



Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan

Community Area Topic Paper – Marlborough

July 2018
Submission version

Updated September 2018

Wiltshire Council

Information about Wiltshire Council services can be made available on request in other languages including BSL and formats such as **large print** and audio. Please contact the council on **0300 456 0100**, by textphone on 01225 712500 or by email on customerservices@wiltshire.gov.uk.

如果有需要我們可以使用其他形式（例如：大字體版本或者錄音帶）或其他語言版本向您提供有關威爾特郡政務會各項服務的資訊，敬請與政務會聯繫，電話：0300 456 0100，文本電話：(01225) 712500，或者發電子郵件至：customerservices@wiltshire.gov.uk

يمكن، عند الطلب، الحصول على معلومات حول خدمات مجلس بلدية ويلتشرير وذلك بأشكال (معلومات بخط عريض أو سماعية) ولغات مختلفة. الرجاء الاتصال بمجلس البلدية على الرقم ٠٣٠٠٤٥٦٠١٠٠ أو من خلال الاتصال النصي (تيكست فون) على الرقم ٧١٢٥٠٠ (٠١٢٢٥) أو بالبريد الإلكتروني على العنوان التالي: customerservices@wiltshire.gov.uk

ولتشار کونسل (Wiltshire Council) کی سروسز کے بارے میں معلومات دوسری طرزوں میں فراہم کی جاسکتی ہیں (جیسے کہ بڑی چھپائی یا آڈیو) اور درخواست کرنے پر دوسری زبانوں میں فراہم کی جاسکتی ہیں۔ براہ کرم کونسل سے 0300 456 0100 پر رابطہ کریں، ٹیکسٹ فون سے (01225) 712500 پر رابطہ کریں یا customerservices@wiltshire.gov.uk پر ای میل بھیجیں۔

Na życzenie udostępniamy informacje na temat usług oferowanych przez władze samorządowe hrabstwa Wiltshire (Wiltshire Council) w innych formatach (takich jak dużym drukiem lub w wersji audio) i w innych językach. Prosimy skontaktować się z władzami samorządowymi pod numerem telefonu 0300 456 0100 lub telefonu tekstowego (01225) 712500 bądź za pośrednictwem poczty elektronicznej na adres: customerservices@wiltshire.gov.uk

■	Main sections	
1	Introduction	3
2	Marlborough Community area	5
3	Settlement boundary review	9
4	Overview of the site selection process	10
5	Outcome of the site selection process for Marlborough	12
6	Outcome of the Marlborough Community Area Remainder site selection process	13
7	Conclusions	14
■	Appendices	
A	Proposals for revised settlement boundaries	15

1. Introduction

Introduction

- 1.1 Wiltshire Council is preparing a Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan ('the Plan'), which is comprised of a settlement boundary review and housing site allocations. The Plan is supported by a number of documents including Community Area Topic Papers that form the evidence for the Plan. This paper summarises the outcomes of the settlement boundary review and site selection process in relation to the Marlborough Community Area.

Settlement boundary review

- 1.2 The Council did not review the extent of the boundaries to inform the Wiltshire Core Strategy ('WCS') and relied upon the former district local plans. They would instead be reviewed as a part of preparing the Plan⁽¹⁾.
- 1.3 Consequently, the Council has undertaken a comprehensive review of the boundaries to ensure they are up-to-date and adequately reflect changes which have happened since they were first established. The Plan amends settlement boundaries where necessary. It is also the prerogative of local communities to review them through the preparation of neighbourhood plans.

Housing site allocations

- 1.4 The WCS refers to the role of this plan, in combination with the Chippenham Site Allocations Plan, to help ensure a sufficient choice and supply of suitable sites throughout the Plan period in accordance with national policy and to compliment neighbourhood planning.

Topic paper structure

- 1.5 *Table 1.1* shows the layout of the Marlborough Community Area Topic Paper ('CATP'). The sections and appendices will differ between community areas depending upon how far they progress through the site selection process. **Any text appearing as bold, italics and underlined, or struck through indicates a change made after the Council's pre-submission consultation on the draft Plan, either as a result of representations made through the consultation or a factual update.**
- 1.6 The following topic papers explain the methodologies used for the settlement boundary review and the site selection process and should be read alongside this CATP.
- Topic Paper 1: Settlement Boundary Review Methodology
 - Topic Paper 2: Site Selection Process Methodology

1 This Plan does not review the settlement boundary for Chippenham. This has been reviewed by the Chippenham Site Allocations Plan.

