

APPENDIX 2

RESPONDENT	OBJECTION SUMMARY
Mr. B.A. Williams	Objects on amenity grounds. The proposal will lead to unacceptable levels of noise and dust pollution. Protection must be afforded to the local wildlife and the River Blackwater.
Mrs. J.E. Williams	Objects on the following grounds: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Area - The site is within a heritage site and is included in the proposed New Forest National Park. Badgers are present on the site. Waste - Potentially hazardous waste could be tipped within the site. Traffic - Too many HGVs and other vehicles use Giles Lane daily. A further 62 movements per day would be unacceptable. Noise - Crushing plant will create too much noise. Health - Residents already suffer from excessive pollution from car and lorry fumes and noise. This proposal will make the situation worse. Residence - Property is being devalued by the existing uses on the site. This proposal makes matters worse.
Mr. B. Akers	<p>The site is located within the Landford Heritage Area and the New Forest National Park and is therefore not a suitable site for waste recycling.</p> <p>The proposal will lead to an unacceptable increase (60 plus) in HGV movements along what already is a busy road. Increased traffic will lead to a loss in privacy and an increase in crime.</p> <p>The recycling activity will generate unacceptable noise and dust from crushing of construction and demolition waste - the potential for asbestos dust being distributed to air is a real concern.</p> <p>The proposed recycling plant is to be housed in an open fronted building that will amplify the sound levels produced. Dust can be suppressed with water but this will inevitably lead to solid matter entering the river system. The dust may also contain soluble metals, solvents, asbestos and other contaminants.</p> <p>The site is home to badgers and certain species of birds that must be protected. The location of this proposal in Giles Lane is contrary to the EU Nature Protection Directive 79/409/EEC.</p> <p>Giles Lane has not been identified for future waste management proposals within the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Local Plan. Being located on the border with Hampshire, the site will only serve as an importer of material.</p>
Mr. E.C. and Mrs. V. Garner-Richardson	Object to the proposal on the following grounds: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noise - The proposal will only serve to raise existing intolerable noise levels. The building will do very little to reduce noise. Also the proposed crusher will inevitably be used all day every day and not on the sporadic basis suggested within the application. Traffic - Giles Lane already carries more traffic than it should. Access off the A36 (T) is also of concern. Hazardous waste - The proposed method for dealing with hazardous waste is appalling. No mention is made within the application of how unsuitable materials like asbestos will be dealt with. It is also inevitable that skip waste will contain a proportion of organic putrescible material within it and again no mention is made as to how this will be dealt with. Suitability of the site - The site is not listed within the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Local Plan as a Schedule 1 Strategic Site and as such is not a Preferred Area. The site is in the proposed National Park/New Forest Heritage Area. None of the existing businesses on the site are compatible with the proposal.

RESPONDENT	OBJECTION SUMMARY
Mr. R. Wood	<p>The Giles Lane Business Park was established for local businesses NOT those from outside the County.</p> <p>The road network has already been highlighted as being totally inadequate for the vehicle movements that currently occur so a further 60 movements will lead to gridlock.</p> <p>Giles Lane already suffers from fly tipping which presents risks to local residents and wildlife (badgers, deer, foxes etc).</p> <p>The area is within the proposed New Forest National Park, a designation that must be taken into account. The site is not a Preferred Area for waste management and the application should therefore be refused.</p> <p>Current noise levels are higher than those expected within a rural area but to have extra LGV/HGV movements and a primary crusher on the site will make this situation worse.</p> <p>How will unsuitable waste be managed? Where will it go if refused entry?</p>
Mrs. E. Wood	<p>Objects to the proposal on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noise - The proposed crusher will lead to an unacceptable increase in overall noise levels. Dirt - The proposal will only lead to an increase in dirt and dust in the area. Pollution risk - Proximity to the River Blackwater is of great concern in terms of potential pollution. The site falls within the New Forest Heritage Area and proposed National Park. Traffic - Increased traffic will lead to more noise, pollution and danger.
Mr. A. Wright	<p>Objects to the proposed development on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The site is not shown as a Preferred Area for waste management facilities in the Waste Local Plan. The proposed development is incompatible with the purposes of the New Forest Heritage Area, within which the site is situated. The proposed increase in heavy goods traffic would increase danger to other road users, both in Giles Lane and at the junction of Giles Lane with the A36. The proposed development would give rise to high levels of silicon dust which would adversely affect the amenity and environment of local residents. The increased use of the unmetalled tracks in the Giles Lane site by HGVs would increase the dust nuisance. There would be an increase in noise levels from both plant and lorry traffic which could cause a nuisance to local residents. The applicant could not operate the proposed facility within safety and pollution guidelines (airborne and groundwater).
Ms. J. Wright	<p>Objects to the proposal on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noise - The proposal will lead to an unacceptable increase in noise levels through increased traffic movement, operation of the crusher and vibration. Dust - Who will enforce the use of dust suppression measures? Traffic - The vehicle movements quoted are unacceptable. Giles Lane is not suitable to cater for such movements, nor is the access off the A36. If 70% of the waste emanates from Wiltshire this will lead to 70% of the lorries turning right out of the site and into a fast moving traffic stream coming over the hill - increased risk of serious accident. HGVs turning into and out of Giles Lane require the full width of the carriageway to perform the manoeuvre.

