

5<sup>th</sup> February 2004 4.30pm  
Antrobus House  
Amesbury

## Minutes

**These minutes should be kept for use by District Councillors at the next Council meeting**

**Present:**

**Chairman:** Councillor M A Hewitt

**Vice Chairman:** Councillor C G Mills

**Councillors:** D W Brown, Mrs J M Greville, J C Noeken, A Peach J Spencer, I C West, F Westmoreland, T Woodbridge, K C Wren

**Parish and Other representatives:**

Mrs S Borrett (Allington), Mr G Burt (Bulford), Mr Fisher (Idmiston), Mr I Fisher (Berwick St John Parish Meeting), Mr D Reed (Amesbury Town Council), Mr Symes (Newton Tony)

**Apologies:**

Councillors M Baker and J Brady

### MINUTES NOT REQUIRING COUNCIL APPROVAL

#### 125 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Mr R Salt spoke on behalf of Langford Sports Association's Tennis Club. He stated that with the current national problem of obesity any sport like tennis, which is readily available for all age groups, should be encouraged. Therefore it is very important that the SWAG scheme help the club by granting them the award they have requested. The club is not aiming to produce professional players but to create a place where a person of any age and ability can come to play in a well-maintained, safe environment. This is particularly important to young people. As the club is the only one in a large area it needs to get support so that it can continue to provide the service that it currently offers.

#### 126 COUNCILLOR STATEMENT/QUESTION TIME

Councillor West thanked Councillor Hewitt for visiting the Durrington Swimming Pool and Fitness Centre for the first time earlier in the day. He stated that it is important that people visit to see the facilities that are on offer.

#### 127 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

**RESOLVED** - That the minutes of the previous meeting, held on 8<sup>th</sup> January 2004 (previously circulated), be **approved** as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

## **128 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

None

## **129 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The Chairman announced that in order to ensure a smooth debate on the Parker Plan alternative to the A303 proposals for Stonehenge, he would only allow people to speak on the item who made themselves known to him at this point. He also announced that he was moving the item to number 8 on the agenda.

## **130 PRESENTATION ON TRADING STANDARDS**

Mr S Clover, Trading Standards Manager from Wiltshire County Council, gave a verbal presentation to the committee. The main points were:

- Trading Standards is a local service with two area offices and a headquarters which provides advice for businesses and consumers.
- It covers such areas as animal health, food composition and labelling, product safety, consumer fraud, rogue traders and weights and measures.
- Advice and assistance are offered to the public in relation to consumer complaints, consumer education, business advice and local business partnerships.
- Last year the department handled 8500 consumer complaints and enquiries, 3500 inspections, 80 warnings/prosecutions and 12 campaigns/surveys. However, Mr Clover stated that Wiltshire is not a prosecuting authority, prosecution is very much a last resort.
- There are many developments which will alter the future of service provision such as national performance frameworks, consumer support networks and trader assurance schemes. These will all help to prevent the need for prosecutions and stop rogue traders before they can supply widely to consumers.

During a discussion the following points were raised:

- Trading Standards officers are involved with farm animal health and welfare in many respects. One of their main tasks is to be present at every cattle market in the District to monitor what is sold and how it is sold. They also have a very important part to play in disease control at markets.
- Disease control has become increasingly tightly regulated by central government since the outbreak of Foot and Mouth. Farm animals now need licenses and Trading Standards administer these.
- The department is not involved with the welfare of pets as that is handled by the RSPCA but it has become increasingly involved in farm animal welfare and has made several prosecutions over the last few years when complaints have been made.
- It was noted that there is an area of confusion over whom the public should contact in certain circumstances when animals are involved. Mr Clover stated that the public should always feel free to contact Trading Standards officers because even if they were unable to resolve the issue they could locate the people who could.

## 131 UPDATE ON A303 STONEHENGE PLANS/CONSIDERATION OF THE PARKER PLAN

The Committee considered the previously circulated report of Graham Wright, the Transportation Team Manager at Salisbury District Council. Graham Wright noted that the report was presented to the committee so that the 'Parker Plan' goes through the same process of consultation as the published scheme.

