject to conditions.
	407	This site is recognised by the Parish Council as a potential development site. approx 4-5 dwellings.
	582	This site to the south of Devizes road is unacceptable on the grounds of over development and above those required in Upavon by the WCCS.
	597	This site to the west of Pewsey road is unacceptable on the grounds of over development and in excess of the requirements identified in the WCCS.
	Non SHLAA Site	Upavon Garage Site This site has a lapsed planning permission for 7 Houses. the owners are in the process of re submitting an application for five homes THIS IS A BLITED SITE and should be redeveloped. HOWEVER this development would take the redevelopment of Upavon to approximately 20% above that identified in the WCCS.
		For the reasons given above the SHLAA'S 582 and 597 should not be considered as having any Potential for development and are also outside the permitted building line.

North & West HMA : Trowbridge community area

Question	Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	<p>Map of area is believed not the most up to date version. We have since received version copyright 2015 (see attached) on this addition areas are 3464 and 3484. In view of the confusion of area covered by the SHLAA, the Wiltshire Council should arrange a meeting of all local Parish Councils to reconsider all the revision data and to consult once all agreed. ie North Bradley, Southwick and West Ashton. Also see attached comments by Mr H Prickett. [below]</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The position of this parish council and its settlement boundaries was made very clear in the consultation that took place in the summer/autumn of 2014. The preservation of a rural buffer between North Bradley and Trowbridge, clearly identified as 6131298 in the current SHLAA document, was reviewed in September, ratified in December of that year, and confirmed in the Core Strategy issued in January of this year. This can be confirmed by referring to pages 179 to 193 of the Wiltshire CS document, especially page 185 where the rural buffer is clearly shown. 2) The position of the rural buffer has now been thrown into considerable doubt by the 'Informal Consultation on initial site options' publication which clearly shows both of the Trowbridge/North Bradley areas 613/298 as being available for consultation for development. Indeed, in March of this year, only three months after the CS was finalised, Planning Sphere and their developers Coulston Estates had expressed an interest in the development of site 613 to provide in excess of 200 homes. 3) The current SHLAA maps also show the areas due south of Yarnbrook crossroads (ref.3464/3237/3484/3239/3163) for inclusion in this revision. This council is strongly opposed to the expansion into the open space between

	Village: North Bradley	
	Consultee: North Bradley Parish Council	
	<p>Yarnbrook and Heywood. This council finds it most disturbing that one of the maps on Wiltshire Council's website excludes plots 3464 and 3484. This can only lead to confusion when members of the public visit the site.</p> <p>4) This council is most alarmed to find that a six week consultation period, finishing on August 12th, was implemented. This coincided with the holiday period of July/August when few parish councils would be meeting due to councillor's absence. This is certainly the case with this council.</p> <p>5) North Bradley council feels that it has already made a massive contribution to the CS 1649 houses required in the Trowbridge settlement area. This has been achieved with the Ashton Park extension, which will provide 2600/2700 of this number as well as two primary and one secondary school. This means that North Bradley will already be providing 63% of the expanded housing allocation. Any further expansion will totally destroy the character of the village and countryside surrounding Trowbridge.</p> <p>6) The SHLAA document plan for Trowbridge shows sites 426/735/723/736 as an expansion of the Trowbridge conurbation to the north and 3163/3484 to the south, with all land between to the east of the town similarly zoned. Thus, if this is carried to its logical conclusion, Greater Trowbridge will cover an area from Staverton in the north to Heywood in the south!</p>	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes, we have accepted only those listed in the Core Strategy to 2026, areas 260, 2092, 209? Which included housing, employment development and schools.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	613	Not supported, see NBPC letter dated 30 March 2015 - Combined with West Ashton. [below]
	298	As above.
	261	As above.
	262	As above.
	740	As above.
	650/322	Not supported, part of rural buffer zone
	1040/672	As above.
	3464/3257/3239/6163/3484	As above.

Village: Southwick					
Consultee: Southwick Parish Council					
Question	Response				
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.				
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?					
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?					
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	Southwick Parish Council is against any further development and does not support any of the proposed SHLAA sites. Please Note. This comment relates to the SHLAA Sites Map that accompanied the Councillors Briefing Note No. 242 dated 29 June 2015.				
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?					
Comments on individual sites...	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Site</th> <th>Comment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Site	Comment		
Site	Comment				

North & West HMA : Melksham & Bowerhill community area

Village: Atworth	Consultee: Atworth Parish Council
Response	Site Allocation. ● This would not be a benefit to this small rural community but would add pressures and difficulties.

Village: Atworth	Consultee: Atworth Parish Council
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●The Persimmon development of almost 300 houses in Melksham is just 2 miles away; development here is not a necessity. ●In the last 25 years Atworth has increased dramatically with Godwins Close, Nursery Close, Clock Tower View, Prospect Fields, Atworth Court, and Mount Pleasant rebuilt at a higher density. ●This site does not sit naturally within the village framework as unlike our other developments which branch off our main road, this is tucked away at the back of an industrial estate. ●Access to homes would mean driving and walking through that environment. It would have to be extremely well considered to avoid endangerment. ●The road is not particularly wide; it would require excellent site lines for lorries, industrial estate workers and farm vehicles, all moving within that vicinity in order to be safe. ●Our Youth Club would be on the main feeder road into that development, so young people could be endangered; adequate road safety measures would have to be put into place. ●The infrastructure is not secure; our drains flood, our broadband is slow and we understand that the sewerage system is at its limit. ●There are few employment opportunities in the village so a dormitory village is created with people commuting to work further increasing traffic. ●There are cuts to bus services through Atworth. ●Proportionate to the size of the village this is a large development being a 10% addition. ●This does not reflect the Housing Needs Survey of last year, which indicated that only four homes were needed. ●This would carry over 60% more affordable housing than is needed to meet village needs. ●Churchfields, The Village School, Atworth is full and we meet our target number pretty much year on year (called the Pan agreed by us and the local authority). ●The current situation is that reception and year one are a combined class. If there was a significant increase in numbers we would need to employ at least two staff members and sort another class out (which we currently don't have). This probably would have to be a mobile classroom (we already have two on site). ●There would be a considerable financial impact on our school in the short term as well as recruitment issues to deal with at a time of severe financial constraints. ●Children would have to be schooled at Monkton Farleigh, or Shaw (which is also full) ●There would be additional traffic on a road which already has a heavy volume of traffic, speeding traffic and heavy goods traffic impacting, as confirmed by our Community Speedwatch Group. ●There is a recognised problem walking our narrow pavements with the weight of traffic and this would be made greater. ●It is highly unlikely that the site will allocate sufficient parking of two cars per household, plus visitor parking (see Paxcroft Mead) which will add to the various many parking problems in the village. <p>Views on the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in Atworth village between now and 2026</p> <p>Atworth is now classed by Wiltshire Council as a large village. This is because the last twenty five years have seen a huge number of new houses being built here: Godwins Close, Clocktower View, Hayes Close, Nursery Close, Atworth Court, Mount Pleasant rebuilt with higher intensity housing, as well as individual houses infilled and garages and outhouses converted into dwellings. Our response has been fair and constructive leading to Atworth's dramatic emergence into Large Village status. It is because of this that we understand that Wiltshire Council seeks to put even more housing on us. There are so many new homes proposed in Melksham that we question</p>

Village: Atworth	Consultee: Atworth Parish Council
	<p>why there should be further pressure of housing in villages and Atworth in particular. A Housing Needs survey within the last few months showed a requirement for four homes only in Atworth. In the first instance we would ask that the forty houses suggested for Atworth be distributed within Melksham or in other smaller villages. Forty is a huge amount (10% increase in village house numbers) for Atworth to absorb. The site suggested is not at all well placed. We suggest this site should not be used. In the future we might take this number if better situated and built in two distinct phases. This would be to minimise and better manage the impact on our community. Packing homes together is a short term desperate measure which creates a stressful, not a rural environment. People need a choice of homes; they have chosen a small village lifestyle which is becoming threatened. If we have to accept more housing, the village should have an input on the nature of what is provided. The density, style and construction of the housing should reflect a rural setting. This would include a housing mix of studio flats, starter homes, larger flats, shared ownership schemes and smaller cottage type houses. We need something affordable so that younger people can remain in their village, and so that farm workers have somewhere decent and affordable to live.</p>
	<p>Village: Seend Consultee: Seend Parish Council</p>
Question	Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	The Neighbourhood Plan is currently under construction, I regret I'm unaware when it will be ready for submission to Wiltshire Council.
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	Yes.
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your	Yes.

Village: Atworth	Consultee: Atworth Parish Council	
village between now and 2026?		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	1081	During the recent consultation undertaken in Seend to raise awareness of the developing Neighbourhood Plan, villagers were overwhelmingly NOT IN FAVOUR of development on this site, due to loss of visual amenity and difficulty of access.
	3204	This site is currently well screened by mature trees, and has access onto School Road/Bollands Hill. However, a lower density of housing is preferred than that proposed of 31 houses maximum.

	Village: Semington Consultee: Semington Parish Council
Question	Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	In 2014, the Parish Council collaborated with Wiltshire Council [WC] to carry out a Housing Needs Survey of the village. This was published by WC in July 2014 and showed that there was a moderate ongoing need for housing in Semington. It also showed that, if there is to be new housing, villagers want this to be small scale development of 2 and 3 bedroom family and retirement accommodation. Most of this should be available to purchase, with some of this available for shared or low-cost ownership. This accords with the Parish Council's own wishes for Semington to retain the character of a small village community and remain the sort of place where people like to live.

	Village: Semington	
	Consultee: Semington Parish Council	
	<p>During the period when the HNS was carried out, the Parish Council was approached by a housing developer to discuss its proposals to build on agricultural land at the northern end of the village. It seemed to the Council that what the developer had in mind (around 24 dwellings) had the potential to meet the needs identified in the HNS. In the period since the publication of the report, and the present, there have been further discussions between the Council and the developer, and between the developer and WC, and we understand that a formal proposal will be made to WC by the developer later this autumn.</p> <p>The land in question is that identified as #1042 on the Semington SHLAA map.</p>	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	The only data we have about need is that contained in the 2014 housing needs survey. There is, however, a widespread conviction across the village that, in order to retain its village character, the amount of development that takes place should be very limited.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	1024	Sections that have gone before.
	330	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	328	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	331	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	329	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	332	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand. This land is a flood plain for Semington Brook and is prone to flooding.
	336	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	335	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	334	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	333	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.

	Village: Semington	
	Consultee: Semington Parish Council	
	3330	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	724	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.
	3402	This is agricultural land which is widely used by villagers for exercise and recreation. We oppose any building on it for these reasons, and because it would cause village boundaries to expand.

