_	
I	Key
ı	On Target
I	Less than 5% off target
ı	More than 5% off target, or no figures available

PI No.	Description	Units	2005/6 Actual	2006/07 Actual	2006/7 Target	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target		Direction in performance from - = no info for comparison	
	Customer Contact										
LPI 14	% of customers seen by dedicated reception staff from Customer services & Planning within 15 minutes	%	89.32	80.30	91.00	70.00	70.00	70.00	\rightarrow		
LPI 15	% of customers dealt with at the first point of contact by reception staff	%	92.40	92.50	95.00	93.00	93.00	93.00	↑		
LPI 16	% of visitors surveyed who said they were satisfied by the overall quality of service provided	%	97.84	96.90	98.00	97.00	97.00	97.00	+		
LPI 20	% of Calls to the Contact Centre answered within 20 seconds	%		65.14	70.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	-		
LPI 21	% of Calls to the Contact Centre dealt with at the first point of contact	%		57.22		57.00	57.00	5.00	,		
LPI 22	Customer Satisfaction with the quality of the telephone service	%		98.70		97.00	97.00	97.00	-		
	Car Parking										
LPI 30	No. of Penalty Notices Issued	number		7092.00	-	-	-	-	-		
LPI 31	No. of Penalty Notices which were appealed against	%		25.42	-	-	-	-	-		

Appendix 2 - Local Performance Indicators 2006/07

Pl No.	Description	Units	2005/6 Actual	2006/07 Actual	2006/7 Target	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target		tion in performance from
I LPI 3Z	No. Penalty Notices issued that were cancelled	%		10.82	-	-	-	-	,	

PI No.	Description	Units	2005/6 Actual	2006/07 Actual	2006/7 Target	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target		Direction in performance from - = no info for comparison	
	Recovery										
LPI 229	% of Council taxpayers paying by Direct Debit	%	61.60	62.90	63.00	65.00	65.00	65.00	↑		
LPI 230	% of Non-domestic ratepayers paying by Direct Debit	%	58.01	59.90	61.00	60.00	60.00	60.00	↑		
LPI 231	% of Council Tax arrears collected	%	35.50	41.90	40.00	42.00	45.00	45.00	↑		
LPI 232	% of NNDR arrears collected	%	61.30	51.10	50.00	52.00	55.00	55.00	+		
LPI 233	% of Sundry debtors accounts collected (value)	%	96.90	98.40	95.00	98.50	98.70	99.00	1		
LPI 234	% of Council tax mail dealt with in 14 days	%	35.00	39.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	†		
LPI 235	% NNDR mail dealt with in 14 days	%	36.00	30.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	↓		
LPI 237	Sundry debtor accounts collected in year - number	%	97.11	95.60	95.00	95.00	98.50	99.00	+		
	Environmental Health										
LPI 90	% of high risk A, B & C food premises inspected	%	100	100	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	-		
LPI 102	% of response to emergency complaints within 24 hours	%	100	100	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	1		
	Homelessness & Housing										
LPI 129	% of homelessness applications on which NWDC makes a decision and issues written notification to the applicant within 33 working days	%	95.15	98.75	98.00	98.00	98.00	98.00	†		

PI No.	Description	Units	2005/6 Actual	2006/07 Actual	2006/7 Target	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target		ion in performance from
LPI 130	Number of affordable homes completed	number	157	137	150	150	150	150	\	
	ICT									
LPI 153	% of users expressing satisfaction with ICT service	%	85.41	77.00					↓	
LPI 154	% Helpdesk calls resolved within agreed timescales	%	88.21	88.12					↓	
	Planning									
LPI 17	% of valid Building Regulation applications acknowledged within 3 working days	%	94.81	90.12	92.00	94.00	96.00	98.00	↓	
LPI 18	% Building Regulation applications checked and corresponded with agent/owner within 15 working days of registration	%	98.06	76.33	98.00	98.00	98.00	98.00	+	
LPI 60	% of all planning applications determined within 8 weeks	%	84.36	84.11					-	
LPI 61	% of all valid planning	%	73.11	68.67	80.00	85.00	87.50	90.00	↓	

Appendix 2 - Local Performance Indicators 2006/07

PI No.	Description	Units	2005/6 Actual	2006/07 Actual	2006/7 Target	2007/08 Target	2008/09 Target	2009/10 Target		ion in performance from
LPI 62	% of planning decision notifications issued within 3 working days	%	95.93	93.65	96.00	97.00	98.00	99.00	↓	

Direction in performance from 2005/06 ?⊨ improved ?← worse - = no info for comparison

Drop in performance in due to Customer Services Officers not being at full establishment. From 2007, this figure will be measured against the new national target time of 10 minutes.

There has been a significant increase in the number of visitors to the Council.

Targets reset to 93%, which is remains a high level of performance

High levels of satisfaction achieved.

1st year for the collection of this PI. Lower performance in April and May due to Council Tax demands and the date of 1st instalment being brought forward.
Targets reflect new DCLG standard that *)% of call should be answered in 20 secs.

1st year for the collection of this PI and targets will be set in relation to this baseline and the introduction of the Council's corporate contact centre.

1st year for the collection of this PI and targets have been set in line with the front of house satisfaction

No targets have been set against the number of penalty issued, as this could be construed as an incentive to aim towards.

Again, targets have not been set. As a large or small proportion of appeals does not necessarily show good performance.

Direction in performance from 2005/06 ?**p** improved ? = worse

- = no info for comparison

Best practice and Government guidance encourages the use of discretion by councils in the pursuit of penalty notice payments, which leads o a higher cancellation rate.

Direction in performance from 2005/06 ?n improved ? = worse - = no info for comparison

Targets based on past on performance and likely improvement. The effect of the introduction of only 1 DD claim date and a 24 automated payment line will be monitored.

More businesses are using BACS to pay invoices as opposed to direct debit.

Resource issues within Revs and Bens continue to make it difficult to keep up to date with all correspondence received. The backlog has improved and additional staff are now in place, meaning improvements can be expected

Hard work by the team and movement of resources helped to achieve target. Targets set as FSA requirement and to be a high performing Council Hard work of team. Prioritisation of complaint work to deal with those that are an emergency or priority.

The team consistently performs at 95% + ensuring almost all homelessness cases are determined within the statutory 33 working day limit. In 2006/07 we achieved 100% in 9 of the 12 months.

Direction in performance from 2005/06 ?n improved ? = worse - = no info for comparison

> The target is to achieve 450 completions over 3 years. The reason for a rolling target is that development timetables can mean that completions slip a month or so quite easily and it is difficult to accurately predict or control timescales down to a month. The majority of new affordable housing development is through planning gain where development timetables are in the hands of the private developers and subject to fluctuations in

The channels of checking applications prior to acknowledgement can be quite lengthy. However, with the implementation of the new planning back office system, which will help to streamline our processes, we expect performance to improve next year.

next year.
Well below target and previous year's total, mainly due to loss in resource in earlier part of period. However performance from Jan-Mar 07 were back in line with targets and is expected to continue into next year.

The channels of checking applications prior to acknowledgement can be quite lengthy. However, with the implementation of the new planning back office system, which will help to streamline our processes and will subtract weekends and Bank Holidays from the statistics to reflect working days (unlike the existing system), we expect performance to improve next year

Direction in performance from
2005/06
? p improved
? = worse
- = no info for comparison