Political Balance

| Membership | Proportion of Council |
| :--- | :--- |
| 34 Conservative Group | $79.07 \%$ |
| 9 Independent Group | $20.93 \%$ |
| 43 Members in Total |  |


| Overall Seat Entitlement | 92 Seats |
| :--- | :--- |
| Conservative $(79.07 \%)$ | 72.74 rounds up to 73 |
| Independent $(20.93 \%)$ | 19.26 rounds down to 19 |

Seat Allocations by Committee

| Committee <br> (Seats) | Conservative <br> (Actual <br> Seats) | Independent <br> (Actual <br> Seats) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Resources <br> Exec (12) | $9.49(9)$ | $2.51(3)$ |
| Comm Dev <br> Exec (12) | $9.49(9+1) \#$ | $2.51(3-1) \#$ |
| Planning <br> Policies (10) | $7.9(8)$ | $2.1(2)$ |
| Regulatory <br> (15) | $11.86(12)$ | $3.14(3)$ |
| Human <br> Resources (10) | $7.9(8)$ | $2.1(2)$ |
|  <br> Scrutiny (10) | $7.9(8)$ | $2.1(2)$ |
| Licensing <br> (15) | $11.86(12)$ | $3.14(3)$ |
| Corporate <br> Finance (8) | $6.32(6)$ | $1.62(2)$ |
| Total Seats <br> (92) | 73 | 19 |

\# A simple rounding up and down of the seats on each committee does not achieve the required total number of seats due to each group, giving the Conservative Group 1 seat too few and the Independent Group 1 seat too many. The Conservative Group can therefore "claim" an extra seat on a committee to correct the overall numbers, and have indicated that this will be on Community Development Executive Committee.