Table 1.1 Layout of the Marlborough Community Area Topic Paper

#	Section		Appendices
2	Community area	Planning policy context for the Marlborough Community Area, including an overview of the WCS and, where applicable, any neighbourhood plans that have been made or that are in progress within the community area.	
3	Settlement boundary review	Identifies those settlements where settlement boundaries have been reviewed by the Plan and those where they are considered to have been reviewed by a sufficiently advanced neighbourhood plan.	Appendix A contains maps of each settlement showing the revised settlement boundary proposals with tables explaining the changes.
4	Overview of the site selection process	Briefly outlines the stages of the site selection process, which is covered in more detail by <i>Topic Paper 2: Site Selection Process Methodology</i> .	
5	Outcome of the site selection process for Marlborough	Summary of the site selection process for the Market Town of Marlborough (Stage 1). It outlines the methodology and identifies whether housing site allocations for the town should be included in the Plan.	
6	Outcome of the Marlborough Community Area Remainder site selection process	Summary of the Marlborough Community Area Remainder site selection process (Stage 1). It outlines the methodology and identifies whether housing site allocations for the community area remainder should be included in the Plan.	
7	Conclusions	Summary of the process, listing the sites that have been identified as proposed allocations in the Plan and settlements where the boundaries have been reviewed.	

2. Marlborough Community area

Context

2.1 The WCS provides the context for the Plan in relation to the Marlborough Community Area. Core Policies 1 (Settlement Strategy) and 14 (Marlborough Area Strategy) set out:

- the settlement hierarchy for sustainable development in the Marlborough Community Area, and
- associated indicative housing requirements.

2.2 Core Policy 14 requires about 680 new homes to occur at the Market Town of Marlborough (including land at Salisbury Road identified for strategic growth) and approximately 240 new homes to be provided in the rest of the community area over the Plan period 2006 to 2026. This reflects the settlement strategy set out in Core Policy 1 and the role and function of settlements in the Marlborough Area Strategy. It indicates how much growth should be provided here to ensure the delivery of the overall housing requirement for the Housing Market Area ('HMA').

Settlement strategy

2.3 The settlements listed in *Table 2.1* below fall within the Marlborough Community Area.

Table 2.1 Settlement Strategy in the Marlborough Community Area

Market Town	Marlborough
Large Villages	Aldbourne, Baydon, Broad Hinton and Ramsbury
Small Villages	Avebury / Trussloe, Axford, Beckhampton, Chilton Foliat, East Kennett, Froxfield, Fyfield, Lockeridge, Manton, Ogbourne St George, West Overton, Winterbourne Bassett and Winterbourne Monkton

Issues and considerations

2.4 Core Policy 14 and the supporting text (paragraph 5.78) of the WCS identify specific issues to be addressed in planning for the Marlborough Community Area, including:

- sustainable and measured growth throughout the plan period that will also help to deliver affordable housing in the Marlborough Community Area and improve access to open market housing. This will help to provide a local workforce for current and new employers moving to the area
- all development within the Marlborough Community Area will need to conserve the designated landscape of the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and its setting, and where possible enhance its locally distinctive characteristics
- The World Heritage Site will be protected from inappropriate development both within the Site and in its setting so as to sustain its Outstanding Universal Value in accordance with Core Policy 59

- several sites of nature conservation importance lie in close proximity to the town, particularly Savernake Forest SSSI, River Kennet SSSI / CWS and Marlborough Railway Tunnel. Development will protect and, where possible, enhance the ecological value of these features
- survey is required of the potential impacts of development on protected bats (including roosting, foraging grounds and commuting routes) associated with the Savernake Forest bat roosts and other species. The hibernation roost of Annex II bats in the disused railway tunnel will be protected. Development must avoid adverse impacts on protected species and designated local wildlife habitats and features
- an Air Quality Management Area ('AQMA')⁽²⁾ has been declared in the town and there are local concerns that development will lead to mandatory limits set by European Directive 2008/50 being exceeded. Measures to improve air quality in Marlborough must be considered

2.5 The Wiltshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan ('IDP')⁽³⁾ identifies specific essential infrastructure requirements that will need to be addressed in planning for the community area, including.

