



Southern Area Committee

5th August, 2004

Author: John Meeker,
Principal Planning Officer (Forward Planning)
01722 434396
john.meeker@salisbury.gov.uk
Portfolio Holder: Cllr J C Noeken

REPORT

LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORKS

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

The following report has two principal aims,

- a) to inform members about the requirements of the 2004 Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act as far as it relates to planning policy formulation
- b) to seek the views of members regarding areas for policy review in the period up to 2007, taking into account the statutory requirements which must be met in this period and within the current resources available to the Forward Planning Service.

2.0 BACKGROUND

In December 2001, the Government issued its planning Green Paper entitled “*Planning – Delivering a Fundamental Change.*” This document recognised that the planning process as a whole (i.e. aspects of Development Control and Development Planning) was in need of reform to become more effective and inclusive in what it sets out to do.

In its appraisal of the current system the green paper considered that the current plan making system was complex, slow and unpredictable, and most critically, it failed to properly engage communities about proposals in their areas.

In October 2003, the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM) published a consultation document outlining the nature of the new planning policy hierarchy as well as some details about how the various components would work. In January 2004, Planning and Economic Scrutiny Panel were presented with the draft arrangements and members engaged in a long and wide ranging discussion about the relative merits of the new system, making a number of comments which were then agreed by the Cabinet and submitted to the ODPM.

On 13th May, 2004 the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Bill was given Royal Assent and this paves the way for the new plan making system to come into being. The Commencement of the Act (i.e. when the legislation comes into operation) is now scheduled to be in September and at around this time final revised guidance for Local Planning Authorities will be issued.

3.0 INFORMING MEMBERS ABOUT THE NEW POLICY FRAMEWORK

Attached to this report is a copy of the slides which will be presented to members at the committee meeting. These slides aim to concentrate the essence of what is being proposed and members are encouraged to question any specific matters which require further clarification. Following the circulation of this report (and associated presentation) to all the Area Committees and the Planning and Economic Development Scrutiny Panel, further information statements will be prepared if this is considered to be

helpful. For members wishing to find out more, draft Planning Policy Statement 12 is available to read via the following web address, however it should be noted that this guidance is subject of revision as set out at the end of section 2 of this report.

http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_024633.pdf

4.0 PRIORITISING POLICY WORK COMPONENTS

As is set out in the attached slides, the Council is required to initially prepare a Local Development Scheme (LDS) as part of its Local Development Framework (LDF). The LDS is effectively the Council's work programme for a 3 year period which will need to be reviewed annually. The LDS has two main purposes,

- a) To act as a formal work programme for the development of the Council's initial LDF and then as a means to ensure the LDF's content is kept current. Government Office will use the document and its milestones to assess performance and make awards of Planning Delivery Grant (PDG)
- b) As a public document it enables parties with an interest in development matters to keep abreast of when particular policy issues are being reviewed, and the timing of the stages through which each review will pass through. This will assist in improving participation and understanding of the processes involved.

By December 2004, the Council must submit its LDS to the Government Office and gain approval of its content. In essence this exercise is a means to demonstrate that the Council's proposals are, firstly, in keeping with the requirements of the Act, but secondly are realistic and achievable. Clearly the LDS must reflect the amount of work which is required to be undertaken and make a realistic balance of this against the resources and time available.

In terms of work areas which are requirements of the new regulations,

- **Local Development Scheme** – to be completed and submitted to Government Office in the Autumn
- **Statement of Community Involvement** – to be prepared as early as possible in 2005. This will form the basis of consultation and engagement for all future work on the LDF and its components.
- **Area Specific Policies - New Housing Allocations** – this will be required to address the need for an adequate supply of housing land as set out in PPG3. The scale and distribution of new provision will be directed by the requirements of the new 2016 Structure Plan which will be adopted during 2005.
- **Core Strategy** – The development of the Core Strategy will require extensive consultation and engagement of the public and other stakeholder to ensure that it is well informed by local views and information. The development of objectives within the Council's Core Strategy will be delayed to take account of new Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) which is due in early-mid 2006.
- **District Wide Policies** – Having prepared the Core Strategy, the Council will be in a better position to review the remainder of its policies in the period up to 2007 when the ODPM expects authorities to have LDF's firmly in place.