Ms Sue Borrett, the Chairman of Allington Parish Council, spoke on behalf of residents of Allington in objecting to the Parker Plan. She also brought a petition that had been signed by 1000 residents to the attention of the Committee.

Durrington Parish Council noted that parts of the plan seemed advantageous, such as diverting parts of the A36. However, the impact of the plan on the Woodford Valley was a very worrying aspect. The diversion of traffic through many of the northern villages which, it is felt, would inevitably result from this plan is totally unacceptable.

Mr Fisher on behalf of Berwick St James Parish Meeting noted a unanimous objection to the plan as did Mr Reed on behalf of Amesbury Town Council.

During a discussion among members the following points were raised:

- It was noted that the report is unbiased and objective and that Colonel Parker himself had gone to great lengths to ensure that a fair assessment of the route was undertaken. However, the end report is not a good result for supporters of the plan.
- There was an objection to the statement that the plan is completely unbiased because of the language used in Paragraph 4.5 of the report. Graham Wright noted that the process of judging the Parker Plan was the same as that used for the published scheme. The published scheme costings are estimated at £196 million and the Parker Plan is estimated to cost £195 million and so there is no significant saving in the Plan. This cost also does not include the newly proposed Shrewton Bypass, possible tunnelling under Boscombe airfield and traffic calming additions to the Parker Plan. This comparison of the costs explains the wording in paragraph 4.5 of the report.
- The Committee asked for clarification that the formulas used to arrive at the costings for the two schemes were consistent. Graham Wright confirmed that the formula applied to the Parker Plan was taken from a national framework that is applied to all road schemes.
- Great concerns were expressed about the impact of traffic increases in the villages in the northern area of the District.
- The likely impact on the trade of Amesbury town centre that would result from diverting traffic away from the village is considered to be a very worrying aspect of the plan.
- It is felt that there are great environmental concerns in laying 25km of new road across currently unspoiled countryside. This is compounded by the newly proposed Shrewton Bypass which would consist of at least 5km of extra road.
- Many councillors believe that any attempt to run a road through the Woodford Valley would create a similar backlash and the same injunctions as occurred only a few years back when a previous attempt was made.
- A suggestion was made that the Environment and Transport Overview and Scrutiny Panel might like to consider the proposal. It was commented that this is a decision for the Chairman of the overview and scrutiny panel who is perfectly entitled to do so if he wishes.

- It was noted that the Highways Agency Published Scheme would keep traffic in the same place as at present, it would just be moving faster. By contrast the Parker Plan would send traffic on a 9km diversion through villages.
- Some Councillors feel that if the land around Stonehenge was undeveloped at present then preserving the land would be an issue and the published scheme might be called into question. However, this land has been damaged considerably in the past. Therefore the Parker Plan has no perceived environmental advantages over the published scheme.
- A comment was raised that although Lt Colonel Parker has stated that there is nothing of archaeological significance that will be disturbed by his plan, new finds are constantly being made in this region and the truth is that no-one really knows what there is to find. Therefore it cannot be allowed to go ahead as once archaeological material has been damaged, that damage can never be undone.
- It is felt that major disruption would be caused to the lives of hundreds of villagers by the Parker Plan. The viability of the planned Solstice Park hotel complex presently on the A303 would be called into question. It would no longer be on the main road if the Parker Plan was adopted and this could cause the developers to withdraw from the scheme.
- Members feel that much good work has been done to mitigate many of the concerns that people felt about the Highways Agency Published Scheme. There are only a few minor concerns left. There are many concerns regarding the Parker Plan and the MP for Salisbury has received many letters of objection and none of support for the plan.

At the end of the debate the Chairman volunteered to relax the committee protocol in order to allow anyone from the public present to speak in favour of the Parker Route. None of the public present responded to this offer.

**RESOLVED** – That the Northern Area Committee recommend to Cabinet that the Parker Plan is totally unacceptable on the grounds of environmental impact, the impact on trade and traffic in surrounding villages and on the cost of the plan as recorded in the comments above.

Note: The four members of the Northern Area Committee who are also members of the Cabinet did not vote on this issue.

