

---

## Minutes

---

4<sup>th</sup> December 2003 4.30pm  
Antrobus House, Salisbury Road,  
Amesbury

**These minutes should be kept for use by District Councillors at the next Council meeting**

**Present:**

**Chairman:** Councillor M A Hewitt

**Vice Chairman:** Councillor C G Mills

**Councillors:** M Baker, R Bojdys, J Brady, D W Brown, Mrs J M Greville, J C Noeken, A G Peach, J Spencer, I C West, F Westmoreland, T Woodbridge, K C Wren

**Parish and Other representatives:**

Mr G Burt (Bulford), Mr Fisher (Idmiston), Mr D Healing (Durrington) and Mr K Ilsley (Tenant's Panel)

**Apologies:**

None

### MINUTES NOT REQUIRING COUNCIL APPROVAL

#### 100 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

None.

#### 101 COUNCILLOR STATEMENT/QUESTION TIME

Councillors - none

Mr Ilsley (member of the Tenant's Panel) expressed his thanks for the experiences he had gained whilst attending the Northern Area Committee. He also wished to pass on his thanks from the youth club for the sandwiches they receive from the Northern Area Committee. He passed around a card of thanks signed by 120 young people from the club.

Councillor Fisher made the following statement:

On behalf of Idmiston Parish Council, I wish to speak briefly on the subject of Porton Down. Members will be aware that the potential closure of the MOD road has been on the agenda of the 2 previous meetings but not discussed. The week before last a statement concerning dstl transferring 850 jobs to Porton Down by end of 2007 was made in Parliament and this has appeared in today's Journal. Porton Down is not just dstl, it is also home to the Health Protection Agency CAMR division. At the present time some 1000 staff & contractors are employed at dstl, with a further 550 staff & contractors at HPA/CAMR. We already know of plans for a science park which would add a

further 500 - 1000 jobs. Now we have a further 850 staff to be transferred in a short timescale and with massive building works required as we believe there to be no spare capacity on site. In addition to this HPA are definitely transferring in their Emergency Response Division of some 50 staff and it possible that they may have to build replacement laboratories to cope with latest legislation at their site and this may bring in a further 300 - 600 staff from other locations.

These developments will have a significant impact upon traffic, transport, roads, health, education, housing and resources - dstl draws water for itself and HPA/CAMR from underground and such expansion may impact upon water tables, River Bourne, local supplies, etc. This will not only affect the parish of Idmiston, not only just the Northern Area, not even Salisbury District but adjoining authorities also.

Idmiston Parish Council wishes to be involved in the processes related to these developments and we ask that Officers and Members take all these above issues and developments into account.

Councillor Wren advised that it was his intention as a member of the Northern Area Committee and Leader of the Council to ensure that all parties, including Wiltshire County Council are completely involved in this exercise. He stated that there was much work to be done between now and 2007 which would be to the benefit of local people. Porton Down represented only one part of the whole jigsaw puzzle.

Councillor Noeken asked that a copy of Mr Fisher's statement be made available to the Head of Development Services.

The Chairman stated that many issues would come out of this discussion and hoped that they would be solved with the cooperation of the relevant agencies.

## **102 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING**

**RESOLVED** - That the minutes of the previous meeting, held on 6<sup>th</sup> November 2003 (previously circulated), be **approved** as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

## **103 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

- Councillor Spencer declared a personal and prejudicial interest in planning application S/2003/1796 of agenda item 9, his father being an MOD tenant, and withdrew from the room during consideration thereof.
- Councillor Westmoreland declared a personal interest in planning application S/2003/1796 agenda item 9, as he is employed by the MOD at a different site. The Councillor was not required to withdraw from the meeting.

Note: Members requested that this issue of interests arising from MOD pensions and tenancy agreements be referred to the Standards Committee and the legal department for consideration and clarification.

- Councillors Noeken and West both stated that they received pensions from the MOD but did not feel this warranted an interest in planning application S/2003/1796, Agenda item 9 and remained in the meeting to speak and vote.