RESPONDENT	OBJECTION SUMMARY
Ms. J. Wright cont'd	4. Health and environmental - The content of builders' skips is uncontrolled and some asbestos will almost certainly be included and then be reduced to dust through the action of the crusher. Inert building waste contains significant quantities of silicon and trapped dioxins that are hazardous substances. The dust suppression scheme proposed will lead to an increase in solid matter being washed into the River Blackwater. Recycling does not appear to be a use which "would conserve and enhance the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the area."
Dr. R.I. Damper South Hampshire District Association of the Cyclist's Touring Club	The proposed development is out of nature for Giles Lane and the interests of the New Forest Heritage Area (proposed National Park). The interests of the Cycling Touring Club would be seriously jeopardised by the use proposed. The increase in HGV movements will lead to further damage to the infrastructure of the already damaged Giles Lane.
Mr. P.J.H. Hayward	Objects on the following grounds: 1. The access into and out of Giles Lane on the A36 is already causing concern in terms of safety. 2. The site is very close to the River Blackwater and waste could easily pollute this important habitat. 3. The residents of Giles Lane cannot cope with the proposed HGV movements. 4. The increased dust in the air will have a detrimental effect on agricultural interests.
Mrs. J. Roberts	Objects to the proposal on the following grounds: 1. The proposed traffic generation is unacceptable and will lead to a detrimental effect on air quality. Ground level ozone generated by traffic movement is a health hazard associated with asthma and other respiratory diseases. It is particularly harmful to children. Associated with traffic levels is the concern of noise pollution that will again be detrimental to the amenity of local residents. 2. The proposed ' <i>intermittent</i> ' use of the crushing equipment will not be enforced. As is normally the case, these types of machinery are generally used continually. 3. The proposals will detrimentally affect the integrity of the New Forest Heritage Area (proposed National Park).
Ms. S. Hayward	Objects to the proposal on the following environmental and safety grounds: 1. If permitted the proposal will lead to an unacceptable increase in HGV movements which, in turn, will lead to an increased safety risk. 2. The site is not identified as a Preferred Site. 3. The proposal will lead to pollution of the River Blackwater and surrounding habitats.
Ms. M. Hayward	Objects to the proposal on amenity grounds. Other concerns include: 1. How can the nature of the waste material be satisfactorily checked to ensure it contains only suitable materials? 2. How will dust levels be monitored and measured? 3. The proposals provide no improvements to Giles Lane (speed restrictions, cleanliness, control and maintenance). 4. Vehicles using the site will not be restricted to those used by the developer.

RESPONDENT	OBJECTION SUMMARY
Ms. M. Hayward cont'd	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. How will loads (38 tonnes a time) be inspected to ensure they do not contain contaminants? The waste will have to be tipped and inspected before any reject material can be removed. The proposal does not make allowance for this. 6. Where will unsuitable wastes be taken? 7. The access onto the A36 cannot be described as being either "good" or "safe".
Mr. M. Hayward	<p>Objects for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The site is not identified as a Preferred Area within the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Local Plan. The County therefore does not see a need for this facility in this location and it should be refused. 2. The proposal assumes that improvements to the A36 will solve all the problems. Also the assumption that the landfill will almost be filled before the recycling centre starts, thereby alleviating traffic flow, is spurious. 3. If 70% of the site's turnover cannot be found locally within Wiltshire (Salisbury area) then obviously it will be found from sources outside the County. 4. It can also be assumed that the site, once permitted, will gradually increase in size as the business expands. 5. Are there any procedures to clean up the site in the event of company collapse? Who will finance this? 6. Who would be licensed and are licences transferable? 7. The proposal will lead to an increased risk to personal safety and adversely affect agricultural interests. 8. In conclusion, the proposal is contrary to National and County policy and should therefore be refused.
Mr. F.W. Tappenden	<p>Objects on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concerned about the noise and environmental pollution this proposal will lead to. 2. Accidental contamination could occur even if the operation takes place within the building. 3. The proposal to use crushing machinery will lead to unacceptable noise and pollution. 4. This sort of development is not suitable for the New Forest Heritage Area and candidate National Park.
Ms. P.J. Crossburn	<p>Objects on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The site is located within the New Forest Heritage Area (proposed National Park) and this appears to be at odds with the National and County policy. 2. Contradicts Policy C7 of the Adopted Wiltshire Structure Plan. 3. The proposal contravenes PPG7 which states inter alia that major development should not be allowed in the New Forest. 4. The proposal contravenes the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Local Plan 2011, paragraph 3.10.1. This application cannot demonstrate "exceptional need" and should be refused. 5. The New Forest National Park (Designation) Order 2002 identifies the Giles Lane area as being suitable for alleviating recreational pressures in the Forest core. If cycling and walking activity is to be encouraged in this area the proposed recycling centre will only serve to ruin this.

