

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update		Who
1.				
	Present:	Chair: Cllr Mark Connolly (MC) Wiltshire Councillor, Rhiann Surgenor (RS) Wiltshire Council Highways, Chris Williams (CW), Wiltshire Councillor, Humph Jones (HJ), Tidworth Town Council, Owen White (OW), Ludgershall Town Council, Cllr Tony Pickernell (TP) Wiltshire Councillor, David Dennis (DD), Collingbourne Ducis PC, Peter Knowlson (PK), Collingbourne Ducis PC, Richard Semple (RiS), Collingbourne Kingston PC, Denis Bottomley (DB) Everleigh PC, Terry Martin (TM) & David Pike (DP) Chute Forest PC.		
2.				
	Apologies:			
3.				
		2023-24 Allocation £22,170 2022-23 Underspend of £38,961.63	The Group noted the financial position.	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Available to allocated once existing commitments are accounted for is £29814.63		
4.				
a)	<p><u>Priority No 01</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-20-3</u></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit Assessment</p>	<p>The current 30mph zone and signage is failing to slow traffic down as it passes Aughton Junction. It is located so close to the Junction that traffic is only starting to slow down as it passes the Junction. This is creating a very dangerous situation for vehicles that are exiting the Junction on to the A338</p> <p>We would like the 30mph zone and signing to be moved 2-300 yards north of its current positioning. This would allow traffic to slow down in consideration of the 30mph speed limit by the time they get to Aughton Junction. This would dramatically improve the position for vehicles exiting Aughton Junction. We would like to have a speed review conducted to verify our concerns and then move on to having the zone and signage moved north as indicated above.</p> <p>RS confirmed that CKPC would fund 25% of the assessment costs. After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to Tidworth Area Board for a speed limit assessment to be undertaken on the A338 in CK to assess if the existing 30 mph limit can be extended to the end of the present 40 mph limit.</p> <p>Proposal has been added to works programme for 22-23 and will be progressed in due course. Scheme has been allocated to an Engineer and Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) has been drafted and sent to WC's Legal Team. CKPC will receive the TRO as part of the statutory consultation.</p>	<p>RS stated that Atkins are assessing different solar options for lighting the new speed limit sign. The preferred option will be sent to CKPC.</p>	RS

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>TRO Consultation has been completed. No comments received. Preparation of task order for contractor to commence.</p> <p>Order raised with the contractor. There have been delays due to Milestone bedding in since taking over the WC highways contract. Waiting on the date for completion.</p>		
b)	<p><u>Priority No 03</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-21-09</u></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Ashdown Estate / South Drive</p> <p>Request for Dropped Kerb Crossing.</p>	<p><i>The A338 south Tidworth has a newly completed estate, call The Ashdown Estate. There is a small roundabout at the junction with Rourke's Drift, and opposite is South Drive. South Drive leads to Tedworth House and Park.</i></p> <p><i>Many families from the Ashdown Estate cross the road near the roundabout, to walk down South Drive to Tedworth Park, for recreational purposes, and to watch events that take place there.</i></p> <p><i>If they did not use South Drive, families would have to walk towards Hampshire Cross and access the park by the Avenue leading to Tedworth House, which is a very long diversion along a very busy main road.</i></p> <p><i>The Ashdown Residents have requested dropped kerbs near to the Rourke's Drift roundabout to ease the situation, by enabling pushchairs, wheelchairs etc to cross the road more safely, and access South Drive, which is a much shorter route.</i></p> <p>GR presented a proposed design to enable safer crossing for residents.</p> <p>GR stated the cost of the scheme would be between £22-£30K and would require 30% of the Group's annual allocation (£6600) as a minimum contribution. The Group and TTC had already contributed £2500 for the design but GR advised that the more provided as a contribution, the more chance it may</p>	<p>In progress on site – on track for completion by 19 Oct.</p> <p>HJ stated he had requested a warning sign for North bound traffic advising of pedestrians crossing. RS to look at plans.</p>	RS