	Village: Steeple Ashton	
	Consultee: Steeple Ashton Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	The main issue in Steeple Ashton is the proximity to RAF Keevil, with the airfield being used for training purposes, both day and night time. All four of the sites identified on the map are either close to or directly underneath the take-off and landing path, with the associated noise and vibration.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be	We are in the process of undertaking a village survey. Housing growth and also the type of housing is one of the areas where we hope to gain a better understanding. We do know that there are several large single occupied properties in the village, where the occupiers want to stay in the village, but in a smaller property, but very few of these go up for sale.	

	Village: Steeple Ashton	
	Consultee: Steeple Ashton Parish Council	
locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	<p>Four sites appear on the map provided by Wiltshire Council. Each site was discussed and then the Parish Council ranked them in order of preference, based on their positive and negative locations. The order of preference was 188 first, followed by 3376, 1033, with 654 being the least preferred location.</p> <p>Councillors then discussed other sites in the village which they felt were more suitable than any of the 4 listed below. A favoured location was on Common Hill, adjacent to the northern edge of Newleaze. Positives include existing pavements and close proximity to bus stops. It also directly adjoins existing houses, rather than being a stand-alone residential site. Councillors felt it unlikely this site would generate lots more traffic through the centre of the village at peak times. A 'Site Submission Form' would need to be forwarded to Wiltshire Council, for consideration.</p> <p>The clerk has subsequently ascertained that the parcel of land adjoining Newleaze forms part of the portfolio owned by the charity of Crooke and Others. The Chairman of the Trust is Bryan Berrett. They currently use the rental income to provide assistance to those in need. More investigation will be undertaken by the charity to ascertain whether the land could be used for housing.</p>	
	188	Site 188 at the southern end of the village on Edington Road. The speed limit is currently 40mph at this location. This is a brownfield site, thought to be currently used for caravan storage. Issues would be a lack of pavement from the junction of Edington Road with Spiers Piece to the site, a distance of around 400 yards. A concern is that houses built on this site (roughly 4 acres) would generate a lot more traffic through the village on their way towards Trowbridge, Melksham or Devizes. Another negative is that the site is next to the boarding kennels on Edington Road which does generate noise, especially during school holiday periods. There are currently no bus stops at this end of the village.
	3376	Site 3376 at Elmsgate on the southern side of the village on Edington Road. The speed limit is currently 40mph at this location. This is a brownfield site (roughly 3 acres). It is currently used by Stephen Graver kitchens and a couple of other small businesses. Issues would be a lack of pavement from the junction of Edington Road with Spiers Piece to the site, a distance of around 300 yards. A concern is that houses built on this site would generate a lot more traffic through the village on their way towards Trowbridge, Melksham or Devizes. Another negative is that the site is opposite the boarding kennels on Edington Road which does generate noise, especially during school holiday periods. The site is also near to the flight path for Keevil airfield which is still frequently used by the RAF for training purposes. There are currently no bus stops at this end of the village.
	1033	Site 1033 is on the southern edge of the village at the junction of Edington Road with Spiers Piece. The speed limit is currently 40mph at this location. This site does afford a footpath heading towards the centre of the village, although to walk into the village using pavements, Edington Road would need to be crossed at a point where you cannot see traffic heading southwards out of the village. It is a Greenfield site of approximately 3 acres. The main area of concern with this site is the close proximity to Keevil airfield which is still frequently used by the RAF for training purposes. The

	Village: Steeple Ashton	
	Consultee: Steeple Ashton Parish Council	
		site is right on the edge of the flight path. Another concern is that houses built on this site would generate a lot more traffic through the village on their way towards Trowbridge, Melksham or Devizes. There are currently no bus stops at this end of the village.
	654	Site 654 is on the southern edge of the village on Edington Road. The speed limit is currently 40mph at this location. This site does not directly afford a footpath heading north towards the centre of the village. It is a Greenfield site of approximately 4 acres. The biggest negative with this site is that it sits directly underneath the flight path for the main runway on Keevil airfield. The site is probably about half a mile away from the start/end of the runway, which means that planes are at very low altitude at this point, creating both noise and vibration. The airfield is still frequently used by the RAF for training purposes. Large C-17 cargo planes regularly take off and land at Keevil and night time exercises are common, using helicopters, C-17 cargo planes and 'Hercules' type aircraft. Another concern is that houses built on this site would generate a lot more traffic through the village on their way towards Trowbridge, Melksham or Devizes. There are currently no bus stops at this end of the village.

	Village: Shaw & Whitley	
	Consultee: Melksham Without Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	There is a Joint Neighbourhood Plan for Melksham Town and Melksham Without Parish, whose official launch was held on 27th & 28th March 2015 following the designation of the area. The draft consultation document is expected early 2016 for submission to Wiltshire Council. David Way is the link Spatial Planning Officer for the Plan.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes, but this exercise has not yet been undertaken. First meeting of the Housing Task Group to be held on Tues 25th August 2015.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large	General note re: all SHLAA sites in Shaw & Whitley Civil Engineers, Atkins, have been engaged by Wiltshire Council to conduct a flood mapping exercise of both villages following severe flooding on 5th August 2013, 24th December 2013 and 18th September 2014. All of these flood events	

	Village: Shaw & Whitley	
	Consultee: Melksham Without Parish Council	
Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	have been fully documented. Many properties were flooded, some people were evacuated from their homes and a couple of families to this date have been unable to return to their homes. Wiltshire Council Principle Drainage Engineer described all three of these flooding events as 1 in 150 year storms. The Parish Council remains concerned that any further development of either of the villages could negatively impact further on the flooding issues that have yet to be resolved. Shaw Primary School is currently at capacity and unable to extend its building any more. The general infrastructure of Melksham with regard to GP surgeries, dentists, and secondary school places are considered insufficient to support additional development. Additionally, all GP facilities are on the wrong side of Melksham for residents of Shaw and Whitley.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	No more than 10%; there are approximately 550 properties in Shaw and Whitley so this would equate to 50-60 dwellings across both villages.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	312	There could be access problems with this site as this land is currently accessed across a private driveway. This land is on a flood plain and has been the subject of flooding issues although these have now been resolved. However, development on this land could create flooding issues further downstream to existing properties in Corsham Road. The site is identified for higher density housing than on other sites and it was felt that this would not be in keeping with the surrounding existing properties.
	318	This is a Brownfield Site. Access to this land is via a narrow track and historically other properties situated on this track have suffered from flooding. In order for this site to come forward some revision of access onto the main road is required.
	325	This site is considered wholly unsuitable. It is on the wrong side of the village of Shaw for access to facilities and would be inappropriate for social housing. There is no decent part of the road to cross as a pedestrian as it is on a bend and visibility is poor. The camber of the road is such that access onto the main road is already difficult for vehicles exiting Norrington Lane. Historically this land is believed to be contaminated as it was used to bury diseased cattle.
	1023	This site is considered unsuitable for housing due to longstanding flood risk and the significant encroachment of land between the two villages.
	3148	This site was previously recommended for affordable housing and is considered suitable for an extension of the residential area of Whitley. This is more suitable for higher density housing than Site 312.
	3177	This site is considered unsuitable. This site is exceptionally large and is considered to be infill from Norrington Common, and would erode the separation of Shaw and Whitley from Melksham. The southern side of the site is on the wrong side of the main road from the village facilities and would constitute an urban extension into open countryside. This site forms part of flood zone 2 and historically has been the subject of severe flooding, with southbrook being unable to cope with the volume of water. Any development would need to be subject to a flood alleviation scheme. Shaw primary school is fully subscribed and has no capacity for expansion. The A365 is a very busy and congested at

	Village: Shaw & Whitley Consultee: Melksham Without Parish Council	
		commuter times; highways improvements would be needed if any further development of this area took place.
	3246	This site has been previously recommended and is considered suitable for an extension of the residential area of Whitley.
	3459	This site is considered unsuitable for housing due to longstanding flood risk and the significant encroachment of land between the two villages.

North & West HMA : Chippenham community area

	Village: Hullavington Consultee: Hullavington Parish Council	
Response		At the Hullavington Parish Council meeting it was decided that as a Neighbourhood Plan steering group is being formed the Parish Council should not comment at this time. When I receive further information I will let you know. I'm sorry for any inconvenience caused and not being able to give you a response at this time.

North & West HMA : Bradford on Avon community area

	Village: Holt Consultee: Holt Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft	It was submitted in July 2015.	

	Village: Holt Consultee: Holt Parish Council	
Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	54 new dwellings have been built within the settlement boundary of Holt within the last 10 years. Additionally, 12 new affordable homes have been provided which have largely satisfied the established need. The community have been engaged for some years in a master planning process with the owner and developer of the Holt Tannery site. This is close to conclusion with the expected output being a mixed use development with up to 70 dwellings within the settlement boundary. We believe that further development beyond this would be unsustainable with the present village infrastructure.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	As indicated above, development beyond that envisaged in the Neighbourhood Plan would be unsustainable.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	3448	Sits in the Green Belt and outside the Settlement Boundary. Not supported for development by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.
	635	Sits partly in the Green Belt and outside the Settlement Boundary. Not supported for development by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.
	314	Western section. Sits partly in the Green Belt and outside the Settlement Boundary. Not supported for development by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.
	314	Eastern section. Sits outside the Settlement Boundary. Not supported for development by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.
	729	Sits outside the Settlement Boundary. Not supported for development by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.
	253	Undeveloped part only. This area is currently occupied by the disused tannery and sits in the Area of Opportunity designated as follows in the Core Strategy 5.36: "An 'area of opportunity' in Holt (designated in the West Wiltshire District Plan) will be retained as it continues to offer a suitable location for mixed use development in accordance with Core Policy 1. Development of this site should be delivered through a comprehensive master planning process, and should be focused on providing live/work or local employment opportunities to help reduce the need for commuting". Development of this site is supported by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan. It is anticipated that a planning application will be submitted shortly for approximately 70 dwellings and commercial space.
	180	Part of this site is occupied by the Midlands Industrial Estate also forming part of the Area of Opportunity (see 253). The whole sits outside the Settlement Boundary. Further development of this site is not supported by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.

	Village: Holt	
	Consultee: Holt Parish Council	
		Council/Neighbourhood Plan.
	3082	This is the site of the industrial waste dump associated with Holt Tannery. Further development of this site is not supported by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.
	3308a&b	Sits outside the Settlement Boundary. Not supported for development by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan. An outline planning application for up to 98 houses on this site has been refused by Wiltshire Council (14/12109/OUT). An appeal has been lodged.
	1037	Sits outside the Settlement Boundary. Not supported for development by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.
	3444	Sits outside the Settlement Boundary. Not supported for development by the Parish Council/Neighbourhood Plan.

