ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The text in bold is additional/amended information to that circulated to Members on Tuesday 24th April 2007

This is information that has been received since the committee report was written. This could include additional comments or representation, new information relating to the site, changes to plans etc.

Agenda Item 8

07/00426/OUT

Cricklade Country Way Project – Interim Report

Applicants

1. The decision to seek Outline, rather than Detailed, planning consent was made due to the lottery timescale precluding assembly of all of the detail needed to proceed with the latter.

2. Work on the Environmental Impact Assessment is underway, and is planned for completion in the autumn. The applicant will use the intervening period to address concerns raised by other consultees, such as English Heritage, the Environment Agency and Wiltshire County Council.

3. Much consultation has been carried out with affected land owners, and it is not intended to respond further to their concerns until the Lottery decision is known in the autumn. If successful and the scheme proceeds, it is planned to look again at alternative options for the canal route and land take in some locations to address concerns raised by land owners.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Item No: 01

07/00289/FUL

Land to rear of Grove Cottages Grove Lane Yatton Keynell Wiltshire

Implementation Team Leader (Development Control, Listed Buildings and Enforcement)

The omission of certain facts from the Committee report has been criticised by third parties and therefore for clarification Members are asked to note the following:

1. The site also lies within the Cotswold Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

2. In the inspector's decision letter dated May 21st 1990 when he refused outline planning permission on the plot, he noted that the plot had already been separated from the original curtilage of the Listed Building, which he felt was inappropriate. He also commented that the character of Grove Lane was of dwellings set in large plots, which was not reflected in the proposal before him.

ITEM NO: 04

07/00690/COU

22 Ashfield Road Chippenham SN15 1QQ

Local Residents

A further six letters of objection have been received. Generally, the issues raised remain as per the first letters of objection received and have been addressed in the main report. One objector has, nevertheless, raised two further concerns to do with potential noise from the proposed osteopathy practice and hours of operation which have not been stipulated.

With regards to the noise concerns, it is unlikely that the proposed osteopathy practice will lead to unreasonable disturbance due to the nature of the activity. In terms of the hours of operation, this could be addressed by condition.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Town Council:

Recommends that the application be refused as it is an inappropriate development.

County Highways

County Highways recommend that this application be refused as the proposed development would be likely to encourage the parking of vehicles on the public highway which would interrupt the free flow of traffic and prejudice the safety of road users at this point.

Implementation Team Leader (Development Control, Listed Buildings and Enforcement)

Recommendation (amended from that on the Committee report)

REFUSAL

The proposed development would be likely to encourage the parking of vehicles on the public highway which would interrupt the free flow of traffic and prejudice the safety of road users at this point.

Local Member

I'm pleased to see that the Ashfield Road application is now recommended for refusal.

It was totally inappropriate at that site and I totally agree with the County Council's reasons.

ITEM NO: 06

07/00115/S73A

52 Northleaze Corsham SN13 0QP

Implementation Team Leader (Development Control, Listed Buildings and Enforcement)

Following discussions with the Legal Department, it is advised that given the scale and permanence of the extension the mobile home would effectively be changed to a permanent dwelling. With this in mind, a letter has been sent to the agent asking whether his client would be agreeable to a change of

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

description to: "Extension and Change of Use of Mobile Home to Permanent Dwelling".

No response has yet been received.

In light of the above advice, a further reason for refusal to be added:

3. The proposal, by reason of its size and permanence, would have the effect of changing the mobile home to a permanent dwelling, which would be out of character with the surrounding area, contrary to policy C3 of the North Wiltshire Local Plan 2011.