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>have in succeeding. The Group agreed to contribute of up to £4100 and TTC would be asked to fund £3400 to make the total contribution towards the scheme £10K.</p> <p>Cabinet Member report for Substantive bid has been published. Scheme is proposed for funding. Indicatively programmed for October 2023.</p> <p>GR confirmed works likely to commence in October.</p> <p>On track for October date</p>		
c)	<p><u>Priority No 4</u></p> <p><u>Ref 15-21-10</u></p> <p>A338 Collingbourne Ducis</p> <p>A338 / A346 Church Street / High Street</p> <p>Junction Alteration</p>	<p><i>Collingbourne Ducis continues to have issues with speeding across this junction and near misses on a weekly basis. It is far too easy for vehicles to not slow down to a safe speed when turning left onto the High Street coming from Church Street due to the generous nature of the corner. Our second issue with the roundabout is that no sooner does it get re-painted but within a year it is noticeably getting worn away. Having a flat painted roundabout again means vehicles are no slowing sufficiently crossing the roundabout which has several close by entrances to driveways and the pub car park.</i></p> <p><i>Build Raised Roundabout and / or look at slowing down (Building Out) the corner from Church Street to the High Street coming from Tidworth direction</i></p> <p>GR presented a proposed realignment of the roundabout. DD stated that this was not what he thought had been agreed, which was making the A338 the priority and Ludgershall Road a T-Junction.</p>	<p>DD stated the plans looked good and he preferred option 2. However, CDPC to view the options and possibly hold a public meeting. RS to attend CDPC meeting.</p> <p>Will need substantive bid as work will involve road closures/traffic management.</p>	RS/ CDPC

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>There was a debate on the safety merits of both solutions. It was agreed that a safety audit be undertaken on both options before a decision is taken on this scheme at a cost of approx. £1000. CDPC to confirm a contribution of £250 towards the audit.</p> <p>Council's consultants have been commissioned to undertake audits of both options. Assessment has been completed and awaiting formal report – Advance information has been given that both options do not raise any initial safety concerns.</p> <p>The Group agreed that a design of the preferred T-Junction be drawn up and passed to CDPC, to consult with the village.</p> <p>Awaiting staff resource to prepare preliminary drawings for PC Consultation. GR advised that the preliminary drawings will be passed to CDPC before the next meeting.</p> <p>Due to resources, this is still work in progress and an update will be given at the meeting.</p>		
d)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-02</u></p> <p>Everleigh</p> <p>Footpath 8</p> <p>Request for replacement signs</p>	<p><i>Everleigh footpath 8 is a public footpath which starts from the Netheravon Rd Everleigh footpath 200 metres South of the A342 in Everleigh and heads SE for about 1 kilometre to the vicinity of Weatherhill Firs.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Replace the Wiltshire Council public footpath sign at point A and cut the hedge</i> • <i>Erect an additional Wiltshire Council public footpath sign at point B to confirm the route for walkers.</i> 	<p>DB gave a briefing to remind members on the background and progress to date. MOD have agreed with Rights of Way on what is required but awaiting outcome of a bid for funding the scheme.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>DB met with Rights of Way (RoW) officer in August. Outcome was that the RoW officer would contact the Defence Infrastructure Organisation to install styles and gates. WC to provide a footpath sign on the Netheravon Road and way markers for the route.</p> <p>Update from RoW (Dec 22) - <i>After our meeting on site I raised the issues we found with this path with the MOD. I recently chased them to get an update and have been informed that they are going to meet with the tenant to get this footpath available. I hope this is something they will pick up in the New Year.</i></p> <p><i>I appreciate it's taking a while but it is still on my radar. Once I have further updates from the MOD I will make sure to keep you in the loop.</i></p> <p>GR to chase RoW officer Carys Ford for an update.</p> <p>Following last meeting GR spoke with Carys – this is still ongoing</p>		
e)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-03</u></p> <p>Tidworth</p> <p>Ordnance Road</p> <p>Request for Improved Pedestrian Facilities</p>	<p><i>Ordnance Road, SP9 7QB, runs from the new main roundabout in Tidworth along the A338 Pennings Road in Tidworth. It is the main route up to the old Ordnance Depot, now a business park, the Tidworth Leisure Centre on Nadder Road SP9 7QA, and the Clarendon Junior and Infant Schools on Wylde Road SP9 7QQ. It will also be the main route to the new Civic Centre once it has been built. The area is very congested especially at high peak times, including school opening and closing times. It becomes a bottle neck of traffic and pedestrians trying to cross Ordnance Road.</i></p>	Case closed. Remove from agenda.	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p><i>Nadder Road and Wylve Road cut across Ordnance Road and is the main route for pedestrians to get to and from the schools.</i></p> <p><i>At the Full Town Council Meeting on 7th June 2022, Councillors agreed to pay a 30% contribution towards a survey to help make this area more accessible, and safe for pedestrians to cross Ordnance Road by means of a zebra crossing.</i></p> <p>GR advised that an assessment of the site would cost £2500. TTC would contribute £750. The Group agreed to fund the remainder of the cost (£1750).</p> <p>Pedestrian survey has been commissioned but delayed by contractor. Survey is programmed to take place by end of April.</p> <p>The Group noted that metrocounts were in Ordnance Road. GR advised that pedestrian counts are undertaken via a camera. Results will hopefully be known and passed to TTC before the next meeting.</p> <p>Report will be produced and sent to TTC ahead of July meeting.</p> <p>Report has been produced and will be sent to Tidworth TC for discussion within the meeting. The Group noted that although the site did qualify for a zebra crossing, the recommendation was to provide an informal crossing. This would be dropped kerbs, bollards either side of the informal crossing and tactile paving as there was not enough traffic to cause long delays for pedestrians to cross the road.</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		RS advised that she can draw up a design for TTC before the next meeting.		
f)	<u>Ref 15-22-04</u> Collingbourne Kingston A338 Signing Review	<p><i>Our faded speed limit signs are not giving a very strong message to transiting motorists that we take obeying the speed limit in this village very seriously. May we have them refurbished or replaced with new please. We feel this would contribute to our continuing goal to reduce the incidence of speeding in our village, in the interests of road safety and quality of life.</i></p> <p>A comprehensive review would be needed to establish the condition and identification of suitable replacements. A cost estimate cannot be provided until this is complete.</p> <p>RS met with PC. Agreement on actions to replace signs at Southern end.</p> <p>Task Order for contractor to be issued by end of April.</p> <p>Order is with contractor awaiting delivery date. RS advised Milestone are bedding in and this has caused delays in orders being implemented. Awaiting order to be implemented.</p>	Amended 30mph sign has been made and should be installed by 13 Oct. Issue closed. Remove from agenda.	
g)	<u>Ref 15-22-05</u> Ludgershall Mead Road Waiting Restrictions	<p><i>Parking on both sides of the street, causes traffic back up and the inability for emergency services to pass.</i></p> <p><i>Request No Waiting Restrictions as per attached map.</i></p> <p>A review of restrictions would be in the region of £3,000 to £4,000.</p> <p>LTC to agree the length of road to be considered for waiting restrictions on the left-hand side of Meade Road going up the</p>	WR plans were distributed and agreed with Tidworth TC, awaiting confirmation from LTC in order to submit TRO for date. RS to send email to LTC to confirm.	RS/LTC