## **132 South Wiltshire Area Grants Scheme Panel Recommendations**

The Committee considered the previously circulated schedule of recommendations from the Northern Area SWAG Review Panel.

The Chairman of the SWAG review panel noted that the decisions to refuse applications had not been made easily and that the applicants were strongly encouraged to correct the points that were highlighted and resubmit their applications.

**RESOLVED** – That the grants be determined as shown below:

Ref	Applicant	Project	Decision
NAC01/T3/03-04	Crazy Cartwheels Gym	To fund a new trampoline and some soft play shapes	<b>Refused</b> for the following reasons: 1. As this is a group that works with children they must have a child protection policy. 2. Clearer accounts must be provided. 3. The club needs to improve its administration so that it does not rely on just two members to run the club
NAC02/T3/03-04	Figsbury Pre-School	Provide money for refurbishing equipment and flooring to modernise pre-school. Turning large storage area into a play area	<b>Refused</b> for the following reasons: 1. Although the panel greatly approve of the changes that have been made to the group's admissions policy, as this is a group that works with children they must have a child protection policy. 2. Clearer accounts must be provided as it is felt that the amount requested is excessive.
NAC03/T3/03-04	Shrewton & District Women's Institute	To help fund a vandal proof public map notice board	<b>Grant</b> £1,075.00
NAC04/T3/03-04	Shrewton United Football Club	To help offset the £18,500 cost of floodlights to improve the stadium	<b>Grant</b> £5,000.00
NAC05/T3/03-04	Durrington Short Mat Bowls Club	Short mat bowls equipment	<b>Grant</b> £1,237.00 subject to the group adopting their new constitution.
NAC06/T3/03-04	Bulford BMX Bikers	Construction of a BMX track on the Nine Mile River Site	<b>Refused</b> for the following reason: There needs to be a clear committee structure with committee members, a constitution and a separate bank account. If the panel were to grant some funds and pay it to the Parish Council account the parish council are then required to pay 50% of the amount as well. This would obviously cause problems. The panel are very sympathetic to the application and welcome the group to resubmit it in the next tranche when they have made themselves a separate entity.
NAC07/T3/03-04	Langford's Sports Association: Tennis Club:	Resurfacing the existing hard tennis court which has fallen into disrepair	<b>Grant</b> £3,795.00
NAC08/T3/03-04	Amesbury Bowls Club	Rebuild club house	<b>Grant</b> £5000.00
NAC09/T3/03-04	Stonehenge Stitchers	Purchase materials and advertising	<b>Grant</b> £250.00

The Chairman also noted that there is £1.6million of R2 funding left for villages to spend on worthwhile projects and so he encouraged people to come up with ideas for the money.

### **133 REVIEW OF SOUTH WILTSHIRE AREA GRANTS**

The Committee considered the previously circulated report of Amber Skyring. Councillor Cole-Morgan made a presentation during which the following points were raised:

- All of the reforms that are being introduced are to try and maximise the value of the money that is granted to the community. In difficult financial times the Council should be looking very closely at how it is spending money. The administration costs of the scheme must not be more, both for Salisbury District Council and for the groups we give grants too, than the value of the grant that is given.
- That the £30,000 that is to be taken from the SWAG budget to fund a funding advisor was necessary for several reasons. The first of these is that many community groups are using the SWAG scheme as their first, and only, port of call for financial help. Therefore the current SWAG scheme is creating a dependency culture. The funding advisor could locate outside funding schemes and point many community groups in the direction of not only extra funds but also ways of developing their groups in line with codes of best practice. This cannot be done at present as officers do not have the time to devote to the task that a dedicated funding advisor would.
- Over 50% of the SWAG grants are not given strictly in line with Salisbury District Council's policies. Having 2 members from each area committee on the District wide review panel would ensure consistency in the approval of SWAG grants.
- Having a central panel will mean that officers only have to attend 3 meetings a year and not 12 thus saving officer time and administration costs.
- A central pot should be established of at least £10,000 for applications which have a district wide significance.
- Any money left in this pot at the end of the year could be poured back into the SWAG funds for the third tranche.
- Granting more grants of smaller amounts would generate greater goodwill and therefore a ceiling of £2,500 for capital grants and £1,500 for projects and events should be implemented.