#### **104 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

None

#### **105 AMESBURY VISION GROUP**

The Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Forward Planning Officer together with the late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

During discussion the following points were raised:

- Members congratulated the excellent work undertaken by the Amesbury Vision Group.
- Consultation is something that is widely encouraged. Some members felt that the establishment of another focus group would duplicate the work of the Community Plan and therefore would undermine community leadership and community plans.
- Members felt that there had been a lack of communication between focus groups, including the Amesbury Vision Group, and the Northern Area Committee. Good work has been, and is being done in the community, both by the Vision Group and by other groups such as the Community Safety Group, but there is no record of these works coming back to committee.

##### **RESOLVED:-**

1. That the Northern Area Committee
  - a thank all those organizations and individuals who have contributed to the Amesbury Vision Group and the production of the Amesbury Vision Statement.
  - b accept the proposal to wind up the Amesbury Vision Group
2. That the Amesbury Vision Group meet for one final time, shortly after Northern Area Committee to officially wind up.
3. A new partnership should, if possible/appropriate, be formed at the appropriate time, within the Local Development Framework and/or Community Plan process.

#### **106 COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP – THE WAY FORWARD**

The Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Partnership Team Manager together with the draft comments timetable circulated after the agenda was dispatched. A presentation was given by Councillor West aided by Lindsey Brown. The Local Government Act 2000 states that local authorities have a duty to prepare community strategies that will make a real difference at the community level. The report was intended to demonstrate that Salisbury District Council has a commitment to move this strategy forward as part of its ambition to become a nationally recognised high performing council.

The background to the report is that members were invited to a training day in July 2003. A focus group in October stated that members should act as a conduit to the Parish Councils

and that area committees also have a part to play. Community Planners should add resources to the councillors and the community but not dominate the process.

During the discussion the following points were raised:

- There are concerns about the ability to convince the public that these strategies are actually going to deliver results. There have been similar plans over the past three years and the information has been gathered and since then has disappeared. There is a feeling that the process seems to be disconnected. There needs to be a statement on what has happened to all the information. Without this some Councillors will find it difficult to endorse this plan.
- There were helpful comments in the first Community Plan. For example it identified a need for 25% of affordable housing. The problem however, is that the plans have been used by the planners and worked hard on by the officers but all behind the scenes. It needs to report back and be more public.
- There are concerns about how to get a representative crowd into a village hall on something so nebulous. There is the problem of trying to sustain enthusiasm when things are not being achieved.
- To make this scheme pertinent to Amesbury there needs to be community strategies not community areas. The plans at present are trying to enforce a system from above that can only be started from the bottom and as it stands it does not make sense to those on the ground. The community areas are too large to be of any use but too small to be a community strategy.
- The plan must not be made too strategic but must be kept local.
- Many of the items in the report require finance at a time when the council is trying to keep council tax as low as possible.
- The draft timetable is flawed because it is trying to achieve two aims. One is a community strategy and the other is community involvement. There is a need to separate the two. A tool box for village design is needed; as is a statement of community involvement.
- A problem with the process is that the questions are inherently political. When the public do have an input their views are either totally ignored or a spin is put on them.

The following questions were asked

1. The Countryside Agency website talks about a strategy for Salisbury District not just our community. So how does the community area fit in?
2. What is a community plan?
3. How do community areas and plans fit into the planning process?

Lindsey Brown the Partnership Team Manager answered the Councillors' questions by stating that there has been discussion with planning to start looking into how these things might link together. There is no formal decision yet on a strategy but they agree that it is a very important area that will have to be given careful consideration. Consultation is not necessarily an event but is being looked at more broadly to encompass every discussion between elected members and the public. The timetable can be changed later on to better fit what is happening but at least it provides a framework to start with. Parish Councils are worried as to how they

can achieve this strategy but they can actually feed back the information on things they are already doing.

Lindsey stated that she appreciated that Amesbury's needs will be different from other areas but there will also be shared priorities. Much has been learnt in the last three years and the Partnership Team realise that it must be better at communicating back and this time there is a determination to produce something that is meaningful to the community. Lessons have been learned and this will be used to avoid the same problems happening again and not to duplicate work already done. The aim is to influence the overall Area Community Plan and feed in this existing work. Lindsey acknowledged that it is confusing and that there are many options but by coming to these Area Committees the communication channels have been opened up and some of the questions can be answered.

Councillor West said that the intention was too keep this moving and to report back to the Area Committees regularly.

Lindsey Brown stated that questionnaires had gone out to 49,000 households throughout the district and had a response rate of 37.3%. This is a good return rate and shows that people do want to communicate. Her team will report back on the results in due course.