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>hill. The Group agreed that the other side of the road have waiting restrictions from the junction to the entrance of the residential car park.</p> <p>LTC have agreed to fund 25% of the assessment cost.</p> <p>LTC to agree the length of road on the left-hand side of Meade Road to be considered.</p> <p>Work on drafting TRO is ongoing. Draft plans to be prepared by end of April. GR advised that draft plans should be with LTC by the end of April. However, as there are other schemes requested for Tidworth, it would be more cost-effective to run these schemes together.</p> <p>There was a delay with producing WR plans. However, these are to be submitted to LTC to discuss and report back to RS before the next meeting.</p>		
h)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-08</u></p> <p>Collingbourne Ducis</p> <p>Cadley Road</p> <p>Refurbishment of Virtual Footway</p>	<p><i>The virtual footpath in Cadley Road has started to wear away and is no longer as clearly visible to drivers.</i></p> <p><i>Re-painting of the Virtual Footpath in Cadley Road to make it clearly visible again.</i></p> <p>Assuming the carriageway surface is in good order, then a refreshing of the existing road markings would be in the order of £2,500.</p> <p>RS has arranged for minor repairs to the highway, which will be completed in two weeks or eight weeks. The Group agreed to fund the lining to restore the virtual footway. CDPC agreed to fund 25% of the cost.</p>	Issue complete. Remove from agenda.	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>RS has arranged minor road repairs. CDPC agreed to fund 25% (£833) of the £2500 cost.</p> <p>Scheme has been allocated to 22/23 Programme.</p> <p>Works package to be issued by end of April.</p> <p>Order is in, awaiting date from contractor for completion.</p>		
i)	<p><u>Ref 15-22-09</u></p> <p>Ludgershall</p> <p>High Street</p> <p>Request for Disabled Parking Bay</p>	<p><i>Disabled users of near by business's have been parking in dangerous location, correspondence has gone to the local business asking them to advise their clients not to park illegally and check the regulations or their badge.</i></p> <p><i>We would like to make the a disabled parking bay, the location is already a designated parking location we simply want to make one spot for blue badge holders only.</i></p> <p>GR advised that this could be added to the Meade Road TRO without additional cost. The lining would be approximately £200.</p> <p>The Group agreed to the request being added to the Meade Road TRO and to fund £150 of the white lining.</p> <p>OW to seek LTC approval to fund £50 of the white lining.</p>	<p>WR plans were distributed and agreed with Tidworth TC, awaiting confirmation from LTC in order to submit TRO for date. LTC to confirm.</p> <p>RS to send email to LTC to confirm.</p>	RS/LTC

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG



OW confirmed LTC will make a £50 contribution to the scheme. This requires a TRO, which will be included with the waiting restriction schemes.