A discussion followed during which the following points were raised:

The recommendation to create a post for a funding advisor at the cost of £30,000 to be taken from the SWAG budget of £93,360 was considered first. The following points were raised:

- Some Councillors feel that the creation of this post will help smaller charitable and voluntary groups who do not have the knowledge and expertise to locate and compete for external funds. This is an important service as there is much money which could be drawn into the district by someone who has the knowledge, time and the skills to do so.
- Some Councillors object to the idea of a fund-raiser for two reasons. One is that fund-raisers are employed to draw in very large sums of money and that this is felt to be contrary to the whole principle of SWAG which is intended to grant small amounts of money to small local groups. The other reason is that there is a concern that some work will be duplicated and some members believe that the issues of raising funds and helping groups is done quite adequately by officers

already. However, Councillor Cole-Morgan noted that these officers do not have the time and expertise to locate very large sums of money.

- Councillors challenged the idea that SWAG was the first port of call for groups. It is felt that sports groups in particular know of many external funding streams.
- The idea of funding the post from the SWAG budget is controversial as the funding advisor will take some time to begin to draw in the external funds. This will mean that the SWAG applicants in the first year of the appointment will have £30,000 removed from the available funds for them without the returns that will be seen in later years.
- The view was also expressed that a person who was based in the centre of the District would not have the knowledge and the time to devote resources to helping smaller groups on the fringe of the District.
- This post will be for a two year pilot during which time the success of the funding advisor will be monitored both by the amount of extra money drawn but also by the success in building the capacity of local groups and improving best practice. However, Councillors noted that if the fund-raiser post fails to draw in more money than the costs of the position by the end of the pilot, the Council must acknowledge the failure and end the appointment.
- The Committee were informed that the fund-raiser would be expected to focus on one major partnership project per year but that the rest of the focus would be on helping smaller groups.
- It was also suggested that other organisations such as the museum and the arts centre could be approached to see if they would be willing to help fund the cost of a funding advisor as they would presumably benefit too.

**RESOLVED** – That in principle the Northern Area Committee supports the recommendation for the appointment of a funding advisor for SDC on a 2 year pilot basis. No decision was taken on how this was to be funded.

The recommendation that a Central SWAG Review Panel be established comprising of 2 elected member representatives from each of the area committees and that this panel make recommendations to each of the area committees for final approval was considered next. Councillor Cole-Morgan noted that the central panel could be increased to three members from each area. The following points were raised:

- There is a concern amongst Councillors that the autonomy and individuality of the area committees is being undermined. It is felt that the elected members from each area are perfectly capable of identifying the needs of their particular community, especially as the sums of money being spent are relatively small. This aspect is one of the major strengths of the current system.
- Whilst Councillors objected to being told that they had not spent money in line with Council policy, Councillor Cole-Morgan stated that this was not meant to imply that the money had not gone to good causes.
- It was noted that the area committees would still have their own pots of money to spend, these would not be affected by the reforms.
- Some Councillors expressed dissatisfaction with the way the statistics had been compiled and believe that the finding that over 50% of the grants are not being spent in line with policy was dubious.
- The amount of actual saving that would be made by the reform was questioned. Unless some officers are to be made redundant it was commented that there would be no actual monetary saving.

**RESOLVED** - To support the proposal that a Central SWAG Review Panel is established comprising of at least 2 elected member representatives from each of the area committees. This panel will make recommendations to each of the area committees for final approval.

The recommendation that £10,000 of the existing SWAG budget (£93, 360) be allocated to applications that benefit residents from across the district of Salisbury and South Wiltshire was considered next. This new grant, to be called the Cultural Grant for South Wiltshire, is to be allocated in three tranches per year with the option of closing it after the money allocated in any year has been spent. It is also recommended that the members of the SWAG Review Panel consider applications to the Cultural Grant for South Wiltshire and make recommendations for funding allocations to the Full Council for approval. This point was discussed in conjunction with the proposal to reduce from the current ceiling limit of £5,000 to a max of £2,500 for capital items and £1,000 for projects and events (with the exception of the village hall capital program see 3.8. of the report). The following points were raised:

- It was felt that the panel should be left with the flexibility of the current ceilings. The proposed ceiling was thought to be far too low to allow groups to free up other funding sources which they would be entitled to if they raised a larger amount of money.