	Village: Westwood	
	Consultee: Westwood Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	The Neighbourhood Plan Working Group is intending to engage in a public consultation in relation to the Draft Neighbourhood Plan in September 2015.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	SHLAA Site 681 (Westwood) is a very large Green Belt/greenfield site to the west of the village of Westwood that, if developed, would significantly increase the size of the existing village by some 40%. If such a development were permitted the character and nature of the existing village would be irreversibly changed and the rural environs currently enjoyed at the western boundary of the village would be destroyed. Local infrastructure is inadequate to sustain such a large development. The C217 and C222 roads that would necessarily be used by the occupiers of a development at SHLAA681 are minor roads with narrow sections (and a tight blind bend at SHLAA Site 681) that already experience high levels of traffic. Development at SHLAA Site 681 would exacerbate an already challenging transport flow problem. The local village	

	Village: Westwood	
	Consultee: Westwood Parish Council	
	school would have inadequate capacity or resources to accommodate the likely increase in the school roll.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes. The Neighbourhood Plan will address this issue and will relate the level of housing growth that is necessary to maintain a vibrant rural community and to sustain existing village amenities, such as the village school, shop and local businesses.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	681	No. This site is not supported by the Parish Council for the reasons given under Question 4 above.

	Village: Winsley	
	Consultee: Winsley Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	The Winsley settlement area is entirely surrounded by Green Belt. Wiltshire Council has made it very clear that no development in the Green Belt review, for which there are no plans. There are no potential sites within the settlement boundary and so Winsley Parish Council have suspended work on the Neighbourhood plan as it is unable to allocate potential development sites and considers its Green Belt safeguarded by strict policy.	
5. Do you have an	Analysis of the results from a parish survey suggests that only a very small level of housing growth would be acceptable.	

	Village: Winsley Consultee: Winsley Parish Council	
understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	3332	The proposed site lies in the Green Belt. Part of the site is owned by the parish council who were not consulted in putting the land forward for assessment. Land is outside the Winsley Settlement Boundary.

North & West HMA : Malmesbury community area

	Village: Ashton Keynes Consultee: Ashton Keynes Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	The preparation of the draft Plan is well advanced and we expect to submit it to Wiltshire Council in November 2015.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes. The draft Plan provides for two sites which will more than meet local needs for the foreseeable future. This is covered by Policy HSP1 in the draft Plan i.e. SHLAA Ref. No.151: AB Carter Haulage, Happy Land - 11 houses (max) SHLAA Ref. No. 484: The former Cotswold Community, now called Ashtonfields - 48 houses (max) Under the Neighbourhood Plan process, site assessment work has been undertaken. This has flagged up a number of potential sites, most of which are local SHLAA sites. They have all been subjected to evidence-based scrutiny using a pro-forma informed by the National Planning Practice Guidance. These consultations show that large-scale house building, either	

	<p>Village: Ashton Keynes Consultee: Ashton Keynes Parish Council</p>
	<p>within the village or immediately adjacent to it, is not favoured because there is no local need for it – see Section 5 of this document. Furthermore, it is not required to contribute to the present and future economic, environmental and social sustainability of the village. In addition to the two selected sites, it is accepted that there is likely to be a few individual houses built on windfall sites within the village.</p> <p>Allocated sites: SHLAA Ref. No. 151 has been allocated because it is a suitable site within the village's Settlement Boundary. It would be small - the community has said that it does not wish to see large developments with the village, it is on a largely brown-field site (an ex lorry haulage depot) and the family which owns the site has indicated that, in principle, it would be amenable to developing the site at some stage. SHLAA Ref. 484 has been allocated for different reasons. It would be a relatively large-scale development which would provide housing well over and above the needs of the village. Within this site there are four listed buildings that are in desperate need of repair. Without funding from other sources these buildings will become derelict. For this reason it is recognised that a certain amount of enabling development could be permitted on this site in order to rescue these buildings. Whilst the site is within the Parish of Ashton Keynes, it is well away from the village itself. However, the Parish Council would look to ensure that a new Settlement Boundary is thrown up around this area should development take place. The reasons why the other sites have not been allocated are shown in the 'Comments on individual sites' section at the end of this document.</p>
<p>4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?</p>	<p>The are 7 possible sites that have already been identified under the SHLAA mechanism, and 3 which have not. The views that the Parish Council has on these sites are as per the findings of the emerging Neighbourhood Plan. The Council's views on the two allocated sites are shown in Section 3 of this document. Its views on the remaining sites are shown in the 'Comments on individual sites' shown at the end of this document.</p>
<p>5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?</p>	<p>Yes. This is based on calculations derived from data and methodology in the Wiltshire Core Strategy, together with findings of the Ashton Keynes Parish Housing Needs Survey - Aug 2013, carried out by Wiltshire Council.</p> <p>The Wiltshire Core Strategy provides a methodology to calculate the number of new homes required in Ashton Keynes by 2026. Using this methodology, a proportional allocation of houses based on population produces a requirement for 15 new homes to be built in Ashton Keynes by 2026.</p> <p>Independent from the Wiltshire Core Strategy analysis, the Ashton Keynes housing survey identified the following needs for housing development in the parish.</p> <p>Subsidised rented housing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Four one-bedroom homes for singles / couples three of which should be bungalows / single level accommodation.

	<p>Village: Ashton Keynes Consultee: Ashton Keynes Parish Council</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One two-bedroom home for a family. • Two three-bedroom homes for families one of which should be a bungalow / single level accommodation. <p>Shared / Low cost home ownership:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One two-bedroom home for a family • Three three-bedroom homes for families <p>The total figure of 11 dwellings from this survey is broadly in line with the figures derived from the analysis of the Wiltshire Core Strategy data.</p> <p>Feedback from community engagement programmes indicate this would be locally acceptable.</p>	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	151a/b	This is included as an allocated site within the draft Neighbourhood Plan and is therefore supported by the Parish Council - see Section 3 of this document.
	484	This is included as an allocated site within the draft Neighbourhood Plan and is therefore supported by the Parish Council - see Section 3 of this document.
	614	It has not been allocated because it is not needed for housing, it is inside the Conservation Area and outside the Settlement Boundary. Support for this stance has been expressed by the local community at recent public consultations.
	702	It has not been allocated because it is not needed for housing, it is inside the Conservation Area and outside the Settlement Boundary. Support for this stance has been expressed by the local community at recent public consultations.
	3119	It has not been allocated because it is not needed for housing, it is inside the Conservation Area and outside the Settlement Boundary. Support for this stance has been expressed by the local community at recent public consultations.
	1005	It has not been allocated because it is not needed for housing, it is inside the Conservation Area and outside the Settlement Boundary. It is also within Flood Zone 2. Support for this stance has been expressed by the local community at recent public consultations.
	722	It has not been allocated because it is not needed for housing, it is inside the Conservation Area and outside the Settlement Boundary. Support for this stance has been expressed by the local community at recent public consultations.
	Non SHLAA Site	Land adjacent to Derryfield Cottage, Ashton Keynes SN6 6PA. This is a 0.45 hectare site adjacent to the B4696. It has not been allocated because it is not needed for housing, it is inside the Conservation Area and outside the Settlement Boundary. Support for this stance has been expressed by the local community at recent public consultations.

		Village: Ashton Keynes Consultee: Ashton Keynes Parish Council
	Non SHLAA Site	Land at Grove Farm, Ashton Keynes SN6 6NX. This is a 3.5 hectare site near the High Road, Ashton Keynes. It has not been allocated because it is not needed for housing, it is inside the Conservation Area and outside the Settlement Boundary. Support for this stance has been expressed by the local community at recent public consultations.
	Non SHLAA Site	Land at Wheatleys Farm, High Road Ashton Keynes SN6 6NX. This is a 1.48 hectare site. It has not been allocated because it is not needed for housing, it is outside the Settlement Boundary and within Flood Zone 2. The site is adjacent to a designated Flood Store Zone. Policy ENP3 Clause 2 of the draft Neighbourhood Plan states: "Housing development on sites within or adjacent to the identified Flood Store Zones will not be allowed." Support for this stance has been expressed by the local community at recent public consultations. A recent planning application for housing development was refused by Wiltshire Council, some of the grounds for refusal being that the site is outside the Settlement Boundary and is in Flood Zone 2.

		Village: Crudwell Consultee: Crudwell Parish Council
Response		I would like to advise you that Crudwell Parish Council will not be submitting information to this exercise but thank you for the details.

		Village: Great Somerford Consultee: Great Somerford Parish Council
Question		Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?		Yes.
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		The Neighbourhood Plan (NP) is complete and the 6 week consultation with the parish finished on 16th August 2015. The Neighbourhood Plan can be viewed at: www.greatsomerford.info and click "Neighbourhood Plan."

	Village: Great Somerford Consultee: Great Somerford Parish Council	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes, the NP has allocated site for housing.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	All information regarding the SHLAA are contained in the NP online.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes, the NP has identified 35 dwellings on 5 sites; The Wiltshire Council Core Strategy identified a requirement of 151 houses in the 5 Large Villages of the Malmesbury Community area. We assume that Great Somerford would assume one fifth of the total, say, 31 houses and this was increased to 35 to ensure that 12 affordable houses are included in the total of 35 houses.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
		See NP

North & West HMA : Royal Wootton Bassett community area

	Village: Lyneham & Bradenstoke Consultee: Lyneham and Bradenstoke Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft	The New V Neighbourhood Plan is moving forward, looking for an informal consultation to take place before the end of the year, with submission and final poll targeted for the first half of next year.	

