

CPA Indicator
Key Performance Indicator (KPI)
CPA and KPI

On target
Within 5% of target
Off target

PI No.	Description	Units	Team Leader	2003/4 Actual	2004/5 Actual	2005/6 Actual	2005/06 Quartile position	2006/7 Actual					2006/7 Target	Target (2004/05 DC Quartile) 1=Top 4=bottom	Direction in performance from 2005/06 ↑ = improved ↓ = worse - = no info for comparison	Comments
								Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year to date				
<b>Planning BVPIs</b>																
106	Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land.	%	Lachlan Robertson	60	57.4	51.90	4						50.00	4		
109a	Percentage of major Planning Applications determined in 13 weeks.	%	Sally Canter	75.44	73.68	60.66	2	66.67	62.5			64.86	70.00	2	↑	Although the 2nd quarter figures are lower than the 1st quarter, the performance for the month of September was 100%. Planning Officers are being continually monitored on Major application performance to ensure targets are hit as much as possible. S106 Agreements are still the main reason for not hitting target. New procedures to combat this problem will take time to make a difference to the performance stats, but measures are in place to resolve this. Members approval to amend structure of committee recommendations is still awaited.
109b	Percentage of minor Planning Applications determined in 8 weeks.	%	Sally Canter	77.26	78.81	77.02	1	79.17	77.34			78.38	77.00	1	↑	
109c	Percentage of other Planning Applications determined in 8 weeks.	%	Sally Canter	87.31	89.13	88.55	1	90.17	90.42			90.3	88.00	2	↑	
200a	Did the local planning authority submit the Local Development Scheme (LDS) by 28th March 2005 and thereafter maintain a 3-year rolling programme?	Yes/No	Lachlan Robertson	-	-	Yes	-						Yes	-		Annual figure
200b	Has the local planning authority met the milestones which the current Local Development Scheme (LDS) sets out?	Yes/No	Lachlan Robertson	-	-	Yes	-						Yes	-		Annual figure
200c	Did the Local Planning Authority publish an annual monitoring report by 31st December each year?	Yes/No	Lachlan Robertson	-	-	Yes	-						Yes	-		Annual figure

PI No.	Description	Units	Team Leader	2003/4 Actual	2004/5 Actual	2005/6 Actual	2005/06 Quartile position	2006/7 Actual					2006/7 Target	Target (2004/05 DC Quartile) 1=Top 4=bottom	Direction in performance from 2005/06 ↑ = improved ↓ = worse - = no info for comparison	Comments
								Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year to date				
<a href="#">204</a>	The number of planning appeal decisions allowed against the authority's decision to refuse on planning applications, as a percentage of the total number of planning appeals against refusals of planning applications.	%	Sally Canter	-	28.6	36.2	3	50	44.44			45.95	28.0	2	↓	For the 2nd Quarter - out of the 12 appeals allowed, 7 of these were overturned at committee, i.e. Members overturned Officers recommendation of permission. Still not hitting target. Continual monitoring taking place.
205	The local authority's score against a 'quality of planning services ' checklist.	%	Sally Canter	-	88.9	88.9	1						94.4	1		The Pendleton Point which we are expecting to achieve by the end of the year is dependable on ICT resources. The point in question is about having the ability to carry out a search on the Local Plan by postcode search.
<b>Local PIs</b>																
LPI 17	% of valid Building Regulation applications acknowledged within 3 working days	%	Sally Canter	86.45	86.52	94.81	-	87.22	91.97			89.63			↓	
LPI 18	% Building Regulation applications checked and corresponded with agent/owner within 15 working days of registration	%	Sally Canter	61.1	95.36	98.06	-	71.72	64.35			68.46			↓	
LPI 60	% of all planning applications determined within 8 weeks	%	Sally Canter	84.02	85.72	84.36	-	84.93	86.64			85.79			↑	
LPI 61	% of all valid planning applications registered and acknowledged within 3 working days	%	Sally Canter	68.48	70.39	73.11	-	64.92	70.63			67.53			↓	
LPI 62	% of planning decision notifications issued within 3 working days	%	Sally Canter	83.34	95.76	95.93	-	95.91	94.65			95.28			↓	