There was a delay with producing WR plans, however these are to be submitted to Parish's to discuss at the meeting

There was a delay with producing WR plans. However, these are to be submitted to LTC to discuss and report back to RS before the next meeting.

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

6.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	<p><u>Ref 15-23-01</u></p> <p>Ludgershall</p> <p>Empress Way</p> <p>Road Marking replacement</p>	<p><i>White lining has worn away which is causing a safety issue for pedestrians.</i></p> <p><i>An assessment needs to be completed as to whether a pedestrian crossing can be installed or any other safety measurements instead.</i></p> <p><i>What we would really like is a proper crossing but at the least new markings as drivers will not realise there is a crossing there.</i></p> <p>Site has been assessed. Highlighted crossing area could be refurbished with Buff HFS (Cost circa £2k). Refurbishment of central and edge of carriageway road markings to be order by end of April.</p> <p>Road width is insufficient to accommodate a minimum 1.5m wide central refuge.</p> <p>OW to seek agreement from LTC for a 25% contribution (£2000) for the BUFF HFS for the highlighted crossing point.</p> <p>Confirmation required from LTC.</p> <p>OW confirmed LTC to fund 25% of cost. RS to provide plan for LTC who should report back before the next meeting.</p>	<p>RS has costed high friction surfacing at £2k. Group agreed to fund £1500 and OW to seek LTC approval for £500.</p>	<p>OW/LTC</p>
b)	<p><u>Ref 15-23-05</u></p> <p>Tidworth</p>	<p><i>A recent collision occurred at this junction because visibility is so very poor coming up the hill, and indeed looking down the hill, coming out of the junction. TTC have had many complaints regarding this, but the resident at 10 Pennings Road, has a</i></p>	<p>WR plans were distributed and agreed with Tidworth TC, awaiting confirmation from LTC in order to submit TRO for date. Awaiting</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p>Pennings Road</p> <p>Request for Waiting Restrictions</p>	<p><i>childcare business, and so there is a constant run of cars being parked too close to the junction, and on a blind brow of the hill. There is a white van always parked there, which may belong to the owners of the house.</i></p> <p><i>Double yellow lines to make it absolutely clear that there should be no parking near a junction, reducing visibility in both directions.</i></p> <p>HJ confirmed that TTC had agreed to fund 25% of the design and implementation of the scheme.</p> <p>The Group agreed to proceed with the scheme, which will linked with the LTC schemes.</p> <p>There was a delay with producing WR plans, however these are to be submitted to Parish's to discuss at the meeting.</p> <p>There was a delay with producing WR plans. However, these are to be submitted to TTC to discuss and report back to RS before the next meeting.</p>	<p>LTC confirmation for their schemes before this can be implemented.</p>	
c)	<p><u>Ref 15-23-06</u></p> <p>Tidworth</p> <p>Station Road</p> <p>Request for Waiting Restrictions</p>	<p>Awaiting confirmation from Parking Services of their priorities. The order confirms it does go to the 20mph and therefore it will not need to be submitted for a TRO and can be added on to WR batch alongside other requests if not completed prior by parking.</p>	<p>Completed. Remove from agenda?</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