**RESOLVED** – to support the proposal that:

1. That £10,000 of the existing SWAG budget (£93, 360) be allocated to applications that benefit residents from across the district of Salisbury and South Wiltshire. This new grant, to be called the Cultural Grant for South Wiltshire, is to be allocated in three tranches per year with the option of closing it after the money allocated in any year has been spent.
2. The proposal to introduce the above limit is not supported and that they should remain unchanged.

## **134 SALISBURY DESIGN FORUM**

Following the Cabinet meeting on 21<sup>st</sup> January 2004, the Northern Area Committee was requested to nominate two of its members (one to act as a deputy) to the Salisbury Design Forum panel of elected members from which 2 elected members will be drawn in rotation to attend the Forum.

The area committee was also asked to confirm that where its nominated member, or substitute, has agreed to attend a meeting of the Forum, and later finds, for whatever reason, that he/she is not able to attend, the nominee should be responsible for finding a substitute from the panel of elected members, to attend in their place.

**RESOLVED** – That Councillor West and Councillor Westmoreland become Forum members.



**135 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2301 - FULL APPLICATION - ERECTION OF A NEW DWELLING AND DEMOLITION OF EXISTING OUT BUILDING: LITTLE OLD THATCH BEECHFIELD NEWTON TONY SALISBURY SP4 0HQ – FOR MRS I WHITNEY**

Mr Ross , speaking on behalf of the applicant, spoke in support of the application. Parish Councillor Mr Symes, speaking on behalf of Newton Tony Parish Council, informed the Committee that the Parish Council had no objection to the application.

Following the receipt of the above statement and further to the site visit held that afternoon, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services, in addition to the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

**RESOLVED –** That the application be **approved** on the basis that it represents a well conceived and compact dwelling which has a limited impact upon the streetscene and retains space between the listed dwelling “Little Old Thatch” and the proposed dwelling and is therefore considered to respect the appearance of the Conservation Area and the setting of the listed building. The application was delegated to officers to approve subject to the materials being amended to better reflect the Conservation Area, and subject to all persons concerned entering into a Section 106 Agreement under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) relating to the payment of a commuted sum in respect of policy R2 of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan and the following conditions:-

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission. (A07A)

Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. (0004)

2. Before development commences you shall submit and have approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority large scale drawings (not less than 1:10 scale) indicating the chimney stack to include height above the ridge, bond, corbelling detail and height of the pot and the development shall thereafter accord with the approved details.

Reason: to ensure that the development respects the setting of the listed building and its location within the Conservation Area.

3. Before development commences you shall submit and have approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority large scale drawings (not less than 1:10 scale) indicating the eaves and gable design, projection and pattern of bargeboards and fascia and the development shall thereafter accord with the approved details.

Reason: to ensure that the development respects the setting of the listed building and its location within the Conservation Area.

4. Before development commences you shall submit and have approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority large scale drawings (not less than 1:10 scale) indicating the materials, pattern of fenestration including moulding, degree of reveal and window heads and cills and the development shall thereafter accord with the approved details.

Reason: to ensure that the development respects the setting of the listed building and its location within the Conservation Area.

5. Before development commences you shall submit and have approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority large scale drawings (not less than 1:10 scale) indicating the pattern and extent of brickwork to all door and window openings and the proportion of brick and flint within each elevation and the development shall thereafter accord with the approved details.

Reason: to ensure that the development respects the setting of the listed building and its location within the Conservation Area.

6. The precise positioning of the proposed building shall be pegged out on site and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority before development is commenced, and the building shall be positioned as so agreed. (C02A)

Reason: To ensure the exact position of the building within the site in order to respect the setting of the listed dwelling, Little Old Thatch.