	Village: Lyneham & Bradenstoke	
	Consultee: Lyneham and Bradenstoke Parish Council	
Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Not necessarily in Lyneham and Bradenstoke, although we did look to the previous SHLAA to give us a guide towards available sites.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	Without a change of heart from NHS England about the provision of GP cover for the Parish, we should probably be looking to significantly reduce the number of households in the Parish rather than increasing our population. The Parish will undergo a major change in the autumn, when MoD Lyneham re-opens for business, and the new residents will doubtless find that there are facilities needed in the Parish that have not yet been identified. As a Parish Council we look forward to working with our new military neighbours to make their move here as smooth as possible. We are concerned to see that the site between Horsefair Lane and St Mary's Close in Bradenstoke has been removed from the SHLAA without either explanation or consultation. This site was the last practicable site in the village that could be used for some much needed smaller and/or affordable family homes in the village. Without which the village will tend to ossify.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	As a Parish Council we realise that new homes will be needed to maintain the vibrancy of our community. We also know that there is an understandable reluctance to new development taking place on fields adjacent to people's homes. We believe that there could be some demand from the instructors, and other permanent staff on the MoD base for homes to buy, but this is a potential demand that has yet to be quantified.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	156	This mobile home site has been redeveloped in recent years after a couple of changes of ownership. It seems odd that it is seen as a potential development site when it is already providing homes for about 18 households.
	3126	If this is to be accessed from a new roundabout by the Old Rectory on the A3102 it would help to slow traffic down coming into the village. It would need links through the existing village to stop it feeling like an enclave, and allow access through to any new facilities that may come with it for villagers in existing properties.
	476	The main concern with this site is that it would mean another major increase in traffic passing the School. Which is why this area was not permitted to be developed when the rest of Victoria Drive was built.
	97	The site is still in use, both keeping parked vehicles off the adjacent roads, and storage for maintenance equipment for the MoD estates.
	98	A small site that may be too close to the perimeter of MoD Lyneham
	2056	This site is moving away from most of the village infrastructure, eg. No mains gas, the access would have to be from the A3102 on a short straight between two blind bends, in a 40mph zone
	3356	It is difficult to see how this site could be supported seeing how it would extend the village away from its centre, and the fact that the access to and egress from the site would be onto a main road with a 50mph speed limit.
	3406	Given that site 476 was turned down many years ago over concerns about the amount of traffic having to use Preston Lane and that St Joseph's has since been built. We doubt that this could be supported because of the serious

	Village: Lyneham & Bradenstoke Consultee: Lyneham and Bradenstoke Parish Council	
		increase in traffic it would cause on Preston Lane
69		This site is still shown as a site for allocation but has been fully developed for more than two years.

North & West HMA : Calne community area

	Village: Derry Hill & Studley Consultee: Calne Without Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	Consultation stage still on-going but Policy selection and writing started. Target date for submission to WC is March 2016.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	SHLAA site comments attached.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be	Council advise 45 on the basis that the Wiltshire Council core strategy advised this number and that councillors consider that with required infrastructure and developments that have already taken place over a number of years, that this is the maximum that the village can support.	

Village: Derry Hill & Studley		
Consultee: Calne Without Parish Council		
locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	3300	Site has already been granted planning permission and has been agreed with Wiltshire Council not to make comments.
	3492	Plus. Near facilities, school and bus services. Sustainable reach of facilities. Not in current agricultural use. Less inconvenience to rest of village as access for traffic compared to Norley Road sites
	3301	Plus Close to facilities and school Always going to be an infill site Currently only being used as a garden Negative In conservation area, would have to be built in sympathy with the adjacent buildings, enhance the area and generally comply with the Conservation area rules Council voted in favour of SHLAA 3301
	3489	Plus Easy access to Sustrans path for walking / Cycling to Chippenham / Calne Negative Agricultural land, not in a development area Green belt Single track road Significant increase in traffic Concern that once in SHLAA no control over large development area Access problems Distance from facilities in Derry Hill Council voted against SHLAA 3489
	3302	Plus Close to facilities and school Right side of A4 Natural boundaries

	Village: Derry Hill & Studley	
	Consultee: Calne Without Parish Council	
		<p>Negative</p> <p>Single track road</p> <p>Access to Old Road and A4 difficult at busy times</p> <p>Traffic volumes at Bowood white gates entrance – where Old Road meets Church Road</p> <p>Agricultural land</p> <p>Council voted in favour of SHLAA 3302.</p>
	3490	<p>Plus</p> <p>Easy access to Sustrans path for walking / Cycling to Chippenham / Calne</p> <p>Negative</p> <p>Agricultural land, not in development area</p> <p>Single track road</p> <p>Significant increase in traffic</p> <p>Access problems</p> <p>Close to wildlife area</p> <p>Long distance from Derry Hill facilities</p> <p>Agricultural conditions on some of the land</p> <p>Council voted against SHLAA 3490.</p>
		A number of possible infill sites were discussed, which Council were in favour of in the village with a number of sites having been discussed at greater length, but no SHLAA documentation could be submitted.

North & West HMA : Westbury community area

	Village: Westbury	
	Consultee: Westbury Town Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood	No.	

	Village: Westbury	
	Consultee: Westbury Town Council	
Plan being prepared in your area?		
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	<p>Westbury Town Council would not want to make further comments until we have a copy of the latest Housing Land Supply Statement dated 1st April 2015.</p> <p>We also note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That there are approximately 300 house sites at SHLAA site 301 under appeal • A proposal for 145 house sites entering the planning process at SHLAA site 1014 • 220 house sites are under construction at SHLAA site 300. <p>On the map you have mislabelled SHLAA site 300 as this is a commitment. We think we have enough sites and do not need to identify any more.</p>	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment

	Village: Bratton	
	Consultee: Bratton Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your	No.	

	Village: Bratton Consultee: Bratton Parish Council	
area?		
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	WC SHLAA erf: 321 Bratton Parish Council advises Wiltshire Council that we feel that this is a site with potential for building in the future bearing in mind it is in the Salisbury Plain Special Landscape Area and, as it is at the West entrance to the village, any development should be designed to present an attractive aspect both in terms of landscape and architecture to this approach.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	321	Bratton Parish Council advises Wiltshire Council that we feel that this is a site with potential for building in the future bearing in mind it is in the Salisbury Plain Special Landscape Area and, as it is at the West entrance to the village, any development should be designed to present an attractive aspect both in terms of landscape and architecture to this approach.

Village: Heywood & Hawkeridge		
Consultee: Heywood Parish Council		
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	ASAP.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?		
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	177	Contrain to Wiltshire's Core Strategy Sections 2 and 32.
	337	Contrain to Wiltshire's Core Strategy Sections 2 and 32.
	338	Contrain to Wiltshire's Core Strategy Sections 2 and 32.
	742	Contrain to Wiltshire's Core Strategy Sections 2 and 32.
	1014	Contrain to Wiltshire's Core Strategy Sections 2 and 32.
	3238	Contrain to Wiltshire's Core Strategy Sections 2 and 32.
	3445	Contrain to Wiltshire's Core Strategy Sections 2 and 32.

North & West HMA : Warminster community area

		Village: Warminster	
		Consultee: Warminster Town Council	
Question	Response		
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.		
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	The draft Neighbourhood Plan has completed its first consultation and the Council is currently working on the collation of all comments received and preparing an updated draft Plan and policies. It is expected that this work will be completed by December 2015 and submitted to Wiltshire Council for independent inspection.		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	No.		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	Warminster Town Council sees no need to identify additional development sites at present and will wait until the publication of the West Urban Extension master plan and other technical documentation and publication of the new settlement boundary before making further comment. In addition we believe the number of windfall sites will exceed expectation between now and 2026. These will more likely be brownfield sites.		
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?			
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment	
	726/727	As these sites are not part of the town or parish of Warminster, they would be contrary to the provision for new housing in the newly adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy, which (beyond those already permitted) provides for just 49	

	Village: Warminster	
	Consultee: Warminster Town Council	
		new dwellings for the whole of the Warminster Community Area outside Warminster for the period to 2026. Would you please therefore note my objection to both of them?

	Village: Chapmanslade	
	Consultee: Chapmanslade Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	No.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes, as detailed in the Core strategy.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	3203	No comment at this stage of the process.

	Village: Chapmanslade Consultee: Chapmanslade Parish Council	
	1022	The parish council considers that this site should remain as an industrial site to retain employment in the village.
	316	No comment at this stage of the process.

	Village: Codford Consultee: Codford Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?		
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	737	This is an agricultural workshop.

Village: Codford	
Consultee: Codford Parish Council	
611	This plot has been subject of a previous planning application to build a number of houses. It was objected to widely by the community mainly due to it being outside village policy limits and the proposed approach road would disturb the nearby war grave cemetery. The Parish Council considers that those grounds still apply and therefore it is not supported.
612	Not supported due to it being a greenfield site.
1028	Not supported due to its siting, being well beyond the existing settlement boundaries, which would tend to substantially change the topography of the village.
278	Not supported as per 1028.
252	It is not clear where this plot refers to on the ground but it is thought that it has already been developed.
174	Not supported. The plot is within the curtilage of a listed building and it is considered that any development there would detract from the status of the main structure.
3397	The Parish Council has previously commented positively on the potential development of this site. A prospective purchaser has indicated his intentions of building three houses on part of the plot and the Parish Council would not object in principle.
3087	The Parish Council is supportive of light industrial development only for this plot.
3114	Whilst this plot is outside current village policy limits the Parish Council would not object to the northern part of the site being developed for residential use.
3491	The Council would be against this plot being developed as it would materially and substantially impact upon the character and makeup of the parish in a detrimental way. Codford Parish Council would strongly object to the development of this site on the grounds that it is a green field plot which is outside the existing village policy limits.
Non SHLAA site	Ivy Cottages, Codford, BA12 0NL. This plot is a satellite settlement outside existing village policy limits and does not feature in the attached sketch plan submitted by the Spatial Planning Team. The land owner has indicated plans to demolish the 4 cottages on site and develop the footprint into rental properties and affordable homes for first time buyers for local people. The Council considers this type of development to be a much needed option for the village and would be supportive in principle.

Village: Heytesbury	
Consultee: Heytesbury Parish Council	
Question	Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your	No.

