

**AMESBURY: UNDERWOOD DRIVE AND
PENDRAGON DRIVE**

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

1. **Salisbury District Council's Northern Area Committee** considers that in the interests of road safety the speed limits in Underwood Drive and Pendragon Drive should be 30 mph as they bisect large housing estates and are frequently crossed by pedestrians. In addition, the District Council resolved to recommend to the Regulatory Committee that the existing 40 mph speed limit on Porton Road be converted to 30 mph between its current terminal point just north of Raleigh Crescent and a point just south of Mills Way.
2. and 3. **Mr. J. and Mrs. M. Coddington** (two letters) object to the proposals as the roads provide access to community facilities at the Baptist Church and Community Hall, pass a children's play area and a shop, and bisect three housing estates. They also point out that in the next phase of development Underwood Drive will lead to a proposed school and a parade of shops.
4. **Mr. I.M. and Mrs. B. Mitchell** object as the roads run through residential areas including an unfenced play area, and consider that higher speed will lead to an increase in the number and severity of accidents. They are also concerned about the implications for the longer term. When they bought their property they were not aware of the proposal to extend the network of distributor roads from Underwood Drive to Stockport Road and consider that the introduction of the proposed 40 mph speed limits would encourage the use of this route to bypass the centre of Amesbury.
5. **Mr. and Mrs. L. Moody** consider that the roads should be treated as an exception and be restricted to 30 mph as they bisect three residential areas and large numbers of schoolchildren need to use them on the way to school.
6. **M.D. Skinner** objects as young children, including his/her own, need to cross the road to attend school and to reach any amenities in Amesbury.
7. **Amesbury Town Council** considers the introduction of 40 mph speed limits inappropriate due to the nature of the development adjacent to the roads. It also supports local calls for sufficient safety features to be introduced including a 30 mph speed limit. The Town Council also asks that traffic be calmed from Folly Bottom through to the A345 via the proposed development south of Underwood Drive.
8. **Mr. Brown**, the Ward Councillor for this area, objects to the proposals as the roads bisect large housing estates and are constantly being crossed by children on the way to and from school and by other pedestrians travelling into Amesbury. Mr. Brown supports the Town Council's request for lower speed limits and other pedestrian safety features on Pendragon Drive and Underwood Drive and the extension of Underwood Drive as development proceeds. In addition, Mr. Brown requests that the existing 40 mph speed limit on Porton Road be converted to 30 mph between its current terminal point just north of Raleigh Crescent to a point just south of Mills Way.