- new site for Preshute Primary School and extension of existing village primary schools to provide additional places
- extension of secondary school to provide additional places
- provision of additional nursery school places
- support development of local primary care health facilities, as most practices at capacity

2.6 However, neither the WCS or the IDP identify insurmountable issues to the extent that they would restrict the delivery of the level of housing proposed over the Plan period.

Housing requirements

2.7 **At the time the Plan was released for pre-submission consultation the Council was undertaking its annual surveying exercise for the 2016/2017 monitoring year. In order to present the most up-to-date housing land supply position at the time, the Council estimated the 2017 housing land supply position (base dated April 2017) in order to inform the Plan. This was based on data known at the time of publication, namely the update to the 2016 Housing Land Supply Statement (published March 2017).**

2.8 The **estimated** housing requirements for the Marlborough Community Area are set out in *Table 2.2* below. The table shows the overall housing requirement for the community area over the Plan period 2006-2026. In addition, it shows the number of dwellings that have already been delivered and those that are planned. This leaves an 'indicative residual requirement' of homes yet to be delivered during the remainder of the Plan period. **The estimated indicative residual requirements have been used to inform the areas of search where allocations in the Plan are directed.**

2 Wiltshire Council. Air Quality Strategy for Wiltshire 2011-2015, page 45. Available: <http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/report-draft-air-quality-strategy-for-wiltshire-october-2011.pdf>

3 Wiltshire Council (December 2016). *Wiltshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan 3 2011-2026. Appendix 1: Marlborough Community Area.*

Table 2.2 Estimated housing requirements for the Marlborough Community Area.⁽⁴⁾

Area	Indicative requirement 2006-2026	Completions 2006-2017	Developable commitments 2017-2026	Indicative residual requirement
Marlborough	680	357	306	17
Marlborough CA Remainder	240	160	46	34
Marlborough total	920	517	352	51

2.9 Since the pre-submission consultation, the Council has published the 2017 Housing Land Supply Statement (published March 2018) (hereafter "2017 HLSS"). This provides a confirmed 2017 housing land supply position (base dated April 2017), and this represents the current housing land supply position.

2.10 The housing requirements shown in the 2017 HLSS (excluding any proposed allocations) for the Marlborough Community Area are set out in Table 2.3 below.

Table 2.3 Housing requirements for Marlborough Community Area.⁽⁵⁾

<i>Area</i>	<i>Indicative requirement 2006-2026</i>	<i>Completions 2006-2017</i>	<i>Developable commitments 2017-2026</i>	<i>Indicative residual requirement</i>
<i>Marlborough</i>	<i>680</i>	<i>397</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>Marlborough CA Remainder</i>	<i>240</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Marlborough total</i>	<i>920</i>	<i>554</i>	<i>356</i>	<i>31</i>

Neighbourhood planning

2.11 Neighbourhood plans can also allocate sites for housing and review settlement boundaries. The progress of a neighbourhood plan and the level of housing it is proposing to allocate help determine which settlements to consider through the site selection process. Likewise, the settlement boundary review will not look at settlements that are considered to have had their settlement boundaries reviewed by a sufficiently advanced neighbourhood plan.

2.12 Marlborough Community Area has two neighbourhood plans in preparation. ~~Table 2.3~~ **Table 2.4** below shows the stage of the neighbourhood planning process reached by these plans. If the neighbourhood plans were sufficiently advanced, having at least submitted a draft neighbourhood plan to the Council for a Regulation 16 Consultation, then this would include information on whether it is allocating housing and reviewing settlement boundaries. For a full explanation of the neighbourhood planning process and the latest position on individual plans, see the neighbourhood planning pages on the Council website⁽⁶⁾.

