

**Item 8 – Planning Updates**

**From Chris Caswill**

**Question (SPC21-02)**

The deadline given for questions to this meeting which would be guaranteed a written response was 5pm on 15 June, which was a Tuesday. The agenda seems not to have been available to the public until some time on Monday 14 June. (a) Do you consider this a sufficient time for democratic public participation, which you apparently welcome, at least in the words on the face of the Agenda? (b) what can be done to provide more time for the public in future meetings of this Committee?

**Response**

A verbal response will be provided at the meeting.

**Question (SPC21-03)**

The Agenda makes it clear 'questions on non-determined planning applications' are not permitted. Item 8 on the Agenda concerns an application that has been determined by this Committee so that questions such as the one(s) below should be allowed:

- (a) The paper in front of the Committee refers only to S106 discussions, and makes no reference to the 38 Conditions which this Committee agreed in September. *Will you confirm that all these Conditions still stand and will be required to be met, and none are left to the discretion of officers to alter or ignore?*
- (b) Given the importance attached to provision of low cost social housing, *could you restate the Council's commitment to the '40% Affordable Housing – 60% Affordable Rent 40% Shared Ownership' element of the proposed S106 agreement and make it clear that these numbers are not negotiable downwards by officers in their 'behind closed doors' discussions with the applicants?*

**Response**

A verbal response will be provided at the meeting.