7. The finished floor level of the proposed building shall be in accordance with details to be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the Local Planning Authority before development is commenced. (C03A)

Reason: 0010 To ensure the exact finished floor level of the building.

8. Before development is commenced, a schedule of external facing materials shall be submitted, and, where so required by the Local Planning Authority, sample panels of the external finishes shall be constructed on the site and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. (D05A)

Reason: 0014 To secure a harmonious form of development.

9. Before development commences a scheme for the protection and repair of the boundary wall to the west of the entrance driveway to the approved dwelling (Identified as "Existing wall to remain" on approved drawing 02/0893/200) shall be submitted to, and approved in writing and the protection works during construction together with the repairs to be undertaken prior to the first occupation of the approved dwelling shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: To ensure that the development respects the appearance of the Conservation Area.

10. No development shall take place until details of the treatment of the boundaries of the site have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Any tree screening, hedges, walls or fences thus approved shall be planted/erected prior to the occupation of the building. (G20A)

Reason: 0042 In the interests of the amenity and the environment of the development.

11. No development shall commence until the design, materials and finish to the entrance gate(s) have been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the

Local Planning Authority, and the development shall subsequently accord with the approved scheme.

Reason: To protect the appearance of the Conservation Area.

12. No development shall take place until there has been submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority a scheme of landscaping, which shall include indications of all existing trees and hedgerows on the land, and details of any to be retained, together with measures for their protection in the course of development. (G22A)

Reason: 0042 In the interests of the amenity and the environment of the development.

13. All planting, seeding or turfing comprised in the approved details of landscaping shall be carried out in the first planting and seeding seasons following the occupation of the buildings or the completion of the development, whichever is the sooner; and any trees or plants which within a period of 5 years from the completion of the development die, are removed or become seriously damaged or diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of similar size and species, unless the Local Planning Authority gives written consent to any variation. (G23A)

Reason: 0042 In the interests of the amenity and the environment of the development.

14. Any gate[s] provided to close the proposed access shall be set a minimum distance of 4.5 metres from the carriageway edge and shall be made to open inwards only. (I09A)

Reason: 0054 To ensure that a satisfactory form of access is provided in the interests of highway safety.

15. The building hereby permitted shall not be occupied until the area shown on drawing No.02/0893/200 for the parking and turning of vehicles has been drained and surfaced and that area shall not thereafter be used for any purpose other than the parking or turning of vehicles. (J04A)

Reason: 0057 To ensure that the development hereby permitted is provided with adequate facilities for the parking and turning of vehicles.

16. Before development commences, a scheme for the discharge of surface water from the building and any hard surfaces hereby permitted shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority, and shall be carried out as approved. (L07A amended)

Reason: 0064 To ensure that the development is provided with a satisfactory means of surface water disposal.

17. Notwithstanding the provisions of Classes A-H of Schedule 2 (Part 1) to the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any Order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification), there shall be no extensions to the dwelling nor the erection of any structures within the curtilage unless otherwise agreed in writing by

the Local Planning Authority upon submission of a planning application in that behalf. (V15A)

Reason: 0108 To enable the Local Planning Authority to ensure that sufficient space is retained around the dwellings in the interests of neighbourliness and amenity and to protect the setting of the listed dwelling, "Little Old Thatch".

18. Other than the patio indicated on approved drawing 02/0893/200, no hardstandings or other structures including sheds, summerhouses or swimming pools shall be located in the garden area situated to the south or east of the rear gable of the approved dwelling without a planning application being first submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure that the Local Planning Authority retains control over the degree to which the character of this area is affected by domestic development.

**136 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2338 - FULL APPLICATION -LOFT CONVERSION TO PROVIDE ACCOMMODATION IN ROOF SPACE WITH DORMER WINDOWS: 24 LADYSMITH EAST GOMELDON SALISBURY SP4 6LE – MR J B LAWRENCE.**

Mr D Kirk-Patrick, on behalf of several residents of East Gomeldon, spoke in objection to the above application. Parish Councillor, Mr Fisher, speaking on behalf of Idmiston Parish Council, informed the Committee that the Parish Council objected to the application on the grounds of loss of amenity to surrounding properties.