4 Wiltshire Council (June 2017). Topic Paper 3 Housing Land Supply - Table 4.2.

5 Wiltshire Council (May 2018). Topic Paper 3 - Housing Land Supply - Addendum.

6 Wiltshire Council. (2017) (2018). Neighbourhood Planning Latest Progress. Available: <http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/planning-neighbourhood-latest-news>. Last accessed April 2017 **May 2018**.

Table 2.4 Status of neighbourhood plans in the Marlborough Community Area at May 2018

Plan Area/ name of NDP	Stage Reached	Is it allocating housing, if so, how much?	Is it reviewing the current settlement boundaries?
Marlborough	Area Designation (Mar 2016)	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage
Aldbourne	Area Designation (Aug 2016)	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage

3. Settlement boundary review

3.1 The Plan also proposes amendments to the settlement boundaries, as defined in the WCS, of the following settlements within the Marlborough Community Area:

- Marlborough
- Aldbourne
- Baydon
- Broad Hinton, and
- Ramsbury

3.2 **Appendix A** contains maps showing the proposed amendments to these settlement boundaries and tables setting out the justification behind these amendments. The methodology used in the settlement boundary review is set out in *Topic Paper 1: Settlement Boundary Review Methodology*⁽⁷⁾.


3.3 No settlements in the Marlborough Community Area are considered to have had their settlement boundaries reviewed through a sufficiently advanced neighbourhood planning process. Therefore, all settlements with currently adopted settlement boundaries within this community area have been considered through the settlement boundary review.

7 Wiltshire Council (~~June 2017~~) (**May 2018**). *Topic Paper 1: Settlement Boundary Review Methodology*.

4. Overview of the site selection process

- 4.1 *Figure 4.1 provides a simple overview of the site selection process, which is explained fully in [Topic Paper 2: Site Selection Process Methodology](#)⁽⁸⁾. **Since the publication of the pre-submission draft Plan, further assessment has been undertaken to include sites that were promoted to the Council through the pre-submission consultation and were in areas of search, or to re-assess sites where new evidence was submitted through the consultation.***

8 Wiltshire Council (June 2017). [Topic Paper 2: Site Selection Process Methodology](#).



5. Outcome of the site selection process for Marlborough

Overview

- 5.1** This section summarises the outcome of the site selection process for the Market Town of Marlborough. It follows the methodology outlined in Section Four and is covered in more detail by *Topic Paper 2: Site Selection Process Methodology*⁽⁹⁾.
- 5.2** The decisions taken after each stage of the process for Marlborough, along with the reasons for these decisions, are summarised below.

Stage 1: Identifying broad 'areas of search'

- 5.3** The purpose of Stage 1 is to establish where housing site allocations may be needed during the rest of the Plan period. To do this, Stage 1 reviews the indicative residual requirement outstanding for Marlborough. Generally, the areas with an outstanding requirement to be met form the broad 'areas of search', which are then progressed for further assessment through Stage 2.
- 5.4** *Table 2.2* demonstrates that there is an indicative residual requirement of 17 dwellings for Marlborough to be delivered during the Plan period. However, the outstanding requirement is very low. Taking into consideration the fact that the Wiltshire Core Strategy has already identified a strategic **allocation** on land to the west of Salisbury Road; and the settlement is located in the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), there is no compelling reason to identify further greenfield sites for allocation through this Plan. It is likely that this would be delivered through windfall development so does not warrant further consideration. In addition there will be the opportunity to consider the need for additional growth through the Marlborough Neighbourhood Plan making process.
- 5.5** Therefore, the Plan will not need to allocate additional land to help meet an indicative residual requirement. It is proposed that housing sites will not be identified for allocation at Marlborough and the site selection process ends after Stage 1.

9 Wiltshire Council (June 2017). *Topic Paper 2: Site Selection Process Methodology*.

6. Outcome of the Marlborough Community Area Remainder site selection process

Overview

- 6.1** This section summarises the outcome of the site selection process for the Marlborough Community Area Remainder. It follows the methodology outlined in Section Four and is covered in more detail by *Topic Paper 2: Site Selection Process Methodology*⁽¹⁰⁾.
- 6.2** The decisions taken after each stage of the process for the Marlborough Community Area Remainder, along with the reasons for these decisions, are summarised below.