	Village: Heytesbury Consultee: Heytesbury Parish Council	
area?		
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	<p>HEYTESBURY SHLAA 3486</p> <p>The site is situated outside the defined village policy limits for Heytesbury.</p> <p>The proposed area is located within a Special Landscape Area where development is considered detrimental to the high quality of the landscape.</p> <p>There have been two previous Planning Applications: 07/00214/FUL and 08/03292/FUL both refused.</p> <p>All trees 24 on the site have TPO's. The site is located within a Special Landscape Area where development should not be permitted and where it could be considered detrimental to the high quality of the landscape. Development of Houses in this location would be detrimental to the open character of this part of the village.</p> <p>The Football and Cricket Pitch are very active in the community. Cricket has been played in Heytesbury since 1870 Seigfried Sassoon – lived in Heytesbury House from the early 1930s until his death in 1967 Extract from BBC.co.uk – World War One at Home Robert says it's a comfort to him that Heytesbury's cricket pitch is a living reminder of his step-grandfather: "The pitch is still there and is still ultimately owned by the Sassoon family, so his legacy continues in the sense that the pitch continues and the Heytesbury & Sutton Veny cricket team continues."</p> <p>Hopefully, the next time drivers on the A36 see the diminutive white sign for Heytesbury, they might consider visiting the cricket pitch where one of Britain's greatest war poets enjoyed the simple pleasures of cricket.</p>	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	<p>Under the newly adopted Core Policy 31, the total of new housing numbers for 2015 to 2026 in the Warminster community area outside Warminster is now 49, after allowing for the houses already built or permitted.</p> <p>The Community Area consists of 5 villages, which equates to an average of 10 houses. Over the next 10 years, sustainable by infill.</p>	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	3486	The Parish Council unanimously agreed to OBJECT to the proposed site as suitable for development at an Extraordinary Meeting held in Heytesbury Church on Thursday 6 August 2015.
	3486	The site is situated outside the defined village policy limits for Heytesbury The proposed area is located within a Special Landscape Area where development is considered detrimental to the high quality of the landscape

	Village: Heytesbury	
	Consultee: Heytesbury Parish Council	
		There have been two previous Planning Applications : 07/00214/FUL and 08/03292/FUL both of which were refused All 24 trees on the site have TPO's. The site is located within a Special Landscape Area where development should not be permitted and where it could be considered detrimental to the high quality of the landscape. Development of houses in this location would be detrimental to the open character of this part of the village. All trees on the site have TPO's The site is located within a Special Landscape Area where development should not be permitted and where it could be considered detrimental to the high quality of the landscape. Development of Houses in this location would be detrimental to the open character of this part Of the village. Both the Football and Cricket Pitch are very active in the community. Cricket has been played in Heytesbury since 1870
	3486	Seigfried Sassoon – lived in Heytesbury House from the early 1930s until his death in 1967. Extract from BBC.co.uk – World War One at Home. Robert says it's a comfort to him that Heytesbury's cricket pitch is a living reminder of his step-grandfather: "The pitch is still there and is still ultimately owned by the Sassoon family, so his legacy continues in the sense that the pitch continues and the Heytesbury & Sutton Veney cricket team continues." Hopefully, the next time drivers on the A36 see the diminutive white sign for Heytesbury, they might consider visiting the cricket pitch where one of Britain's greatest war poets enjoyed the simple pleasures of cricket.
	3486	The site is of special archaeological interest. Extract from 07-00214-FUL: "The site therefore clearly has archaeological potential with deposits present likely to be of local significance, at least in terms of development of the medieval village and potentially also the prehistoric landscape."
	3486	Heytesbury is located within a Special Landscape Area where development should not be permitted where it is considered detrimental to the high quality of the landscape.
	3486	Since 2008 the village has had approximately 15+ new houses built.
	3486	I wasn't aware that the whole of the present football and cricket fields, with the land around them, had been put forward as a SHLAA site. This land is the part of Heytesbury Park (the parkland around the Grade II listed Heytesbury House) which was cut off from the rest of the property when the Heytesbury bypass was built in the 1980s, and it has been used for sports since at least the 1950s. In the West Wiltshire District Plan and its First Alteration (2004) both sports fields were safeguarded for recreation, and I believe they are still safeguarded. About eight years ago just the football field (the area nearest the village) was put forward for a mixed development of about 16 houses, plus a village hall, and the plans caused deep division and ill feeling in the village. If anything, that was made worse by a village referendum! Planning officers will know that under Core Policy 31 the total of new housing numbers for 2015 to 2026 in the Warminster community area outside Warminster is just 49, after discounting the completions and permissions. I believe several more suitable and less controversial sites than this have come forward in other Large Villages, and I do urge officers not to support any of this land for allocation or inclusion in the settlement boundary.

		Village: Sutton Veny
		Consultee: Sutton Veny Parish Council
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	There are no plans to prepare a Neighbourhood Plan at present.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	NA	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?		
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes we do. The recent housing needs survey organised by Wiltshire Council identified the requirement for affordable housing. There are areas inside the new settlement boundary that could be used for housing, should the owners decide to develop their land.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	320	This site is supported by the Parish Council, subject to usual planning guidelines.
	3407	This area has been identified as a possible site for affordable housing, though there are a number of environmental and highways planning issues that still have to be addressed by the vendor.
	3457	This site is strongly objected by the Parish Council. The majority of the area is outside the new proposed settlement boundary. It is in an area of AONB and if housing is permitted, it would set a dangerous precedent for future similar applications in this area. Furthermore: - The access roads do not support such a large development. The Deverill Road to the west is narrow in places and

	Village: Sutton Veny	
	Consultee: Sutton Veny Parish Council	
		<p>will only allow one large vehicle to pass. There is no pavement in the Deverill Road. In addition, Sutton Veny High Street has no pavements, with road side parking there is only space for one vehicle to pass.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is no infrastructure to support such a development, Sutton Veny Primary School is already at maximum capacity. - There is a poultry farm to the immediate west of the SHLAA, which has been derelict for some years, but permission may shortly be given to develop this further. The site may well re-open under the current planning guidelines, in which case the noise, odour and movement of heavy lorries would be a risk and blight on any development of this SHLAA.
	3494	<p>This SHLAA is an AONB and is on open land. The road to the north is a single track and to the south is a narrow road without pavements, is not on a bus route and for large vehicles is a single road only in the west with few passing places. It might be close to a poultry farm that is currently under consideration by Wiltshire Council for re-development. Housing here would attract further development between this SHLAA and the village which would radically affect the character of the village. There would need to be a major investment in the highway infrastructure for this development to be worthy of proper consideration before the implications of the AONB and residents near to this SHLAA can be assessed.</p>

	Village: Bishopstrow	
	Consultee: Bishopstrow Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing	At this stage of consultation you are looking at sites in towns and Large Villages. With little more than 100 residents	

	<p>Village: Bishopstrow Consultee: Bishopstrow Parish Council</p>	
<p>Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?</p>	<p>Bishopstrow does not fall into either of these categories and land should not, therefore, be allocated for potential development. In terms of development we have maintained a consistent line that states that we oppose unnecessary development on Greenfield sites, where there is a potential environmental impact; in or near our Conservation Area; that is outside of a settlement area; that has the potential to affect, adversely, our village in terms of traffic flows (speeds and volumes) and ambience. However, we are in favour of the development of Brownfield sites as was demonstrated when we did not oppose the development of the former Beeline coach company site on Bishopstrow Road.</p> <p>Additionally Bishopstrow is concerned about the spread of Warminster's settlement area in the east and the possibility that our identity as a separate village would be lost. Bishopstrow as the closest village to Warminster tried to join Warminster's Neighbourhood Plan Group but were excluded even though Warminster, as a market town, provides all the facilities that Bishopstrow uses.</p> <p>In terms of numbers even the Wiltshire Council Adviser to The Warminster Neighbourhood Plan Group made it clear that the allocation of sites in the East of Warminster and in Bishopstrow could lead to the development of up to 3000 houses, far in excess of what is required</p> <p>" however I do not think that community consultation has said that it wants Warminster necessarily to deliver say 3000 houses in the next 10 years or so on Greenfield sites and then subsequently you find that more are required post 2026, especially given that the WWUE may in fact take 10 – 15 years to build out. I would therefore recommend that you take out the SHLAA sites and any reference to them including what is in effect your comment on the settlement boundary review. By including them you are in effect allocating land."</p> <p>11/9/2014 from Natasha Styles (Wiltshire advisor to the Neighbourhood Planning Group)</p>	
<p>5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?</p>		
<p>Comments on individual sites...</p>	<p>Site</p>	<p>Comment</p>
	<p>726 / 727</p>	<p>These sites both fall outside of our general principles as outlined in 4 above. Additionally:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They are outside of Warminster and its own settlement area and part of Bishopstrow which is not even defined as a small village in Core Policy 31. Sites at this stage should either be in towns or Large Villages. 2. The Core Strategy provides for sufficient housing up to 2026 and beyond without the use of grade 1 and 2 agricultural land as in these 2 sites. 3. These sites are geographically isolated from the town and the centre of the village hence creating a precedent for further speculative development 4. The sites are either in or are adjacent to The Bishopstrow Conservation Area.

	Village: Bishopstrow Consultee: Bishopstrow Parish Council	
		5. The sites are adjacent and uphill from flood zones 2 and 3 and have the potential to exacerbate already threatened housing around Bishopstrow Mill and downstream.

South Wiltshire HMA : Amesbury community area

		Village: Shrewton
		Consultee: Shrewton Parish Council
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	Work on the Shrewton NP has been ongoing since 2013. The NP Draft Version 2 is about to be published following extensive consultation and communication. Three more versions are envisaged. Discussions with landowners are ongoing. The current timelines envisage Referendum in early 2016.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	'Yes. Wilts Council has provided a site evaluation template, which is being adapted to suit our circumstances as a Large Village. Landowners have proposed at least 5 sites, all are in SHLAA areas.'	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	Yes. For reasons of traffic (which is a major problem) and, to a lesser extent, infrastructure, all SHLAA sites for housing development need to be located on the east side of Shrewton, because the majority of local traffic is in that direction. This also enables the village to connect with isolated, satellite social housing. The primary site for housing development is SHLAA 154.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Yes, we do understand and will be monitoring the needs to take appropriate action when required.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	S209	This site lies outside the boundary of Shrewton at the back of The Hollow in Orcheston Parish. It is understood that the owner of the land is not interested in developing it and access would be an issue.
	S146	This site, from The Gibbet to the back of Tanners Lane, is too big for the number of homes required by the village by 2026. Access would be via the A360 or The Hollow both of which would be unacceptable.
	S1066	Access to this site would be via the A360 which could cause issues.
	S134	This is an educational site, not for development.
	S1067	This site is on the junction of the B390, Chitterne Road, and the A360 and is liable to flooding. It lies outside the

Village: Shrewton	
Conultee: Shrewton Parish Council	
	settlement boundary.
S3384	This site lies outside the settlement boundary and is owned by the MOD
S3385	This site lies outside the settlement boundary and is owned by the MOD.
S113	This site is not favoured by the Parish Council because it is subject to ground water flooding.
S154	This site would join up the village and support inclusion of isolated parts of the community. It could add social value. It would provide an opportunity to add a footpath along the London Road therefore keeping pedestrians safe. It would create a minimum of traffic burden by keeping traffic out of the centre of the village. It would help to calm through traffic and improve road safety. It has no known environmental, conservation, historical or archaeological issues. It has good access for site development and is unlikely to disrupt village life. It is well positioned for renewable energy and energy efficient installations. This site has the potential to meet all the community requirements in one location to give the economy of scale and the best funding opportunity for community facilities.
S5	This site is very small and could only provide a few homes gaining access via the London Road on a dangerous bend.
S77	This site lies off Nett Road and is well located to provide foot access to the village shops but would add more traffic to the A360 or via Highfield Rise from the High Street. Alternatively drivers might use the Byway which would be unsafe and dangerous and, in effect, turn it into a highway. Access through the proposed 154 site, if built, could be an option, but only after 2026.
S151	This site although distant from village amenities does have potential. Access onto the A360 would be a major issue, possibly requiring traffic management, e.g. a roundabout. It would also require new pedestrian access. It is outside the settlement boundary.
S150	This site is next to S151, has the same issue in that it is distant from village amenities. Access onto the A360 would be an issue especially as it partially lies on the bend of the road and there is no other access to the site.
S152	This small site lies in front of Rollestone Manor and would provide space for only a few homes. Access would be directly onto the A360 and dangerous. The site is outside the settlement boundary.
S51	This site is behind the Royal Oak on the A360 with access via Hilltop Close, off the High Street and Highfield Rise. It is a steep slope and deemed to be unsuitable for housing.

