

**Question to be Asked Council  
In Accordance with Council Procedure Rule 14**

**General Subject :**

District Ward Boundary Review

**Question submitted by :** Cllr R.L. Tonge

**Date submitted to  
Council:** 23/5/06

**Minute No :**

**Text of Question**

(A) Would the Leader join me in thanking;

1. Councillor Evans for his thorough and evenhanded management of the Boundary Review Sub-Committee and the in depth consultation which resulted in unanimous cross party support for its recommendations.
2. Councillor Moore for her guidance of these recommendations through the Personal, Licensing and Administration Committee that gave unanimous cross party support to the recommendations of the Sub Committee.
3. To John Watling for his patient, calm and professional approach throughout this long and arduous process of consultation.

(B) Would the Leader also join me in condemning the twelfth hour changes that her group introduced at the last Council meeting despite the unanimous cross party agreement that had ruled until then.

**Response by :** Cllr C. O’Gorman

**Date responded:** 23/5/06

**Text of Response :**

Those who have made submissions or participated in our discussions on the Boundary Review have done so to ensure fair representation on the District Council for the towns, villages and communities of North Wiltshire. I thank them for their efforts.

I am particularly delighted to put on record my congratulations to John Watling and the officers and my Councillor colleagues David Evans and Diane Moore who have worked so hard on our submission to the Boundary Commission. I also further acknowledge Councillor Evans in his capacity the Chairman the Boundary Review Working Party. Councillor Evans has been the model of consistency in his pronouncements. Consistency is an important attribute in public life.

The Council chamber is the final opportunity for all Members to have their say. This is democracy. And democracy is just as important as consistency. As a result of this there were many Members had the opportunity to debate their views and indeed did so.