Stage 1: Identifying broad 'areas of search'

- 6.3** The purpose of Stage 1 is to establish where housing site allocations may be needed during the rest of the Plan period. To do this, Stage 1 reviews the indicative residual requirement outstanding for the Marlborough Community Area Remainder. The areas with an outstanding requirement to be met form the broad 'areas of search', which are then progressed for further assessment through Stage 2.
- 6.4** Table 2.2 demonstrates that there is an indicative residual requirement of 34 dwellings for the Marlborough Community Area Remainder to be delivered during the Plan period. However, a main purpose of the Plan is to ensure a surety of housing land supply. To a significant degree this objective is already met for the East Wiltshire Housing Market Area (HMA). There is therefore no strategic priority to allocate sites for housing land at Large Villages where scales of housing are intended to only meet local needs. The priority for planning control to pass to the local communities to develop their own vision for the future of their area takes precedence. Local needs can be addressed through neighbourhood planning. Large Villages in the East Wiltshire HMA are therefore removed from 'areas of search'.
- 6.5** Therefore, the Plan will not need to allocate additional land to help meet an indicative residual requirement. It is proposed that housing sites will not be identified for allocation within the Marlborough Community Area Remainder and the site selection process ends after Stage 1.

10 Wiltshire Council (June 2017). *Topic Paper 2: Site Selection Process Methodology*.

7. Conclusions

Marlborough

- 7.1 There is an indicative residual requirement of 17 dwellings for the Market Town of Marlborough to be delivered during the Plan period. However, the outstanding requirement is very low and a strategic allocation has already been identified in the Wiltshire Core Strategy for the town, which falls wholly within the North Wessex Downs AONB. The indicative residual housing requirement is likely to be delivered through windfall development, so does not warrant further consideration. Therefore, the Plan will not need to allocate additional land to help meet an indicative residual requirement. It is proposed that housing sites will not be identified for allocation at Marlborough and the site selection process ends after Stage 1.

Marlborough Community Area Remainder


- 7.2 There is an indicative residual requirement of 34 dwellings for the Marlborough Community Area Remainder to be delivered during the Plan period. However, a main purpose of the Plan is to ensure a surety of housing land supply. To a significant degree this objective is already met for the East Wiltshire HMA. There is therefore no strategic priority to allocate sites for housing land at Large Villages where scales of housing are intended to only meet local needs. The priority for planning control to pass to the local communities to develop their own vision for the future of their area takes precedence. Local needs can be addressed through neighbourhood planning. Large Villages in the East Wiltshire HMA are therefore removed from 'areas of search'. Therefore, the Plan will not need to allocate additional land to help meet an indicative residual requirement. It is proposed that housing sites will not be identified for allocation within the Marlborough Community Area Remainder and the site selection process ends after Stage 1.

Settlement Boundary Review

- 7.3 The Plan has reviewed the settlement boundaries of the following settlements within the Marlborough Community Area:
- Marlborough
 - Aldbourne
 - Baydon
 - Broad Hinton, and
 - Ramsbury
- 7.4 No settlements in the Marlborough Community Area are considered to have had their settlement boundaries reviewed through a sufficiently advanced neighbourhood planning process. Therefore, all settlements with currently adopted settlement boundaries within this community area have been considered through the settlement boundary review.

Appendix A: Proposals for revised settlement boundaries

**Marlborough
Proposals for Revised Settlement Boundaries**



- Settlement Boundary (HSAP Submission May 2018)
- Settlement Boundary (HSAP Pre Submission June 2017)
- Settlement Boundary (WCS January 2015)

Marlborough

A.1 The preceding map of Marlborough illustrates both the existing settlement boundary ("**WCS January 2015**") and the proposed revised **pre-submission** settlement boundary ("**HSAP Pre Submission June 2017**") and **proposed changes following consultation** ("**HSAP Submission May 2017**"). Table A.1 below explains why each of the proposed amendments in the **pre-submission settlement boundary** were made to the existing settlement boundary, in line with the settlement boundary review methodology⁽¹¹⁾. The grid reference numbers are those used on the map overleaf.

