Wiltshire Council

Electoral Review Committee

3 April 2024

Parish Name Change Review

Purpose

1. To consider proposals to change the name of the parish of Clyffe Pypard

Background

- Changing the name of a parish or parish council can be done through a Community Governance Review process in accordance with the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.
- 3. However, such a change may also be progressed under s.75 of the Local Government Act 1972.
- 4. As the latter would be a simpler process in terms of structure, consultation and decision, the Committee at its meeting on 21 September 2021 resolved that where a request was received solely regarding a parish name change, the s.75 process would be followed.
- 5. This report relates to requests from the parish of Clyffe Pypard, which is located south of Royal Wootton Bassett, within the Electoral Division of Lyneham.
- 6. S.75 allows the Council to change the name of a parish at the request of a parish council (or parish meeting if there is no council). This means that the Council may only approve or not approve that suggested name, and cannot substitute some other proposal. For instance, should a new suggestion arise as a result of a survey, unless the parish council had indicated formal consent for that alternative proposal, it could not be recommended.

Main Considerations

7. Clyffe Pypard Parish Council requested that the name of the parish be changed to Clyffe Pypard and Bushton. The reason for the request was:

To more accurately reflect the communities served by the Parish Council, which has historically represented the two neighbouring villages.

The parish includes two main settlements: Clyffe Pypard and Bushton. Bushton is the larger settlement, but it is not reflected in the name of the parish.

This change was unanimously supported by the parish council

- 8. In order to change the name of a parish, a decision would be required by Full Council, who would then notify the Secretary of State, Director General of the Ordnance Survey, and the Registrar General, along with a parish name change order.
- 9. There are no specific consultation requirements where a change of parish name is being considered under s.75, only proscribed notifications after the event as detailed above.

- 10. However, at request of the Committee on 9 January 2024 a survey was set up to seek any local views, with a request this be circulated in the community by the parish council and others.
- 11.44 responses were received to the survey, as attached at **Appendix A.** 36 responses were in favour and 8 against the proposal. 2 of the responses against the proposal (which were submitted from the same email address) incorrectly stated Clyffe Pypard and Bushton were separate parishes under a joint parish council, when the area is a single parish with multiple communities.
- 12.42 responses stated they were submitted by residents of the parish. As the total electorate of Clyffe Pypard is approximately 251, then even with the total population being higher than the electorate this would indicate a very significant proportion of the parish have responded to the name change proposal.

Safeguarding Implications

13. There are no safeguarding implications.

Public Health Implications

14. There are no public health implications.

Procurement Implications

15. There are no procurement implications.

Risk Assessment

16. There are no risk issues arising from this report.

Equalities Implications

17. There are no equalities implications.

Environmental and Climate Change Implications

18. There are no environmental implications.

Workforce Implications

19. There are no workforce implications.

Financial Implications

20. There are no financial implications.

Legal Implications

21. This report is consistent with the requirements of s.75 of the Local Government Act 1972.

Options

22. The Committee may recommend that Full Council approve the proposed name changes for the parishes as listed, decline to make any recommendation to Full Council at this time, or seek consent of the parish council to recommend an alternative name to Full Council if appropriate.

Proposal

23. To consider whether to recommend Full Council approve the following name changes:

The Parish of Clyffe Pypard be changed to the Parish of Clyffe Pypard and Bushton.

Perry Holmes - Director, Legal and Governance

Report Author: Kieran Elliott, Democracy Manager (Democratic Services), 01225 718504, kieran.elliott@wiltshire.gov.uk