Following the receipt of the above statements and further to the site visit held that afternoon, the Committee considered the previously circulated revised report of the Head of Development Services, in addition to the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

**RESOLVED –** That the above application be **REFUSED** on the basis that the dwelling has already been considerably extended within a constricted site and further development would be out of keeping with the scale of the plot and detract from the amenity of adjoining properties and for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development would by reason of its size, height, massing and proximity to neighbouring properties, be detrimental to the amenities of the occupiers of those neighbouring properties. As such it is contrary to policy G2 of Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan.
2. The proposed development would be out of scale and character with the existing dwelling and by virtue of this will have a detrimental impact on the streetscene. Consequently it is contrary to policies D3, and C6 of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan.

INFORMATIVE: - This refusal has been taken in accordance with the following policy/policies of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan: G2, D3, and C6

**137 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2394- FULL APPLICATION -BARN TO HOUSE HORSES AND BARN TO HAVE INDOOR MENAGE ACCESS TRACK FROM WINTERSLOW ROAD: CHAPEL FARM 82 EAST GOMELDON ROAD GOMELDON SALISBURY SP4 6NB – FOR MR KEITH HOPKINS**

Mr Kelly, speaking on behalf of several residents, spoke in objection to the application. Parish Councillor Mr Fisher, speaking on behalf of Idmiston Parish Council, informed the Committee that the Parish Council had no objection to the application as long as very strict conditions relating to access were applied.

Following the receipt of the above statement, the Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services, in addition to the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

**RESOLVED –** That the above application be **REFUSED** on the basis that notwithstanding the reduction in scale since the previous planning application (S/2002/2201) the proposal remains a substantial and utilitarian structure which detracts from the generally open character of its landscape setting and for the following reason: -

The proposed development by virtue of its scale, design and recreational use will represent an alien and detrimental impact on the generally open character of the Special Landscape Area, contrary to the requirements of the general, landscape and recreational Policies G2, C2, C6 and R1C of the Salisbury District Local Plan.

**138 APPLICATION NO. S/2003/2642 - FULL APPLICATION – LISTED BLDG WKS) - PROPOSED PART DEMOLITION OF EXISTING FRONT & GARDEN WALL TO FORM NEW VEHICULAR ACCESS DRIVE AND PART NEW FRONT WALL TO BLOCK UP EXISTING VEHICULAR ACCESS: CAMELOT NURSING AND RETIREMENT CENTRE 1 COUNTESS ROAD AMESBURY SALISBURY SP4 7DW – FOR CAMELOT CARE HOMES LTD.**

Mr Reed on behalf of Amesbury Town Council, informed the Committee that following consideration of the points raised by the Committee Report, the Town Council had no objection to the proposal.

The Committee considered the previously circulated report of the Head of Development Services, in addition to the schedule of late correspondence circulated at the meeting.

**RESOLVED -** That the above applications be **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions:

1. The development for which permission is hereby granted must be commenced not later than the expiration of 5 years beginning with the date of this permission. (Z01A)

Reason: 0006 To comply with the provisions of Section 18 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and the requirements of policy CN5 of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan.

2. Before development commences, details of the method of demolition of the proposed openings, together with measures to reclaim existing building materials, their storage

and re-use within the creation of the reduced vehicular access, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and development shall thereafter accord with the approved scheme.

Reason: To protect the integrity of the listed curtilage wall and listed internal walls and to accord with the provisions of policy CN5 of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan.

3. Before development commences, details of the creation of any piers, and the termination of existing wall openings to include mortar jointing and brick bonding, and where appropriate the pattern and finish for new door openings shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, and development shall thereafter accord with the approved scheme.

Reason: To protect the integrity of the listed curtilage wall and listed internal walls and to accord with the provisions of policy CN5 of the Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan.

INFORMATIVE: This permission has been taken in accordance with the following policy/policies of the adopted Salisbury District Local Plan CN1, 3 & 5.

The meeting concluded at 8.15pm

Members of the public present : 28