Table A.1 Proposed amendments to Marlborough Settlement Boundary

Map Grid Reference ⁽¹²⁾	Proposed Amendments
B6, B7, C7	Amend boundary to include built residential development that is physically related to the settlement.
C8, D8	Amend boundary to include built residential development physically related to the settlement.
C7, C8, D8, E8, F8, G8	Amend boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical features - the road.
G8, G9, H9, H8	Amend boundary to exclude area of land which relates more closely to the open countryside and with the capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.
I9	Amend boundary to include community facilities development (i.e. school and community college) that is physically related to the settlement.
K8, K9	Amend boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical features - the road.
L8, M7, M8	Amend boundary to exclude recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more to the open countryside.
N6 (SE)	Amend boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical features – hedgerow.
N6 (SW)	Amend boundary to exclude recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more to the open countryside.
M6	Amend boundary to exclude recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more to the open countryside.
L6 (NE)	Amend boundary to include the curtilage of a property that relates more to the built environment (e.g. a garden) and/ or has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.
L6	Amend boundary to exclude recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more to the open countryside.

11 Wiltshire Council (June 2017)(**May 2018**). *Topic Paper 1: Settlement Boundary Review Methodology*.

12 Text in brackets denotes location within grid square, to aid reader, e.g. (N) - 'north'; (S) - 'south'; (C) - 'centre'.

K6, J6	Amend boundary to exclude recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more to the open countryside.
I2, J2, J3	Amend boundary to exclude recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more to the open countryside.
I3, I4	Amend boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical features - the road.
F7, G7	Amend boundary to include built residential development that is physically related to the settlement.
E7, F6, F7	Amend boundary to exclude recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more to the open countryside.

A.1.1 ***Table A.1.1 below explains the proposed changes to the pre-submission settlement boundary following consideration of representations received during the Council's summer 2017 pre-submission consultation, and updates to reflect recent development up to April 2017.***

Table A.1.1 *Proposed changes to the pre-submission Marlborough Settlement Boundary*


<i>Map Grid Reference</i>	<i>Proposed change</i>
<i>F8, G8, G9, I8, H8 and H9</i>	<i>Amend pre-submission settlement boundary to include Marlborough College playing fields and main car park, bounded by the River Kennet, and the gardens and allotments adjacent to Marlborough College's Master's Lodge, bordering Pewsey Road. This is built community facilities development or curtilage that is physically related to the settlement and recreational or amenity space at the edge of a settlement that relates more closely to the built environment and has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.</i>
<i>E7, F6 and F7</i>	<i>Amend pre-submission settlement boundary to include College Fields area of public open space. This is recreational or amenity space at the edge of a settlement that relates more closely to the built environment and has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.</i>

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

**Aldbourne
Proposals for Revised Settlement Boundaries**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12



- Settlement Boundary (HSAP Submission May 2018)
- Settlement Boundary (HSAP Pre Submission June 2017)
- Settlement Boundary (WCS January 2015)

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q

Aldbourne

A.2 The preceding map of Aldbourne illustrates both the existing settlement boundary ("**WCS January 2015**") and the proposed revised **pre-submission** settlement boundary ("**HSAP Pre Submission June 2017**") and **proposed changes following consultation** ("**HSAP Submission M_Ay 2017**"). Table A.2 below explains why each of the proposed amendments in the **pre-submission settlement boundary** were made to the existing settlement boundary, in line with the settlement boundary review methodology⁽¹³⁾. The grid reference numbers are those used on the map overleaf.

Table A.2 Proposed amendments to Aldbourne Settlement Boundary

Map Grid Reference ⁽¹⁴⁾	Proposed Amendments
I3, J3, J2	Extension of boundary to take into account built residential development that is physically related to the settlement.
J4, J5, I5	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.
I6	Remove area that relates more closely to the countryside and to follow a defined physical feature.
J8	Extension of boundary to take into account built residential development and curtilages that are physically related to the settlement.
H10, I10	Amend boundary to remove employment development at the edge of the large village.
G8, H8	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.
G7 (N), G6 (S)	Extension of boundary to take into account built residential development that is physically related to the settlement.
G7 (NE)	Remove area that relates more closely to the countryside and to follow a defined physical feature.
G5, G6, H5, H6	Extension of boundary to take into account built residential development and community facilities that are physically related to the settlement.
H5 (NE), H4	Amend boundary to exclude area of land that more closely relates to the countryside and has the capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.