Councillor Noeken thanked Lindsey for her comments and extended his full support to the project. Councillor Noeken added that the Northern Area Committee should make the most of the opportunity to take full ownership of Community Planning. He also emphasised that officers were having to do this on a shoestring.

The Chief Executive explained that the Community Plan undertaken in 1999 was without national guidance. Since then many lessons have been learned and these will be drawn upon in the future. Community Planning as a process, at local level, has the great benefit of allowing those areas to tap into a series of external funds. If this process is delayed now there is a danger of Salisbury falling behind other areas in terms of drawing in external funding. A process needs to be in place to allow Salisbury to tap into these funds over the next three years. It is vital that area committees get to a place where views can feed through to local plans.

**RESOLVED** – That the Area Committee endorse the action plan and take an active part in its execution including the following;

1. That Community Planning becomes a standing agenda item at Area Committee meetings
2. That Community Planners be invited to Area Committee meetings when appropriate
3. Councillor West and Councillor Westmoreland be established as the Community Planning champions for the Northern Area Committee, to lead work in the area
4. That Community Champions meet with the interested local people to develop initiatives in the area supported by the relevant Community Planner

**107 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2073 - FULL APPLICATION - TWO STOREY EXTENSION TO SIDE OF HOUSE AND FIRST FLOOR EXTENSION TO REAR OF HOUSE: 57 AVONDOWN ROAD DURRINGTON SALISBURY SP4 8ET – FOR MR & MRS J WHEELER**

Mr Letch, of number 59 Avondown Road, spoke in objection to the above application. Parish Councillor Mr Healing, speaking on behalf of Durrington Parish Council, informed the

Committee that the Parish Council had no objection to the application. Councillor Baker speaking on behalf of Wiltshire County Council stated that there were no highways objection.

Following the receipt of the above statements, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services, in addition to the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

**RESOLVED –**

- (1) That the above application be **refused** for the following reason: -

The proposed two storey extension by reason of its scale and position is considered to amount to an overdevelopment of the site, be of detriment to the overall appearance of the street scene and result in an unacceptable overbearing impact and loss of light to the neighbouring property, contrary to policies G2 (vi), D3 (ii) and H16 (iv) of the Adopted SDLP.

**108 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2109 - FULL APPLICATION -RENEWAL OF ROOF STRUCTURE LOFT CONVERSION AND EXTENSION: 45 LADYSMITH GOMELDON SALISBURY SP4 6LE – FOR MR P D BRAVERY & MRS S BRAVERY.**

Mr Bravery, the applicant, spoke in support of the above application. Parish Councillor Mr Fisher, speaking on behalf of Idmiston Parish Council, informed the Committee that the Parish Council had no objection to the amended application subject to the removal of permitted development rights.

Following the receipt of the above statements, the Committee considered the previously circulated revised report of the Head of Development Services, circulated under separate cover of the letter dated 27<sup>th</sup> November 2003, in addition to the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

**RESOLVED –**

That the officer's recommendation be overturned for the following reasons:-

1. There are other properties of similar scale and appearance in East Gomeldon.
2. The scale of development is appropriate to the plot size.

And the above application be **approved** subject to the following conditions:-

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission.
2. No development shall take place until samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.
3. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any Order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification), no windows/dormer windows [other than those expressly authorized by this permission] shall be constructed.

4. This development shall be in accordance with the amended drawing deposited with the Local Planning Authority on 6<sup>th</sup> November 2003, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

**109 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2264- FULL APPLICATION -DOUBLE GARAGE WITH GARDEN STORE OVER: 69 EAST GOMELDON ROAD GOMELDON SALISBURY SP4 6LT – FOR MR & MRS D CONTI**

Parish Councillor Mr Fisher, speaking on behalf of Idmiston Parish Council, informed the Committee that the Parish Council have no objection to the application.

Following the receipt of the above statement, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services, in addition to the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

**RESOLVED –**

That the above application be **refused** for the following reason: -

1. The proposed garage with store above by reason of its scale, height and design is considered to be detrimental to the appearance of the area and street scene contrary to policies D3, C6 (ii) and H16 (iv) of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan.

