

**MARSTON MEYSEY: OBJECTIONS AND COMMENTS ON EXPERIMENTAL
PROHIBITION OF WAITING ORDER**

OBJECTOR	OBJECTIONS
Marston Meysey Parish Meeting	1, 2, 3, 4
Mr. and Mrs. Brand	1, 2, 3, 4
Mr. C. Francis	1, 2, 3, 4
Mr. and Mrs. Weston	1, 2, 3, 4
A resident of Marston Meysey	5, 6, 7, 8, 9
Mr. and Mrs. Seaton	4

NO.	OBJECTIONS	COMMENTS
1.	The residents are penalised at all times but the restrictions are only required for Tattoo week and when the base is fully operational such as during the Iraq war.	The restrictions are not intended to facilitate traffic solely during the Tattoo but to maintain safety, security and free movement along the highway during periods when the base is operating at a high state of alert.
2.	The restrictions are to deter plane spotters and/or protesters. The residents should not be penalised by the policing of these events.	It is considered by the Police Authorities that the restrictions are necessary but they have been omitted from the residential area to reduce the impact on residents to a minimum.
3.	The double yellow lines are "vandalisation of the countryside."	The lines laid were pale and narrow and were not laid in the residential area. They have now faded slightly and lost the "bright newness".
4.	The double yellow lines effectively narrow the carriageway and force opposing vehicles closer together, resulting in a safety problem.	Initially motorists may have used the new yellow lines as "edge lines" and moved more to the centre. However, as motorists become more familiar with the lines and the lines themselves fade, this effect is reducing. There have been no injury accidents reported on the lengths of restricted roads in the last 12 months.
5.	The public will not like it and they should have been consulted.	The introduction of the restriction was led by Gloucestershire Constabulary and County Council, as the vast majority of the restrictions were in their county. The legal notices on site gave an indication of the proposals but, because of the experimental nature, there was no "objection period before installation". Only six letters have been received from residents of the village.
6.	There have been no public meetings.	There was no public meeting but a meeting was held with the Chair of the Parish Meeting following which the proposals were amended.
7.	This amounts to maladministration.	The appropriate processes have been followed for an experimental order.
8.	The Americans, the Tattoo and the Police benefit but do not take responsibility for the proposals.	The proposals were jointly agreed by the Gloucestershire Constabulary and County Council as lead authorities.
9.	The measures will not deter terrorists.	The restrictions will assist the Police in dealing with operational issues.