7.	Open / Other Issues			
a)	<p><u>Ref 15-23-03</u></p> <p>Tidworth</p> <p>Wyle Road</p> <p>Request for new footway link</p>	<p><i>A request was received for a new footpath on Wyle Road, from the Clarendon Nursery School gate to the road.</i></p> <p>The grass verge is currently outside of the extent of the Maintainable Highway and presumed in the responsibility of the MoD. The link would only serve a commercial activity.</p> <p>As the request is for a commercial activity, the nursery should fund this. MC stated that TTC had approached MOD to see if a small path could be provided on the grass verge. Once received, the nursey would be informed. Issue closed.</p> <p>Update to be provided within the meeting due to an update in circumstances.</p> <p>The site is no longer a nursery but a day care centre run by the LA for children with learning difficulties. It does, therefore, qualify for funding under LHFIFG.</p> <p>TTC has requested approval for the footpath from DIO as it is MOD land. DIO has been advised of the type of materials to be used and the Group is awaiting a response.</p> <p>RS confirmed that there will be no design costs for the scheme. RS to design scheme and pass to TTC before the next meeting.</p>	<p>HJ to check with TTC that MOD had approved the scheme. Post meeting, Annie Nichols of TTC confirmed MOD had given approval. Scheme can now be designed and costed for next meeting.</p>	RS
b)	<p><u>Ref 15-23-04</u></p>	<p><i>Cars crashing into bend including signage possibly due to speeding. Traffic calming measures implemented, better signage, or SID to be done.</i></p>		RS

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p>Ludgershall</p> <p>A342 Butt Street / Castle Street</p> <p>Request collision reduction measures</p>	<p>The location has been highlighted to colleagues' responsibility for maintenance to undertake investigation / remedial actions due to surface condition.</p> <p>GR to discuss with contractor, Atkins. The issue requires more than just resurfacing for skid resistance. GR to forward plans to LTC for consideration.</p> <p>RS confirms that Atkins are looking at this as an improvement scheme (likely patching) to reduce disruption this will be included within the same closure for the footway scheme but no date has yet been provided.</p> <p>RS confirms that Atkins are looking at this as an improvement scheme (likely patching) to reduce disruption this will be included within the same closure for the footway scheme but no date has yet been provided.</p> <p>OW stated that LTC are looking into a SID on the approach from the Collingbourne end.</p>	<p>RS to chase Atkins. OW submitted metrocount requests to RS.</p>	
<p>8.</p>	<p>New Issues</p>			
<p>a)</p>	<p>REF: 15-23-9</p> <p>Tidworth A338</p> <p>Deer crossing warning sign</p>	<p>Approval given at LHFIG 3rd July regarding installation of a "Deer Crossing" warning sign.</p> <p>There is already one to the south of Tidworth, but sightings have been made north of Tidworth, and therefore a warning sign is totally pertinent.</p> <p>The sign would need to be installed along the A338 north of the Tidworth Cemetery, near the Double C tank crossing, going south. Tidworth to pay 100% of costs.</p>	<p>TTC has agreed to fund 100% of the costs. Remove issued from agenda.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

b)	<p>REF: 15-23-10 Meerut Road Tidworth Railings</p>	<p>Absent railings. Exit from new Skatepark is unsafe. Users are exiting the park, straight across the footpath, onto Meerut Road. Railings are required to make users "stop" and consider crossing safely</p>	<p>RS advised railings will cost £2K. Group agreed to fund £1500. HJ to seek TTC approval for £500.</p>	<p>HJ/TTC RS</p>
c)	<p>REF: 15-23-11 Forest/Hoockwood Lane Upper Chute Road narrows sign relocation</p>	<p>Forest Lane is unsuitable for HGVs and has advisory sign in place. Large vehicles continue to use the route. The alternative route to Upper Chute via Hookwood Lane has road narrows signs in place. This causes confusion to drivers of large vehicles.</p>	<p>RS to undertake a signage review and report back to the Group.</p>	<p>RS</p>
d)	<p>REF: 15-23-12 Everleigh A342 Signage review/replacement</p>	<p>1. One of the 50mph speed limit signs is missing at the 50mph gateway on the Western approach to Everleigh on the A342 (Point A – Grid SU 196544).</p> <p>2. On the Eastern approach to Everleigh on the A342 (Point B – Grid SU 211535), the road markings to denote a change in the speed limit to 50mph are in need of repainting. Please see the attached photograph.</p> <p>3. The double bend warning sign on the A342 on the Eastern approach to Everleigh (Point C – Grid SU 212534) is rusty and falling over. It is in need of repair or replacement.</p>	<p>DB briefed the Group on the three issues. The 50mph sign has been missing since March and reported. The bend sign has also been reported and no action taken. RS to chase issue numbers.</p> <p>RS also going to chase the road markings that had been reported.</p> <p>DB and TM also added that they have a number of other issues relating to the A342 they want to brief the Group on. However, it was agreed RS would visit to undertake a review of lining in the village. It was also agreed that the villages should review the lining in their villages to see if a more holistic approach could be done to reduce costs.</p>	<p>RS/ Villages</p>