**110 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/1796 - O/L APPLICATION -REDEVELOPMENT OF MILITARY BARRACKS IN CONNECTION WITH PROJECT ALLENBY/CONNAUGHT: NEW DOMESTIC ACCOMMODATION TO PROVIDE EACH SOLDIER WITH 'Z' STANDARD ACCOMMODATION (SINGLE EN-SUITE ROOMS) SINGLE STOREY SNCOS 'PAIRED' MESS ON THE SOUTHERN EDGE OF THE PARADE GROUND & A NEW PAIRED SNCOS' MESS IN WARD BARRACKS NEW EXTENSIONS & ANNEXES ON REMAINING MESSES REFURBISHMENT OF EXISTING TECHNICAL BUILDINGS TOGETHER WITH NEW BUILD TO REPLACE GARAGE STORES & OFFICES IN WARD BARRACKS REPLACEMENT OF 'SANDHURST BLOCK' IN KIWI BARRACKS WITH NEW STORE GARAGE WORKSHOP & OFFICE FACILITIES REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING SPAR RETAIL STORE PROVISION OF NEW COMMUNITY FACILITIES TO THE NORTH OF THE SITE TO INCLUDE NEW SPORTS CENTRE & SWIMMING POOL NEW COMMUNITY CENTRE MEDICAL CENTRE & GARRISON THEATRE CREATION OF REVISED AREAS OF HARDSTANDING TO MEET MILITARY PARKING/STORAGE REQUIREMENTS: BULFORD MILITARY BARRACKS BULFORD SALISBURY SP4 9JH – FOR ASPIRE DEFENCE LIMITED.**

Councillor Spencer left the room during the consideration of this planning application.

Colonel Marsh, of the Ministry of Defense spoke in support of the application.

Following receipt of this statement and further to the site visit held that afternoon, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services in addition to the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

**RESOLVED -**

- (1) That the above application be **approved** subject to the following conditions:
  1. Approval of the details of the siting, design and external appearance of the buildings and the landscaping of the site (hereinafter called 'the

reserved matters') shall be obtained from the Local Planning Authority in writing before any development is commenced.

2. Plans and particulars of the reserved matters referred to in condition above, relating to the siting, design and external appearance of any buildings to be erected and the landscaping of the site, shall be submitted in writing to the Local Planning Authority and shall be carried out as approved.
3. Application for approval of the reserved matters shall be made to the Local Planning Authority before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.
4. The development hereby permitted shall be begun either before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission, or before the expiration of two years from the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters to be approved, whichever is the later.
5. The details of the development which are required pursuant to the above condition shall generally accord with the location of uses, scale of development and general layout of buildings which Bul/OPA/Core 03 B, which have been submitted as a part of the plans document in support of the outline application.
6. Notwithstanding the requirement at Condition 5 above for development proposals to generally accord with the location, uses, principles and scale of development as illustrated upon the Masterplan reference Bul/OPA/Core 03B, prior to the submission of the first reserved matter application relating to Picton Barracks, the applicant shall submit and have approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority further revised detailed layout proposals for that part of the site within Picton Barracks which establishes the archaeological setting for barrow WI10269, and the relevant reserved matters applications shall subsequently accord with the approved masterplan ref Bul/OPA/Core 03B, as amended by the Picton Barracks revision.
7. The finished floor levels of the proposed buildings shall be in accordance with details to be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority before development is commenced.
8. No development shall take place until samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.
9. No development, or demolition required to implement the proposals approved under this notice of decision shall take place until fencing has been erected in accordance with a specification to include timing, to be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority around the two scheduled round barrows referenced as WI10269, and no works shall take place within the area within that



fencing without the consent in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

10. No development or demolition works required to implement the proposals approved under this notice of decision shall take place until the applicant, or their agent, or their successors in title, has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological works in accordance with a written scheme of investigation which has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority.