South Wiltshire HMA : Tisbury community area

	<p>Village: Ludwell Consultee: Donhead St Mary Parish Council</p>
<p>Response</p>	<p>Further to your e-mail of June 30th 2015, The Donhead St Mary Parish Council has now had time to discuss the implications of the DPD on the village of Ludwell and would offer the following response.</p> <p>The Parish of Donhead St Mary consists of a number of villages and hamlets and covers an area of 2115 hectares. It is the third largest parish in England and still maintains a very rural feel. Although for the purposes of the DPD Ludwell has been classified as a Large Village, a more accurate description would probably be that it consists of a series of hamlets strung together by the A30.</p> <p>When considering future development within the parish, the PC is extremely mindful that the community wishes to maintain that rural feel and would rather see the organic growth of these hamlets than the building of new estates. Indeed, this message was received very clearly when the parish was consulted for the 2008 Parish Plan. The entire parish is contained within Cranbourne Chase and West Wiltshire Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty further necessitating that development be sensitive and sympathetic.</p> <p>The PC understands that the need for new homes must be balanced with the preservation of our environment and that this balance can only be struck successfully if the views of the community are fully taken into account. We have therefore resolved to develop a Neighbourhood Plan and work has now begun on the first step i.e. defining the neighbourhood.</p> <p>With reference to the four development sites identified, we feel that until we have a more detailed feedback from our community we can only comment with general principles expressed in our 2008 Parish Plan. Foremost of these is that development should come mainly from infill and the small expansions of existing hamlets and clusters of housing. On this basis, we would not wish to see areas 3441, 3487 and 3488 identified in their entirety for development but would not rule out a small portion of each. We would far prefer to see sites like 3336 being identified.</p> <p>We understand the development of the DPD is an iterative process and so we will expand on this feedback as our Plan develops.</p>

South Wiltshire HMA : Southern Wiltshire community area

		Village: Alderbury Consultee: Alderbury Parish Council
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	Alderbury has grown significantly in recent years. There is a strong view that larger scale development is not needed. There is ample scope to meet the needs of the core strategy through further infilling. It is a linear village and access is difficult. The road infrastructure is not sufficient to support larger scale development. The A36 is already problematic with often very heavy queues of traffic, particularly at peak times which is the main route into Salisbury for the residents of Alderbury, Whaddon and the surrounding villages. The Parish Council are aware that in previous situations the Highways Agency has opposed development in this location due to the impact on the highways. The sites that have been identified are outside of the housing policy boundary which should be protected to ensure Alderbury and Whaddon are surrounded by green space.	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	The Parish Council together with a small group of volunteers did go through the early stages of the Neighbourhood planning process. During the research stages, residents identified that they did not want large scale development. There is an acceptance of infilling in small plots. The organic growth of Alderbury would meet the requirements without the burden of larger scale development. The Neighbourhood planning process has now ceased in the village.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	S106	The site is down a narrow lane, single track which is a private road and is also a public bridleway. Access is inappropriate.
	S110a	This site appears to include the Southampton Road allotments which are well used by residents and a canal area. The Council have previously discussed whether the canal area, with its wildlife could potentially be used as a recreational area.

	Village: Alderbury	
	Consultee: Alderbury Parish Council	
	S143b/a	Planning for 28 houses and a 'medical centre' has recently been approved in this area. The land is currently on the market for a developer to buy.
	S110b	The route leading to this site is the road with the primary and pre-school at the end of it. There is already considerable traffic using this road.
	S1022	This area is at the back of Spiders Island which is already congested.
	S21	This site would extend the village even further. It already suffers from being a linear settlement and this site would only add to the issues.
	S103	This site is very close to the village shop. Some residents already comment that traffic is very busy in this area.
	S33	Access to this site is down an unmade road.
	S160	The Council believe that this site has already been granted planning permission to build a second house on the site.

	Village: Coombe Bissett	
	Consultee: Coombe Bissett with Homington Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	The parish council is seeking to develop a Neighbourhood Plan. To take this forward it has asked for suitably qualified and experienced volunteers to develop this piece of work. As part of this work the existing Parish Plan will be assessed for suitability for conversion into a Neighbourhood Plan. The parish council is leading delivery of Parish Plan priorities. The parish council has also recently supported Wiltshire Council to update the parish Housing Needs Assessment.	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	<p>Yes. The parish council is in principle supportive of the need for limited new housing to meet local need. The parish council needs to bring the community with it. It works extensively to ensure community support, and in recent years has conducted a number of regular public meetings, including consideration of the Post Office review and a new solar farm.</p> <p>The parish council has already allocated a site for new local need council housing as a result of the Parish Plan. This will be on a Wiltshire Council owned site in the centre of the Coombe Bissett. We believe this site is likely to meet the local need for affordable housing, which was established through a formal Housing Needs Assessment. In terms of additional housing, there may be a need for limited housing to enable down-sizing and meeting the needs of an ageing local population.</p> <p>Recently, a public meeting organised by residents considered a potential proposal for a new development of up to 50 houses in</p>	

	<p>Village: Coombe Bissett Consultee: Coombe Bissett with Homington Parish Council</p>
	<p>Coombe Bissett. The majority of people attending the meeting strongly opposed a large development, because it would radically alter the character of the village. The parish council Chair attended as an observer. The parish council would wish to be mindful of local opinion when formulating its responses to development applications.</p>
<p>4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?</p>	<p>We question whether Coombe Bissett meets the threshold for being a large village. It has recently been moved in to this category, yet in 2008 Wiltshire Council described it as a 'remote settlement'. It has a total population of about 440 voters, with about 210 houses, a shop, a pub, and a church.</p> <p>Environment. Most of the parish is within the Cranborne Chase Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty - including most of the settlement of Coombe Bissett and all of Homington. Both villages have severe ground-water and river flooding problems. Significant parts of Coombe Bissett are within Environment Agency Flood Zone 3. 20 houses in Coombe Bissett were severely affected by flooding in January 2014, and nine houses in Homington. We were one of the worst affected parishes in Wiltshire. There is no mains drainage in the parish - all houses drain to septic tanks and ultimately to the River Ebble. Many septic tanks in the village flood and overflow during high groundwater, causing significant concern. The River Ebble drains in to the River Avon internationally important Special Area of Conservation/ SSSI.</p> <p>Social Many people living in the parish are retired and/or elderly. Like most Wiltshire villages, the population is generally ageing. Although there are already five retirement bungalows in the village, there may be a need for additional accessible housing to enable people wishing to downsize to remain in the village. This need was identified in the Parish Plan. The parish Housing Needs Assessment (2012) identified a small number of individuals with local connections desiring affordable housing. The parish council has secured a site for affordable housing, in the centre of the village, which will deliver four units. House prices are extremely high in the parish due to its location and the protected landscape of outstanding quality.</p> <p>Economy The community bought the village shop to save it from closure. The Fox and Goose pub also serves the parish, plus there are community-led initiatives in our churches. Through its location at the gateway to the Cranborne Chase AONB the parish council has worked to increase visitors to the village to help safeguard local facilities. Apart from a small number of local businesses - mainly sole traders and staff for the pub and shop there are no employment opportunities in the parish. The vast majority of parishioners of working age travel to Salisbury and beyond for work. Because of the relative isolation of the villages and relatively poor public transport most people have to travel to work by car.</p>
<p>5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your</p>	<p>Possibly up to 10 houses, but depends on suitability of sites coming forward, and design of the houses. Eight dwellings have already been approved but not built: 4 council houses, 1 Homington, 3 Coombe Bissett. Compared to the five other "Large Villages" in Wiltshire Council's Southern Area, Coombe Bissett is very much smaller than most of them, and also has significant environmental constraints (ref Wiltshire Core Strategy). The parish council's assessment is based on a considered view that</p>