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

			Villages to report to RS with evidence of work required.	
10.	AOB			
a)	Collingbourne Kingston	<p>Discussion on Collision Reduction</p> <p>A public meeting is being held in CK village hall on Thursday with Danny Kruger MP and WC representatives. This followed an incident when a lorry from Solstice Park crashed into the River Bourne.</p> <p>Post meeting GR confirmed NH are due to provide an update imminently, with the publication due in Spring 2023.</p> <p>DD stated that Home Bargains are starting to engage with the villages. They are building a new depot in Warrington which will mean a reduction in lorries from Solstice Park going through the Collingbournes, Completion expected in 18 months.</p> <p>GR advised that the report has been completed in draft form and is being reviewed in the DoT.</p> <p>No further update. Still awaiting the report, which was due in Spring!</p>	No further update from RS.	
b)	Pennings Road, Tidworth	<p>HJ mentioned a petition requesting traffic calming measures and reduced speed limits on Pennings Road from the Riverbourne roundabout to the Ram Public House junction. HJ & MC had been in correspondence with the petitioner.</p>	<p>Metrocount data submitted to Tidworth & residents.</p> <p>Correspondence showed suitability for CSW and potential</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>HJ stated that the speed camera sign was not working correctly & queried if it needed replacing. WC Traffic Signals have been advised of the faulty VAS Speed Camera Sign. However, as the Speed Camera is no longer in situ, and the sign does not warn of a hazard then it is likely to be removed.</p> <p>MC stated that TTC had requested metrocounts for Pennings Road, Windmill Drive and Bulford Road. TTC to discuss results and come to the Group with any suggestions.</p> <p>MC advised that the metrocounts are not going to be commissioned until July. This is due to the company who did them went into administration and has caused a backlog of requests.</p> <p>No further update. MC and HJ stated that metrocounts had taken place. RS to chase the results so that they can be sent to TTC.</p>	<p>SID. Issue closed. Remove from agenda.</p>	
c)	Church Bend, Collingbourne Ducis	<p>PK stated that lorries are eating further into grass verge near Rectory and causing issues.</p> <p>GR advised people to submit on the MyWilts app. He asked PK/DD to send photos to him. He would then approach the maintenance team.</p> <p>PK stated he would send photos to GR.</p> <p>No further info was provided, PK to confirm if this matter was resolved via the MyWilts app</p> <p>PK has taken photos and raised on MyWilts app. Bank has been eroded by traffic and a utility pipe is very close to the road.</p>	<p>PK provided photos, a site visit was carried out by Area Manager Paul Bollen and submitted to Milestone for Kerb/verge improvements. No dates have been given currently.</p>	

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

d)	Ludgershall Road, Tidworth	<p>HJ stated there were gaps in the fencing in Ludgershall Road.</p> <p>Maintenance have been contacted & RS is chasing.</p> <p>RS confirmed order had been made and will chase. Work should be imminent.</p> <p>RS has spoken with contractor (27th June) – the sub contractor were waiting for a final material delivery. The contractor will chase this order and provide an update.</p>	Still ongoing. RS has chased through her manager due to the contractor not getting back to her as the job has been paid for,	RS
e)	Shaw Hill junction (A342/A346)	<p>CW raised the issue of the recent accidents at this junction and if something could be done.</p> <p>RS asked that any reports of accidents be passed to her so that she can contact the police to try and claim on car insurance policies for damaged highway assets.</p> <p>CDPC will raise this as an issue for the next meeting.</p>		CDPC/ All
f)	Hill Top estate, Tidworth	<p>Speed bump request received by TTC from a resident on Coronation Road, as well as a 20 mph limit on the estate. MC advised that the orads on the estate are not adopted highway but maintained by Aster. Any requests should be sent to Aster from the resident/TTC. Issue closed.</p>		TTC

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

g)	Footpath from Bourne Works to Tipple Inn, Collingbourne Dicus	DD stated that the path in this area was in a very poor state of repair. RS advised DD to raise this as an issue and a costing can be provided at the next meeting.		DD
h)	A3026, Tidworth Road, Ludgershall	OW reported that a 30 mph limit sign had been missing for months and had been reported several times. Requests handed to RS who will chase.		RS
i)	March Area Board	CW reported that the 18 March meeting of the Area Board will include a large report on highway issues in the Community Area.		
11.	Date of Next Meeting: 24 Jan 24 – 5.30PM at Ludgershall TC office (High Street) – parking in main car park where public toilets are located.			

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Tidworth Local Highway Footway Improvement Group

Highways Officer – Rhiann Surgenor

Local Highway Officer – To be confirmed in due course.