Appendices

Appendix A – Responses to the Name Change Survey

Background Papers

None

Appendix A

Party and also for the	olute sense to include both parishes,
1. 5 1)	
order as Clyff	he settlements to be names in that
	e is the original name and Bushton is
being added t	
	e proposal as it may Bushton
Party residents feel	more included in the PC
Resident Disagree The suggested	d new name is a bit of a mouthful.
	something that has been fine for
hundreds of y	
	me should reflect the names of both
	settlements of Clyffe Pypard and
	n proud to live in this area and would
	s name to be included in the parish
5 Resident Agree It totally make	as assess as Direkton in the larger
,	es sense as Bushton is the larger nd therefore should be recognised.
	ild be included, as it is a large part of
the parish are	
	Parish is the historical name and in
	nould be retained. The settlements of
	The Barton are also within Clyffe
Pypard Parish	
	e and is more reflective of the actual
residents	
9 Resident Disagree I prefer the sh	norter and historical name of Clyffe
Pypard for the	e Parish.
	dress is Bushton, but it doesn't bother
	hat the Parish name excludes
	vhat if Bushton is the larger
	n the grand scheme of things does it
really matter!	
	eds to change to reflect the reality of
	ty - Bushton and Clyffe Pypard are the ments but Bushton is not currently
	the civic parish name
	working together.
	s all feel included in local matters.
	osal so that both villages are included
in the title	osai so that both villages are included
	key part of the parish community
16 Resident Agree	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
17 Resident Agree	
18 Resident Agree	
19 Resident Agree	
	ation of the community
	e larger settlement and also the
	e village hall. It deserves to be
	ne Parish name
22 Resident Agree It makes sens	e for both communities to be
represented.	

00	Davido	Λ	
23	Resident	Agree	It would make good common sense to do it BUT is there a cost (eg printed maps, guides etc)
24	Resident	Agree	Bushton should be included in the name of the
24	Resident	Agree	parish council
25	Resident	Agree	makes sense!
26	Resident	Agree	
27	Resident	Agree	Currently both villages are impacted by the parish council decisions so fair request to include Bushton in the name
28	Resident	Agree	I think it makes the whole settlement more inclusive.
29	Resident	Agree	We are anonymous the last link to Clyffe Pypard we SHOULD be joined as CLYFFE PYPARD and BUSHTON Parish Council!!!! there are after all enough people living in BUSHTON i am a resident.
30	Resident	Agree	
31	Resident	Agree	It is more logical to have both names in the title.
32	Resident	Disagree	Don't really see the need for such a change
33	Resident	Agree	To bring together both settlements Clyffe Pypard and Bushton. The village hall is in Bushton.
34	Resident	Agree	It seems like a good idea to bring the communities together.
35	Resident	Agree	It's logical, makes perfect sense - in line with reasons already submitted by Parish Council.
36	Resident	Agree	It is a very logical change to make. Both settlements make the whole parish and it should be reflected in the name
37	Resident	Agree	While largely ambivalent about the change I can see that it reflects the physical "on the ground" make up of the Parish.
38	Resident	Agree	the reasons stated by the PC
39	Resident	Agree	The name is more inclusive and gives Bushton residents a clearer identity. We understand that associated costs would be minimal.
40	Resident	Agree	It makes sense
41	Resident	Agree	Reflects the close ties and equality of the 2 villages more appropriately
42	Resident	Disagree	We are two separate parishes and I wish to remain as such, I can't see any good reason to change it as there doesn't seem to be any advantage for the change. According to the old maps the area has always been known as Clyffe Pypard. I have no objection to the Parish Council being called Clyffe Pypard and Bushton parish council because that is what it is (a joint council of the two parishes)
43	Resident (Duplicate email to 42)	Disagree	I don't see the reason to change,. I have been here 44 years and no problems. I came here with my husband and three children and still love the area. We are two different parishes, always have been.and I always want them to be. I don't mind the Parish Council being joint but certainly not the

			area. I would like CLYFFE PYPARD to remain a separate parish.
44	Resident	Disagree	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal. The Civil Parish of Clyffe Pypard was established from five tythings at the close of the 19th. Century as you know. In all subsequent legal and governance matters it has been so referred. The Neighbourhood Plan enabling regulations are also reflective. Therefore it does not seem necessary or relevant and will probably result in additional costs revising a number of existing references and legalities etc. The equivalent to changing the name of a Civil Parish could be likened to an application to change the name of Wiltshire Council to Wiltshire and Salisbury and Chippenham Council . Based on a vainglorious and similar attempt - and which could be expanded as well (Marlborough, Pewsey, Wilton etc.)! Hence "Clyffe Pypard and Thickthorn and Bushton and Woodhill and The Barton and Upper Ham Parish Council" Clyffe Pypard is the notation for the civil electoral (Parish) area and should remain just that. Thank you Keith