Village: Coombe Bissett															
Consultee: Coombe Bissett with Homington Parish Council															
village between now and 2026?	villagers might be more likely to support organic village growth which does not significantly change the character of the village. There are also significant environmental constraints: the parish's location in the Cranborne Chase AONB, and major flooding problems.														
Comments on individual sites...	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Site</th> <th>Comment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>S15</td> <td>There are major access problems to this site, both on to Homington Road, and along Shutts Lane, which is a narrow byway. This site is in the Cranborne Chase AONB. Houses built on this site would be visually intrusive from the north.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>S145</td> <td>This site is on a considerable slope within the Cranborne Chase AONB. It would be back land development, overlooking the houses below. The access is a single lane track on to the busy A354.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>S186</td> <td>This site is in Homington, which is not a "Large Village". Homington is a very small village of around 50 houses, with no services whatsoever. The parish council was not notified of this site by Wiltshire Council. To avoid potential conflicts of interest, and in line with advice from WALC, no parish councillor with an interest in the site (as potentially affected residents) has been involved in agreeing this SHLAA response.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>S3214</td> <td>This site is well outside the Coombe Bissett village envelope, out along the busy A354. It would be a substantial development in green fields. A large solar farm has recently been consented on the same land holding, resulting in a landscape impact on the setting of Salisbury. There is no pavement along the road, so any access to services would probably be by car only. This site is adjacent to site 3409.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>S3224</td> <td> <p>This site lies within the Cranborne Chase AONB, and would change the green and rural setting of the church and village. Part of this site is in Environment Agency Flood Zone 3. It floods regularly, and there are groundwater springs in the vicinity, which flow when the water table is high. Development would be likely to significantly exacerbate the severe flooding which occurs in this part of Coombe Bissett. In 2014 six nearby houses were flooded and the village road was closed by flooding for several weeks. Development would also be likely to exacerbate the severe sewage flooding of existing houses in the area. This would be due to increased saturation of the ground through water discharged from septic tanks at any new houses.</p> <p>Access to the site may be difficult. It could be either on to the busy A354, or via the narrow and winding Homington road. Any access alongside the church would be single track.</p> <p>This land is owned by a resident who has recently been co-opted on to the parish council. To avoid potential conflicts of interest, and in line with advice from WALC, no parish councillor with an interest in the site (both as a landowner and as potentially affected residents) has been involved in agreeing this SHLAA response.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>S3409</td> <td>This site is well outside the Coombe Bissett village envelope, out along the busy A354. It would be a substantial development in green fields. A large solar farm has recently been consented on the same land holding, resulting in a landscape impact on the setting of Salisbury. There is no pavement along the road, so any access to services would probably be by car only. This site is adjacent to site 3214.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Site	Comment	S15	There are major access problems to this site, both on to Homington Road, and along Shutts Lane, which is a narrow byway. This site is in the Cranborne Chase AONB. Houses built on this site would be visually intrusive from the north.	S145	This site is on a considerable slope within the Cranborne Chase AONB. It would be back land development, overlooking the houses below. The access is a single lane track on to the busy A354.	S186	This site is in Homington, which is not a "Large Village". Homington is a very small village of around 50 houses, with no services whatsoever. The parish council was not notified of this site by Wiltshire Council. To avoid potential conflicts of interest, and in line with advice from WALC, no parish councillor with an interest in the site (as potentially affected residents) has been involved in agreeing this SHLAA response.	S3214	This site is well outside the Coombe Bissett village envelope, out along the busy A354. It would be a substantial development in green fields. A large solar farm has recently been consented on the same land holding, resulting in a landscape impact on the setting of Salisbury. There is no pavement along the road, so any access to services would probably be by car only. This site is adjacent to site 3409.	S3224	<p>This site lies within the Cranborne Chase AONB, and would change the green and rural setting of the church and village. Part of this site is in Environment Agency Flood Zone 3. It floods regularly, and there are groundwater springs in the vicinity, which flow when the water table is high. Development would be likely to significantly exacerbate the severe flooding which occurs in this part of Coombe Bissett. In 2014 six nearby houses were flooded and the village road was closed by flooding for several weeks. Development would also be likely to exacerbate the severe sewage flooding of existing houses in the area. This would be due to increased saturation of the ground through water discharged from septic tanks at any new houses.</p> <p>Access to the site may be difficult. It could be either on to the busy A354, or via the narrow and winding Homington road. Any access alongside the church would be single track.</p> <p>This land is owned by a resident who has recently been co-opted on to the parish council. To avoid potential conflicts of interest, and in line with advice from WALC, no parish councillor with an interest in the site (both as a landowner and as potentially affected residents) has been involved in agreeing this SHLAA response.</p>	S3409	This site is well outside the Coombe Bissett village envelope, out along the busy A354. It would be a substantial development in green fields. A large solar farm has recently been consented on the same land holding, resulting in a landscape impact on the setting of Salisbury. There is no pavement along the road, so any access to services would probably be by car only. This site is adjacent to site 3214.
Site	Comment														
S15	There are major access problems to this site, both on to Homington Road, and along Shutts Lane, which is a narrow byway. This site is in the Cranborne Chase AONB. Houses built on this site would be visually intrusive from the north.														
S145	This site is on a considerable slope within the Cranborne Chase AONB. It would be back land development, overlooking the houses below. The access is a single lane track on to the busy A354.														
S186	This site is in Homington, which is not a "Large Village". Homington is a very small village of around 50 houses, with no services whatsoever. The parish council was not notified of this site by Wiltshire Council. To avoid potential conflicts of interest, and in line with advice from WALC, no parish councillor with an interest in the site (as potentially affected residents) has been involved in agreeing this SHLAA response.														
S3214	This site is well outside the Coombe Bissett village envelope, out along the busy A354. It would be a substantial development in green fields. A large solar farm has recently been consented on the same land holding, resulting in a landscape impact on the setting of Salisbury. There is no pavement along the road, so any access to services would probably be by car only. This site is adjacent to site 3409.														
S3224	<p>This site lies within the Cranborne Chase AONB, and would change the green and rural setting of the church and village. Part of this site is in Environment Agency Flood Zone 3. It floods regularly, and there are groundwater springs in the vicinity, which flow when the water table is high. Development would be likely to significantly exacerbate the severe flooding which occurs in this part of Coombe Bissett. In 2014 six nearby houses were flooded and the village road was closed by flooding for several weeks. Development would also be likely to exacerbate the severe sewage flooding of existing houses in the area. This would be due to increased saturation of the ground through water discharged from septic tanks at any new houses.</p> <p>Access to the site may be difficult. It could be either on to the busy A354, or via the narrow and winding Homington road. Any access alongside the church would be single track.</p> <p>This land is owned by a resident who has recently been co-opted on to the parish council. To avoid potential conflicts of interest, and in line with advice from WALC, no parish councillor with an interest in the site (both as a landowner and as potentially affected residents) has been involved in agreeing this SHLAA response.</p>														
S3409	This site is well outside the Coombe Bissett village envelope, out along the busy A354. It would be a substantial development in green fields. A large solar farm has recently been consented on the same land holding, resulting in a landscape impact on the setting of Salisbury. There is no pavement along the road, so any access to services would probably be by car only. This site is adjacent to site 3214.														

Village: Morgan's Vale & Woodfalls Consultee: Redlynch Parish Council	
Question	Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	Redlynch Parish Council has the issue of a Neighbourhood Plan under review and exploring the best way forward because it will involve two planning authorities (Wilts Council & New Forest National Park). In addition we are expecting a boundary change involving the warded village of Nomansland which complicates the issue.
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	NA
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	1. Approx 90% of the Redlynch Parish resides within the New Forest National Park with Woodfalls and Morgan's Vale outside. Any encroachment of the housing onto the countryside in this area would not only be detrimental to the wellbeing of existing residents of these two villages but encroach further on the settlement of Downton. 2. The additional human pressure on the National park would be contrary to Wilts Council's Core Policy 25. In addition it has been well established that rigorous restraint is needed to protect the character and appearance of the New forest which since the passing of local plans have recognised the cumulative effect of developments which could result in harm and this was taken into account in framing those policies. 3. The infrastructure in the Wilts section of the parish is limited with insufficient primary school places at Downton, Morgans Vale and Hale (Hampshire), shops and a deteriorating bus service and the strain on the resources of the local doctors surgery at Moot Lane. Also it is being proposed to cut Wilts Councils transport budget by £2.5M which represents a 50% reduction. This will undoubtedly affect the local bus service, particularly as the Salisbury to Southampton service is somewhat protected because it is commercially viable. 4. Morgans Vale regularly experienced low level vandalism and excessive on street parking. The latter is a result of the narrow highways, over development in the last thirty years and the high level of motor car ownership. Therefore, additional housing will only add to the problems. 5. The ratio of existing housing to the available land suitable for housing in the Wiltshire section of the parish (Woodfalls and Morgans vale) is very high and further development should be avoided. 6. The governments national policy framework has reaffirmed that national parks has the highest status of protection in relation to landscape and scenic beauty etc. and there is a general presumption that development should be restricted to protect these important places for the nation. Therefore excessive development on its borders will have a negative impact on the National park and hence should be avoided. 7. The Parish Council is aware that there is strong local opposition to any further housing in the Woodfalls and Morgans Vale areas.
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your	The Parish Council believe that the current policy of additional infill housing mainly on windfall sites, extensions and replacement to existing dwellings is the best way forward in view of the limitation of the area (as detailed above) and ensure they are compliant with the Core Policy 57.

Village: Morgan's Vale & Woodfalls		
Consultee: Redlynch Parish Council		
village between now and 2026?		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	S83	Redlynch parish council does not support development in this area of Morgans Vale as it will results in an unacceptable enlargement of housing in the village and will add additional human pressure on the New Forest National Park. This pressure in time would adversely impact upon the character and appearance of the Wiltshire section of the park (formally known as the New Forest Heritage Area) and prevent the National Park Authority from executing its statutory duty to conserve and enhance its natural beauty etc. and hence contrary to Wiltshire Councils Core Policy 25. The policy aims to conserve and enhance the unique character and environment of the park and in particular the special qualities of the landscape, wildlife, tranquillity and cultural heritage. In addition, substantial development in this region, together with that being proposed at Lode Hill Downton (see site 82), will reduce the natural rural buffer zone between the two parishes and be detrimental to identity of Morgans Vale and Woodfalls in the long term. The Parish Council has experienced considerable difficulty in managing the excessive on street parking in sections of the village and additional large scale development will only add to the problem. Site S83 covers two parcels of land in Morgans Vale and are located in a special landscape area and for all practical purposes accepted as being adjacent to the New Forest National Park.
	S1070	Redlynch Parish Council do not support development in this area of Woodfalls as it will result in an unacceptable enlargement of the village and add additional human pressure on the New Forest National Park and hence contrary to Wiltshire Councils Core Policy 25. Woodfalls is located in a Special Landscape Area and adjacent to the New Forest National Park. Also many of the issues detailed in S83 above also apply to this site.
	S1007	This is a small parcel of land approximately 0.16 acres (0.065 hectares) with access onto Vale road and would represent an infill, therefore acceptable in principle for a single dwelling. The location is within a Special Landscape area and considered to be adjacent to the New Forest National Park where it is desirable that development is limited. This is to ensure that the character of the Park is not degraded but a single dwelling would only marginally adversely impact upon the Park and considered acceptable.
	S85	This area is classified as an industrial site (Brown field) with agriculture building and therefore in principle could be replaced by housing. However a density of 12/acres (21 dwellings) would be unacceptable as it would alter the character and appearance of the area and place additional strain on the local amenities. The site is located in a Special Landscape Area and in the vicinity of the New Forest National Park but sufficiently close to be considered an issue with the density of the housing being proposed. The issue here for the Parish Council would be the mixture and size of housing and 12/acre is far too many in what is a rural area. Also with the loss of the agriculture facilities places a question on how will the management of the land covered by this farm be managed.
	S84	Redlynch Parish Council does not support development in this area of Woodfalls as it will result in an unacceptable enlargement of the village and add additional human pressure on the New Forest National Park and hence contrary to Wiltshire Council's Core Policy 25. Woodfalls is located in a Special Landscape Area and close to the to the New

	Village: Morgan's Vale & Woodfalls Consultee: Redlynch Parish Council
	Forest National Park boundary where it would be considered unwise to enlarge the village. Also many of the issues detailed in S83 above also apply to this site.

