

APPENDIX 2

EDEN VALE ROAD, WESTBURY **OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED PUFFIN CROSSING**

NAME	ADDRESS	COMMENTS
Mr A V Axford	15 Eden Vale Road	Crossing unnecessary as it would be used at peak times only. If one installed, 2 nd site advertised preferred. Funding better spent on other traffic calming measures.
Miss E Apps	17 Eden Vale Road	Crossing unnecessary. Objects to a 2 nd site being chosen in opposition to the 1 st . Believes it would cause problems accessing driveway.
Mrs K A Baker	Joint owner of 21 Eden Vale Road	Crossing at this site would seriously affect access to property with resulting de-valuation. Considers this site not the best location for a crossing in Eden Vale Road.
Mr N & Mrs C Joyce	22 Eden Vale Road	Crossing would affect ability to park/unload outside property and there would be no parking for visitors. Noise and fumes from stopping vehicles unacceptable. Object to a 2 nd site being chosen in opposition to the 1 st . Site not the best location for a crossing in Eden Vale Road.
Mr T Clarke	Owner of 23 Eden Vale Road	Crossing at this site unnecessary – would have insufficient use. Not ideal site.
Premier Training and Development Limited	Tenant of 23 Eden Vale Road and prospective purchaser of 21	Crossing would remove much currently available parking along frontage. Possible difficulty accessing driveway. Would have similar access problem as purchaser of No 21.
Mrs A J Lewis	24 Eden Vale Road	Crossing equipment intrusive. Ability to park on-street outside property and possible ability to create parking on property (which does not have driveway) would be lost, with potential property de-valuation. Crossing unnecessary.
Mr E Lewis	24 Eden Vale Road	Crossing would create pollution. Objects to a 2 nd site being chosen in opposition to the 1 st . Objects to loss of parking. Crossing not necessary due to current insufficient use of road that would be further reduced by opening of new road through development. Crossing would de-value property
Mr D Stallard	25 Eden Vale Road	Objects to loss of parking and difficulties for accesses. Objects to a 2 nd site being chosen in opposition to the 1 st . Lack of potential use makes crossing unnecessary.

NAME	ADDRESS	COMMENTS
Mrs B J Lane	12 Leigh Road	Considers traffic levels in Eden Vale Road insufficient to warrant crossing at this site. Several sites in Westbury better candidates for funding to improve pedestrian road safety.
Ms S Wiseman	15 Wellhead Lane	Considers traffic levels in Eden Vale Road insufficient to warrant crossing at this site. Several sites in Westbury better candidates for funding to improve pedestrian road safety.
Dr Andrew Murrison MP		In support of Mr & Mrs Lewis' objection.
Ms V Stanway	57 Danvers Way	Considers traffic levels in Eden Vale Road insufficient to warrant crossing at this site. Loss of parking would cause difficulties. Crossing of Warminster Road in vicinity of Cedars Hotel more of a problem.