11. No development, including the demolition of any buildings, structures or surfaces required to implement the scheme approved by this notice of decision shall be commenced until the applicant has:

(i) Submitted to and had approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority a detailed contaminated land investigation of the site to include:

- A full desk top survey of relevant historic data,
- A conceptual model of the site identifying all potential and actual contaminants, receptors and pathways (pollution linkages),
- A risk assessment of the actual and potential pollution linkages identified, and
- A remediation programme for contaminants identified. The remediation programme shall incorporate a validation protocol for the remediation work implemented, confirming whether the site is suitable for use.
- The phasing for undertaking survey, modelling and risk assessment together with the implementation of the required remediation works

And,

(ii) Prior to the first occupation of new buildings affected by the survey, modelling, risk assessment and remediation works identified in (i) above shall submit to the Local Planning Authority a validation report confirming that the necessary remediation works have been undertaken entirely in accordance with the approved scheme.

12. Noise levels arising from the technical buildings as generally identified on masterplan reference Bul /OPA/Core 03 B or any subsequent revision to the approved position of the technical buildings as may be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority shall not exceed 5dB above the background between the hours of 2300 hours – 0700 hours Monday to Sunday, as measured at the boundary of the site. The measurements and assessment shall be made in accordance with BS4141 using the version current to the time that monitoring and assessment takes place.

13. Prior to the first demolition or construction works required by each reserved matter scheme, a phasing plan for the demolition and

construction works, to indicate the location of all huts, compounds, materials stores, methods of protection of stored materials, bunds and other relevant methods of pollution control shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and the development shall accord with the approved scheme.

14. No demolition or construction works required to undertake the development hereby approved (other than the internal fitting out of buildings) shall take place outside the hours of 0730 – 2100 Monday to Friday and 0700 – 1300 on Saturday and at no time on Sundays or Bank Holidays without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority upon the submission of a scheme identifying the proposed measures necessary to manage and restrict noise levels and dust at the boundary of the Garrison site. Such scheme to include timing for proposed works and duration of the proposed operation.
15. No development approved by this notice of decision shall be commenced until a scheme for the provision and implementation of surface water run-off limitation measures has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall be implemented in accordance with the approved programme and details.
16. No development approved by this notice of decision shall be commenced until the applicant has submitted to, and had approved in writing a detailed scheme for the re-use or disposal of all waste materials arising from the demolition and construction works required to implement the approved scheme, and the development shall subsequently accord with the approved scheme.
17. No development approved by this notice of decision shall be commenced until an Energy and Water Management System plan has been submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
18. No development approved by this notice of decision shall be commenced until a Scheme for the future management, minimisation, re-use and recycling of waste materials generated or deposited within the application site has been submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and the management of waste disposal shall thereafter accord with the approved scheme unless amended management and disposal proposals are subsequently agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
19. The MOD tank roads shall not be used for construction vehicles until entry and exit details on to the public highway have been agreed in writing and implemented to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.
20. No buildings approved as a part of this development shall occupied until an upgrade of the two bus stops on the C11 that serve the entrance to Bulford Barracks is agreed in writing and implemented to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority. The upgrade will

include provision and installation of bus shelters, raised kerbs, and associated engineering work and RTPi digital displays.

21. The development shall not be occupied until the provision of a pedestrian crossing facility on the C11 Marlborough Road, in the vicinity of Horne Road / Hubert Road has been agreed in writing and implemented to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.
22. Before any building hereby approved is first occupied, a Green Travel Plan, building upon the principles established within the Travel Plan reference Bul/OPA/Document 7 which accompanied the application, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and the site management shall subsequently accord with the approved green travel plan.
23. Prior to the first occupation of any new building approved under the requirements of conditions 1 - 4 above, a statement setting out how that building has achieved and / or will achieve the BREEAM Excellent Rating, validated by BRE (or its successor) or by an independent BRE approved assessor shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority.
24. No development approved by this notice of decision shall be commenced until a Habitat Management Plan has been submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning authority. The Habitat Management Plan shall include proposals to review and reassess the Management Plans' original objectives and implementation and to amend these objectives and method of implementation where necessary throughout the life of the Project Allenby Public Private Partnership. The management of the Garrison estate within the applicant's control shall thereafter accord with the approved Habitat Management Plan.
25. Before development commences, a scheme to prevent the spillage or leakage of stored liquids including oil and fuel from technical areas shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and development shall thereafter accord with the approved scheme.
26. Prior to the demolition of the buildings identified for removal or refurbishment within the approved Core Plans, protected species surveys shall be undertaken and where necessary, mitigation measures proposed and the resultant surveys and mitigation measures to include timing of implementation shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and the works shall subsequently be carried out in accordance with the approved scheme.
27. The detailed landscaping plans to be submitted shall include a 1/200 scale plan showing the position of any trees proposed to be retained and of all pipes, drains, sewers, and public services, including gas, electricity, telephone and water. Once approved there shall be no departure from these positions without the prior approval of the Local Planning Authority. Notwithstanding the provisions of the

Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order, 1995 (or of any Order revoking and re-enacting or amending that Order) no such runs or services shall be dug or laid into the ground subsequently without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority.