	Village: Pitton Consultee: Pitton Parish Council
Question	Response
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	No.
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	<p>The Parish Council and residents alike are aware of the piece of ground at the immediate end of Above Hedges (not included in this SHLAA consultation exercise, but next to S179 to the north of Above Hedges, and like S179, is outside the Housing Policy boundary) is being considered by Wiltshire Council for affordable housing. This was confirmed last year (2014) by the Estate & Property Department when the Parish Council was looking for land to provide a possible car park for the primary school.</p> <p>However, any substantial development, apart from infilling, will have a detrimental impact on the infrastructure and consequently will widen and worsen the effects of any flooding which may occur in the future. You should be aware that Wiltshire Council is looking at a fairly comprehensive flood alleviation scheme for the village.</p>
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your	Not really.

	Village: Pitton	
	Consultee: Pitton Parish Council	
village between now and 2026?		
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	S177	This site is not supported by the Parish Council, and should be withdrawn. It is agricultural land, outside the Housing Policy boundary, and access is by single carriageway roads only, which could not be widened.
	S179	This site is not supported by the Parish Council, and should be withdrawn. It is agricultural land, outside the Housing Policy boundary with no access at all.
	S188	This site is not supported by the Parish Council, and should be withdrawn. It is agricultural land, outside the Housing Policy boundary, and is clearly within the flood risk zone area.
	S32	This site is not supported by the Parish Council. It is outside the Housing Policy boundary, and the access to it is within the flood risk zone area.

	Village: Whiteparish	
	Consultee: Whiteparish Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	<p>No. We consider sufficient housing needs, in line with the stated desire of villagers, can be met within the existing settlement boundary through in-fill development etc. Hence we explicitly determined as a Parish Council, on the advice of Wiltshire Council there was no need to prepare a neighbourhood plan. The appropriateness of this approach was confirmed by Jane Scott, leader of WC at a Southern Area board meeting attended by Parish Council members. We consider this approach is consistent with the recently adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy. Per 4.15 of the adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy "At the settlements identified as villages, a limited level of development will be supported in order to help retain the vitality of these communities. At Large Villages (which would include Whiteparish) settlement boundaries are retained and development will predominantly take the form of small housing and employment sites within the settlement boundaries."</p> <p>It is also clearly stated that "Development outside the settlement boundary will be strictly controlled". We are aware these settlement boundaries are currently being reviewed and we have provided separate input as appropriate. Core Policy 2 reinforces that 'Other than in circumstances as permitted by other policies within this plan, identified in paragraph 4.25, development will not be permitted outside the limits of development, as defined on the policies map. The limits of development may only be altered through the identification of sites for development through the subsequent Site Allocations Development Plan Documents and neighbourhood plans.'</p>	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect		

	Village: Whiteparish Consultee: Whiteparish Parish Council	
to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?		
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?		
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	The Parish Council will not support any planning applications outside of the Settlement Boundary in line with resident's wishes. As per our response to question 1, we consider sufficient housing needs for the village, in line with the stated desire of villagers, can be met within the existing settlement boundary through in-fill development etc. We consider this approach is consistent with 4.15 of the recently adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy, Core policy 2 and the strategy that also clearly states that "Development outside the settlement boundary will be strictly controlled".	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	The previous Core Strategy and SHLAA consultations generated very strong feeling in the village. As stated in our 2 April 2012 response to the pre-submission Wiltshire Core strategy "To be specific in respect of Whiteparish the 2009 SHLAA identified 7 possible sites with an area of 10.48 hectares and 285 houses. The recent 2011 Wiltshire identified 18 possible sites (including 4 explicitly rejected in the South Wiltshire SHLAA) with an area of 51.35 hectares and 1061 houses, a significant increase that is completely unrealistic in a village context." We are of the same view for the most recent SHLAA. We will not comment on any individual sites because we are content that any future needs will be met by infill in the existing Settlement Boundary.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment

	Village: Winterslow Consultee: Winterslow Parish Council	
Question	Response	
1. Is there a Neighbourhood Plan being prepared in your area?	Yes.	
2. What progress have you made on your Neighbourhood Plan, and when do you expect to submit your draft Neighbourhood Plan to Wiltshire Council?	The Steering Group (SG) has established that the community has only one major planning concern and that is housing, how many, what type and where? The SG focus has therefore been on site appraisals and site selection leading to defined site allocations. The SG has, over the last year refined site selection from an initial 30 potential sites down to a priority list of 10 sites and finally to 3 proposed sites (as noted below). The SG have carried out extensive community consultation and expect to submit a draft plan to WC in the next 3-4 months.	

	Village: Winterslow	
	Consultee: Winterslow Parish Council	
3. Is your Neighbourhood Plan seeking to allocate sites for housing?	Yes. On SHLAA sites 3456, 3408 and 3472 with approximately 15 dwellings on each site. The Parish Council supports the emerging Neighbourhood Plan.	
4. The draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD may identify site(s) at your Large Village for housing - do you have any views on the possible identification of housing sites?	A detailed response to the SHLAA sites is attached. Our key general concerns are: 1. Road infrastructure and capacity for increased traffic on our narrow roads. 2. Maintaining our village ethos and rural environment. 3. No new pavements or street lighting	
5. Do you have an understanding of the level of housing growth that would be locally acceptable in your village between now and 2026?	Our SG has based its proposals on (1) a requirement for, at least, 16 affordable homes as identified in the Housing Needs Survey 2013 plus (2) a community desire for small low cost 'starter' homes and homes for the elderly downsizing. The SG is therefore proposing approximately 45 new dwellings via 3 site allocations. The Parish Council supports, in principle, an additional small site for additional smaller properties adjacent to the settlement boundary. Please find, for example, attached details of an additional small site (opposite Thrush Green, Middleton Road) where the owner is proposing a development of 3 small terraced houses. The Parish Council supports this development particularly as it meets the objectives of the emerging Neighbourhood Plan. We understand that the landowner has already submitted a SHLAA submission form to WC.	
Comments on individual sites...	Site	Comment
	S1049	Not supported. Too remote, topography, inadequate roads, blot on landscape.
	S1047	Not supported. Too remote, topography, inadequate roads, blot on landscape.
	S1046	Not supported. Access issues, gas main, landscape.
	S220	Not supported. Access issues, gas main, landscape.
	S6	Not supported longer available.
	S48	Not supported. Access issues, landscape.
	S47	Not supported. Access issues, landscape.
	3456	Supported. One of 3 proposed allocations for approx 15 mixed size dwellings (total site to include Fralex).
	S105	Not supported for large development. Access, landscape, highways, flooding, ecology, topography. Supported, however, for 2 small sites (adjacent to Highfield Grescent and to the Village Hall) for affordable and/or low cost homes because of the access to village facilities, bus route etc. Approx 10 units on each site.
	S1024	Supported. Potential use for the elderly supported. Maybe ecology constraint.
	3470	Maybe for infill. Blanket TPO.
	3408	Supported. One of 3 proposed allocations for approx 15 mixed size dwellings. Access issue (visibility) to be resolved.
	S50	Not supported. Access, landscape.
	S1050	Not supported. Access, landscape.
	S31	Not supported. Too large, highways.

Village: Winterslow	
Consultee: Winterslow Parish Council	
S49	Not supported. Land locked, landscape, highways.
S56	Maybe 4 small dwellings. Reduce frontage, retain agricultural access. Remote from centre.
S1003	We understand that this is an excluded site.
S1004	Not supported. Highways. Landscape.
3463	Not supported. Highways, landscape.
3471	Maybe 2 dwellings.
3472	Supported. One of 3 proposed allocations for approx 15 mixed size dwellings. Reduce both frontage and total area. Provide footpath link to Yarmley Lane.
3473	Maybe 1 dwelling.
S121	Maybe 2 dwellings. Reduce site depth to Wilwyn.
S1018	Not supported. Loss of important tree belt and bridleway.
S1002	Maybe 1 dwelling. See attached corrected site plan.
3480	Maybe 2 dwellings. Access via Kings Farm. See attached corrected site plan.

Appendix 3: Consultation email

Dear Sir / Madam

Further to our letter of 2 February 2015, we write regarding the emerging Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) to provide a further opportunity for you to input into the preparation of the Plan.

The purpose of the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations DPD is to allocate sufficient housing to ensure delivery of the Wiltshire Core Strategy housing requirement and to maintain a 5 year housing land supply up to the end of the plan period of 2026. The DPD will also review the settlement boundaries in the Wiltshire Core Strategy.

To assist with the preparation of this Plan, the Council has so far undertaken three consultation exercises with Parish and Town Councils - on the scope of the plan (March to May 2014), on developing criteria for reviewing settlement boundaries (July to September 2014), and on the initial findings of the housing site assessment process (February to March 2015).

This most recent consultation presented a draft site assessment methodology and initial site options at the Principal Settlements^[1] of Salisbury and Trowbridge, Market Towns and Local Service Centres^[2]. The consultation exercise asked consultees to respond to a series of questions about the approach taken including whether or not the Plan should identify sites for new housing at Large Villages. Maps were also provided showing all Strategic Housing Land Availability (SHLAA) sites at Large Villages to allow comments to be made on these.

The majority of comments received during the consultation period supported the identification of housing site allocations at Large Villages in principle. However, not all Parish Councils provided a response and we would like to hear from more Parish Councils with Large Villages and if you have not responded we would welcome your views. We appreciate that some of you may already be preparing Neighbourhood Plans; as such some of the work that you have done on your Plan may be useful in helping you to respond to this consultation.

If you have already responded to the consultation earlier this year and provided your views on the approach to developing the draft Plan and suitability of potential development sites in your village, thank you for taking the time to do this. However, in the interest of fairness, if you would like to review your previous representations and add to these using the form provided we would welcome your response also.

To assist you with your response, please find attached:

- An up-to-date map of all potential development sites that have been submitted to the Council's SHLAA for consideration in this Plan; and
- A response form.

^[1] Chippenham is being considered through the Chippenham Site Allocations Plan.

^[2] Further information on this consultation can be found on the council's website at <http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/planninganddevelopment/planningpolicy/wiltshgsgsiteallocationsplansites.htm>

Please complete and return the attached response form to spatialplanningpolicy@wiltshire.gov.uk by **Tuesday 12 August 2015**.

We are aware that the timescale is relatively tight and coincides with the summer period but would appreciate your understanding in the circumstances, as this is providing a second opportunity to comment.

If you have any queries or require hard copies of maps to assist you please contact Spatial Planning on 01225 713223.

Yours faithfully

Georgina Clampitt-Dix
Head of Spatial Planning
Economic, Development and Planning

^[1] Chippenham is being considered through the Chippenham Site Allocations Plan.

² Further information on this consultation can be found on the council's website at <http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/planninganddevelopment/planningpolicy/wiltshgsiteallocationsplansites.htm>

This document was published by the Spatial Planning team, Wiltshire Council, Economic Development and Planning Services.

For further information please visit the following website:

<http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/wiltshsgsiteallocationsplan.htm>