Further to these statutory expectations, the new process provides an opportunity for Local Planning Authorities to undertake more rapid reviews of discrete topic areas. Most authorities are likely not to take advantage of this opportunity and instead focus upon the establishment of the LDF documents. However, it is apparent that there are a number of significant issues which members have already prioritised for review, namely,

- **Affordable Housing** - The Council's current affordable housing policies, despite being bolstered by Supplementary Planning Guidance, are still derived from the position established in 1998. In order to establish lower thresholds for qualifying sites and look at more proactive measures to encourage provision in Rural Areas a review of the policy is required.

- **Community Tariffs - Widening the Scope of R2** - The Planning and Economic Development Scrutiny Panel undertook a review of R2 in 2003/04 which concluded, in part, that there was a case for extending the scope of the policy to address other community infrastructure deficiencies arising from new development pressures. In light of this, there is a need to investigate the scale of shortfalls in community infrastructure provision as a means to inform whether it would be appropriate to collect developer contributions for other uses. Should this exercise uncover such a need, the Council will aim to review policies in this area (e.g. R2, G9).
- **Loss of Employment Land and Services** – Small scale employment sites in rural areas do provide limited opportunities for people to live and work in the same settlement in the same way that offices and business units in and around Salisbury and the other main towns/villages in the district contribute to the vibrancy and vitality of those places. Further to this local services (e.g. post offices, pubs, etc.) contribute to the self containment of communities making it more possible to gain a range of daily needs without resorting to car borne travel. These types of land uses clearly contribute to a sustainable pattern of development.

However, the government's focus on the redevelopment of brownfield sites, whilst being positive in terms of reducing the need for greenfield sites, has had the side effect of eroding the supply of local employment sites, particularly in rural areas. The Council does not dispute the fact that some employment sites are inappropriately located or are uneconomic to redevelop, however in the current buoyant housing market there is a temptation for landowners to downplay the prospects of a site to justify the financial windfalls associated with housing redevelopment.

Members have concluded on a number of occasions that the tests applied to the release of employment land are not stringent enough and that there needs to be a greater consideration of how the loss of a site, more often than not to a residential use, will undermine the ability of a settlement to remain as a functioning entity.

5.0 IDENTIFYING OTHER AREAS FOR POLICY REVIEW

Section 4 of this report has set out areas of work which the Council is required to undertake to meet the requirements of the new planning act by 2007. Furthermore, 3 additional policy issues were identified for review as a result of the Council's expressed concerns in these areas and it is proposed that these are examined in a review commencing in early 2005. In addition to these issues, members are asked to consider which other areas of policy are in need of urgent review (i.e. within the next 12-18 months). In identifying areas for review, members should note the following,

- the Forward Planning Team has limited resources and there will probably only be scope for the review of a small number of additional issues in the next year or two.
- That in 2006/07 following the adoption of the Core Strategy there will be the opportunity for a wider review of policies, and so the relative urgency of the matter should be balanced against this fact.
- If it is the case that a considerable number of issues are raised for review during the circulation of this report to all of the Area Committees, the Planning and Economic Development Scrutiny Panel will be asked to recommend priorities for action which will then need to be agreed by the Cabinet. It is envisaged that this exercise will take place in September or October.

RECOMMENDATION

- a) That Members note the informative content of the attached slides
- b) That Members note sections 4 and 5 of this report and identify areas of current Local Plan policy which should be prioritised for urgent review.

Background Papers:

Planning Policy Statement 12 (draft) - ODPM (and associated guidance notes) – website link referred to in report
Adopted Salisbury District Local Plan (2003)

Implications:

- **Financial:** The new LDF proposals set out in the attached slides is the replacement for the Local Plan. The LDF process is a statutory function of the Council and budgets for the process will be agreed at part of the normal liaison between planning and financial services.
- **Legal:** No comments at this stage
- **Human Rights:** The LDF process has to undergo a prescribed statutory process, which must be human rights compliant
- **Personnel:** None at this stage
- **Community Safety:** None at this stage
- **Council's Core Values:** Excellent service, thriving economy, fairness & equality, willing partner, communicating with the public, supporting the disadvantaged, protecting the environment.
- **Ward(s) Affected:** All Wards