28. No development shall take place until full details of both hard and soft landscape works have been submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These works shall be commenced in accordance with the Landscape Management Plan and Landscape Strategy reference Bul/OPA/Core 10A within one year of the occupation of the first new building approved as a result of this notice of decision and its subsequent reserved matters submissions. The scheme shall be carried out in accordance with a scheme of phasing to be agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority before development commences. Any trees or plants which within a period of five years die, are removed, or become damaged or diseased, shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of a similar size and species, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
29. No development shall take place until there has been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority indications of all retained trees and details of their protection during the course of construction. The method of protection and locations of protective fencing shall be agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority and the fencing shall remain in place until the concurrent phase of construction and landscaping has been carried out.
30. No development shall take place until details of earthworks have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include the proposed grading and mounding of land area including the levels and contours formed, showing the relationship of proposed mounding to existing vegetation and surrounding landform. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.
31. A long-term management plan for the woodlands, individual trees and new planting within the site shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of any development. The management plan shall be carried out as approved.
32. No development shall take place until there has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority protection measures for areas of retained grassland habitat identified as being of ecological importance during the construction phase.
33. Detailed management plans for all grassland areas shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of development. The management plan shall be carried out as approved.

34. Each reserved matters submission will be accompanied by a statement setting out how that proposal will deliver a public art strategy to include method of procurement, design details, phasing and ongoing maintenance to be approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of development to which that reserved matters submission relates, and the development shall subsequently accord with the approved strategy.
  35. No development shall commence on site until a detailed investigation into accident instances relating to junction 20 and a resultant scheme to implement road safety improvements has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and implemented in accordance with the approved scheme.
- (2) That the applicant be informed that this permission has been taken in accordance with the following policy/policies of the adopted Salisbury District Local Plan G1, G2, G5, G8, D1, D8, CN19, CN21, C12, C14, C19, C20, S11, TR2, R2, R16, R21, PS7, PS8, PS12.
  - (3) The applicant is advised that the future licensable water resource levels within the Hampshire Avon are under review by the Environment Agency as a part of its review of abstraction consents.
  - (4) That the applicant be advised to enter into further discussions with both Bulford Parish Council and Wiltshire County Council relating to the ongoing impacts of the various Project Allenby developments upon roads and pathways within the Bulford Parish.

**111 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2072 - FULL APPLICATION – CONSERVATORY: CROSSWINDS RIVERMEAD IDMISTON SALISBURY SP4 0AR – FOR MR & MRS J GILLON**

The Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services.

**RESOLVED –**

- (1) That the above application be **approved** subject to the following conditions:
  1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission.
  2. The materials and finishes of the plinth of the proposed development hereby permitted shall match in colour and texture that of the existing building
- (2) That the applicant be informed that this permission has been taken in accordance with the following policy/policies of the adopted Salisbury District Local Plan G2, D3, C6.

**112 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2180 - FULL APPLICATION -TWO STOREY  
EXTENSION TO SIDE PORCH: 110 FARGO ROAD LARKHILL SALISBURY  
SP4 8LL – FOR MR R B STAPELY**

Parish Councillor Healing, speaking on behalf of Durrington Parish Council, informed the Committee that the Parish Council have an objection to the proposal primarily due to the loss of the side access.

Following receipt of this statement, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services.

**RESOLVED –**

(1) That the above application be **approved** subject to the following conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission.
2. The materials and finishes of the external walls and roof of the proposed development hereby permitted shall match in colour and texture those of the existing building[s].
3. There shall be no windows on the east elevations of the extension hereby permitted.

(2) That the applicant be informed that this permission has been taken in accordance with the following policy/policies of the adopted Salisbury District Local Plan: G2, D3, C24, H31

The meeting concluded at 8pm

Members of the public present : 6