13 Wiltshire Council (June 2017)(**May 2018**). *Topic Paper 1: Settlement Boundary Review Methodology*.


14 Text in brackets denotes location within grid square, to aid reader, e.g. (N) - 'north'; (S) - 'south'; (C) - 'centre'.




A.2.1 Table A.2.1 below explains the proposed changes to the pre-submission settlement boundary following consideration of representations received during the Council's summer 2017 pre-submission consultation, and updates to reflect recent development up to April 2017.

Table A.2.1 *Proposed changes to the pre-submission Aldbourne Settlement Boundary*

<u>Map Grid Reference</u>	<u>Proposed change</u>
<u>H4 and H5</u>	<u>Amend pre-submission settlement boundary to include the curtilage of Beech Knoll House (and the adjacent garden of the house to the north), following not including the path to the west, but continue to exclude the field further north. These areas are curtilage of properties (e.g. gardens) that relate more closely to the built environment and have limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.</u>

**Baydon
Proposals for Revised Settlement Boundaries**



-  Settlement Boundary (HSAP Submission May 2018)
-  Settlement Boundary (HSAP Pre Submission June 2017)
-  Settlement Boundary (WCS January 2015)

Baydon

A.3 The preceding map of Baydon illustrates both the existing settlement boundary ("**WCS January 2015**") and the proposed revised **pre-submission** settlement boundary ("**HSAP Pre Submission June 2017**") and **proposed changes following consultation** ("**HSAP Submission May 2017**"). Table A.3 below explains why each of the proposed amendments in the **pre-submission settlement boundary** were made to the existing settlement boundary, in line with the settlement boundary review methodology⁽¹⁵⁾. The grid reference numbers are those used on the map overleaf.

Table A.3 Proposed amendments to Baydon Settlement Boundary

Map Grid Reference ⁽¹⁶⁾	Proposed Amendments
G7 (E)	Exclude curtilage of property with the capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.
H5 (W)	Extension to include built residential development that is physically related to the settlement.
H5 (E)	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.
I6 (E), J6, J7	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.
J7 (E)	Extension to include built residential development that is physically related to the settlement.
J7 (S)	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road, and to include curtilage of property that relates more to the settlement and has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.
I7 (E)	Exclude recreational space that primarily relates to the countryside.
H7, I7 (N)	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.
H7 (N)	Extension to include built residential development that is physically related to the settlement.
H7 (S)	Amendment boundary to exclude area more closely related to the countryside.
H8	Amend boundary to exclude curtilage of property with the capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.
G8, G7 (S)	Extend boundary to include built development that is physically related to the settlement.
G7, F8	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.

15 Wiltshire Council (June 2017)(**May 2018**). *Topic Paper 1: Settlement Boundary Review Methodology*.


16 Text in brackets denotes location within grid square, to aid reader, e.g. (N) - 'north'; (S) - 'south'; (C) - 'centre'.

A.3.1 *Table A.3.1 below explains the proposed changes to the pre-submission settlement boundary following consideration of representations received during the Council's summer 2017 pre-submission consultation, and updates to reflect recent development up to April 2017.*

Table A.3.1 *Proposed changes to the pre-submission Baydon Settlement Boundary*

<u>Map Grid Reference</u>	<u>Proposed change</u>
<u>F8 and F9</u>	<u>Amend pre-submission settlement boundary to include Turf Run, at the southern end of Baydon. The property is built development physically related to the settlement, lying at the end of a ribbon of development to the south of the village. The curtilage relates more closely to the built environment, situated between two existing dwellings (i.e. Turf Run and Becketts). Its inclusion has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement, since it lies between these two dwellings.</u>
<u>H5</u>	<u>Amend pre-submission settlement boundary to include a triangular piece of land which is bordered on all sides by the road. It previously lay within the settlement boundary. It is recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more closely to the built environment.</u>
<u>H7</u>	<u>Amend pre-submission settlement boundary to include Dacre House and garden which is separated from the adjacent fields by a hedgerow boundary.</u>
<u>F7 and G7</u>	<u>Amend pre-submission settlement boundary to include new dwellings at on land rear of Foxfield and Escots, Aldbourne Road. This is built development that is physically related to the settlement.</u>