RESPONDENT	OBJECTION SUMMARY
Ms. P.J. Crossburn cont'd	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Many cultural features in and around Giles Lane will be damaged if permission is granted for the recycling centre. 7. The proximity of footpaths to the site could lead to an increased risk to walkers from HGV traffic. Giles Lane also provides one of only five crossing points over the A36 between Ower and Brickworth Corner. 8. Small skip lorries will try to use the lanes in and around the site which will lead to yet more danger for cyclists and walkers. This is unacceptable. 9. It is believed that land in and around the site may contain important archaeological remains that should be investigated before any decision is made on this application (ref Policy 7.13 of the Adopted Wiltshire Structure Plan). 10. The proposal must be considered in the light of "National Park Management Plans Guidance" and Strategic Objective 4.6c of the New Forest Committee's "A Strategy for the New Forest". This promises to <i>"Ensure Government guidelines on planning policy for National Parks are reflected in planning policies for the New Forest."</i>
Ms. D. Hayward	<p>Objects on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal will detrimentally affect residential amenity. 2. The proposal will lead to an unacceptable increase in noise and dust levels associated with the increase in HGV traffic and the use of the plant and machinery. 3. The proposal will lead to increased crime risk. 4. Personal safety (and the safety of pets and other animals) may well be compromised by this proposal.
Mrs. P. McConnel	<p>Objects on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Environmental - The site adjoins an environmentally sensitive area and will shortly be included in the proposed National Park. The site is adjacent to the River Blackwater and it is inevitable that contaminants will leach into this important habitat. The surrounding land is also home to deer, badgers and many species of birds. The operation of a recycling plant will lead to an increased risk of Weil's disease and Leptospirosis. 2. Traffic - Giles Lane is totally unsuitable for the HGV traffic it currently accommodates. Increasing this burden by some 60 plus movements per day will only serve to exacerbate the problem and lead to an increased safety risk. 3. Other - It will be impossible to ensure that only suitable material is processed. Who will monitor and reject unsuitable loads? The use of a crusher will lead to a detrimental effect on residential amenity and health. The site is also not a Preferred Area within the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Local Plan 2011.
Mr. A.J. McConnel	<p>Objects to the proposal on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The access to the site and the use of Giles Lane are totally unacceptable and unsuitable for the proposed (and existing) HGV traffic. 2. The present levels of noise and dust are unacceptable. The proposal will make an already bad situation far worse. 3. The site is in an area of great natural beauty - the New Forest Heritage Area and candidate National Park.

RESPONDENT	OBJECTION SUMMARY
Mr. A.J. McConnel cont'd	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal represents a threat in terms of increased risk of pollution of habitats associated with the River Blackwater. The operation of a waste recycling plant will increase the risk of infestation by vermin (rats) and disease.
Mr. N. Harfield	<p>Objects to the proposal on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The site is not an "industrial estate" but a "sand pit". Notwithstanding this fact, residents are still kept awake by the owner of the sand pit allowing people to use the site as a lorry park with 24 hour access. Giles Lane is totally unsuitable for the current volume of traffic associated with the site, let alone any further allowance. The noise associated with the proposed crusher will detrimentally affect residential amenity.
Miss V. Dyke	<p>Objects to the proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The site falls within the New Forest Heritage Area. There are badgers on the site which are a protected species. The site is of archaeological interest. The proposed crushing plant will generate noise and disturb the locality. Its proposed 'temporary' use is questionable and uncontrollable. There is a possibility that the site will accept hazardous waste which, if processed, will pollute the air and land. The proposal will generate an unacceptable increase in traffic and associated safety risk. The site is in the countryside and not on brownfield land. The site is not listed within the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Local Plan.
Mr. G.E. Cuell	<p>Objects to the proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noise and dust - The proposal will lead to unacceptable levels of noise and dust which will adversely affect residential amenity. National Park - The proposal will detrimentally affect the interests of the proposed National Park and the New Forest Heritage Area. This will include the acknowledged wildlife interests (badgers etc). Traffic - The proposed increase in traffic generation is unacceptable. Giles Lane is totally unsuitable and is already suffering from the volume of traffic it currently accommodates. The proposal will lead to extra pollution (noise, vibration and dust), invasion of privacy and safety concerns. Salisbury District Local Plan - The site is scheduled for industrial development and not waste disposal.
Mr. and Mrs. A.D. Naysmith	<p>Object for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal is totally inconsistent with the interests of the New Forest Heritage Area and proposed National Park. The proposal will only increase the disturbance to amenity currently suffered by local residents. The proposal to allow a further 62 lorry movements per day along the A36 and Giles Lane will lead to unacceptable congestion and reduction in highway safety.

RESPONDENT	OBJECTION SUMMARY
Mrs. M. Davies	<p>Objects to the proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The area has been designated as a part of the proposed New Forest National Park. Development of this type would compromise such a designation. 2. The noise and disturbance the proposal will generate will adversely affect residential amenity. 3. Giles Lane is a small country road totally unsuited to carrying large HGVs. Also the junction with the A36 is poor in terms of visibility and layout. The A36 is already overused and has a poor safety record.
Mr. F. Male	<p>Generally supports the proposal. The site has grown over the years into a thriving industrial estate. The applicant and landowner have a good track record of running similar sites.</p>