**Broad Hinton
Proposals for Revised Settlement Boundaries**



- Settlement Boundary (HSAP Submission May 2018)
- Settlement Boundary (HSAP Pre Submission June 2017)
- Settlement Boundary (WCS January 2015)

Broad Hinton

A.4 The preceding map of Broad Hinton illustrates both the existing settlement boundary ("**WCS January 2015**") and the proposed revised **pre-submission** settlement boundary ("**HSAP Pre Submission June 2017**") and **proposed changes following consultation** ("**HSAP Submission May 2017**"). Table A.4 below explains why each of the proposed amendments in the **pre-submission settlement boundary** were made to the existing settlement boundary, in line with the settlement boundary review methodology⁽¹⁷⁾. The grid reference numbers are those used on the map overleaf.

Table A.4 Proposed amendments to Broad Hinton Settlement Boundary


Map Grid Reference	Proposed Amendments
G5, G6, H5	Amend boundary to include built residential development and curtilages of properties that relate more closely to the built environment and have limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.
H8	Amend boundary to include built community facilities development (i.e. church) that is physically related to the settlement.
K7	Amend boundary to exclude employment development at the edge of the large village.
H5, I5	Amend boundary to include the curtilage of a property that relates more closely to the built environment (e.g. a garden) or has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.




17 Wiltshire Council (~~June 2017~~) (**May 2018**). *Topic Paper 1: Settlement Boundary Review Methodology*.

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

**Ramsbury
Proposals for Revised Settlement Boundaries**



-  Settlement Boundary (HSAP Submission May 2018)
-  Settlement Boundary (HSAP Pre Submission June 2017)
-  Settlement Boundary (WCS January 2015)

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q

Ramsbury

A.5 The preceding map of Ramsbury illustrates both the existing settlement boundary ("**WCS January 2015**") and the proposed revised **pre-submission** settlement boundary ("**HSAP Pre Submission June 2017**") and **proposed changes following consultation** ("**HSAP Submission May 2017**"). Table A.5 below explains why each of the proposed amendments in the **pre-submission settlement boundary** were made to the existing settlement boundary, in line with the settlement boundary review methodology⁽¹⁸⁾. The grid reference numbers are those used on the map overleaf.

Table A.5 Proposed amendments to Ramsbury Settlement Boundary

Map Grid Reference	Proposed Amendments
E7	Amend boundary to exclude employment development on the edge of the large village.
F8, E8, F9	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.
K6	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.
L5, L6	Amend boundary to include the curtilage of a property that relates more to the built environment (e.g. a garden) and/ or has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.
G6, G7	Amendment to boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.
I7, J7, K7	Amend boundary to follow but not include clearly defined physical feature – the road.

A.5.1 **Table A.5.1 below explains the proposed changes to the pre-submission settlement boundary following consideration of representations received during the Council's summer 2017 pre-submission consultation, and updates to reflect recent development up to April 2017.**

Table A.5.1 **Proposed changes to the pre-submission Ramsbury Settlement Boundary**

<u>Map Grid Reference</u>	<u>Proposed change</u>
<u>I7, I8, J7 and J8</u>	<u>Amend pre-submission settlement boundary to include the properties to the south of Newton Road. The existing boundary around The Old Mill should be retained because the River Kennet forms a clearly defined, physical boundary (and extending it would take it over the river and land that is prone to flooding). However, the properties to the south of Newton Road are physically related to the settlement and, bordered by the river to the south, have limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement.</u>

18 Wiltshire Council (June 2017) (**May 2018**). Topic Paper 1: Settlement Boundary Review Methodology .

This document was published by the Spatial Planning team, Wiltshire Council, Economic Development and Planning Services.

For further information please visit the following website:

<http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/wiltshsgsiteallocationsplan.htm>

Information about Wiltshire Council services can be made available in other formats (such as large print or audio) and languages on request. Please contact the council on 0300 456 0100, by textphone on (01225) 712500 or by email on customerservices@wiltshire.gov.uk.