

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
1.	<b>Attendees and apologies</b>			
	Present:	<p>Chair: Cllr Mark Connolly (MC) Wiltshire Councillor, Cllr Chris Williams (CW) Wiltshire Councillor, Cllr Tony Pickernell (AP) Wiltshire Councillor, Gareth Rogers (GR) Wiltshire Council Highways, Rhiann Surgenor (RS) Wiltshire Council Highways, Humph Jones (HJ), Tidworth Town Council, Richard Semple (RiS) Collingbourne Kingston PC, Nick Allingham (NA) Ludgershall Town Council, Peter Knowlson (PK), Collingbourne Ducis PC, David Dennis (DD), Collingbourne Ducis PC, and Dennis Bottomley (DB) Everleigh Parish Council.</p> <p>Apologies:            Lt Col Nick Turner (NT) TNBG            Sharon Duggan (SD), Wiltshire Police            .</p>		
2.	<b>Notes of last meeting</b>			
		Notes of the last meeting had been circulated previously.	Minutes were noted by the Group.	

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

3.	<b>Financial Position</b>			
		<p>2021-22 Allocation £11,085 Note; following the boundary changes this is a reduction of £672 on previous years</p> <p>Tidworth Area Board have provided a grant to the CATG of £8,625</p> <p>2020-21 Underspend of £9068.63.</p> <p>Available to allocated once existing commitments are accounted for is £14,753.63.</p>	The financial position of the Group was noted.	
4.	<b>Top 5 Priority Schemes</b>			
a)	<p><b><u>Priority No 01</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-20-1</u></b></p> <p>Enford</p> <p>Request for 20mph Limit Assessment</p>	<p>Enford Parish Council would like to bid for funding support to conduct traffic calming measures within the village. The Parish committee in January 2020 passed that it would fund 25% of costs towards the study up to a contribution of £650.00.</p> <p>Current Speeds are 30mph and we would like this reduced to 20mph throughout the village boundary excluding the A345. There are parts of the village roads that are particular issues of mainly speeding commuter cars. The risk is compounded with no pavements, regular deep surface water and a school bus stop.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that part of the village (Long St and west of the Swan pub) has generally slower speeds of traffic due to the closeness of houses and a narrow road. However, a blanket speed restriction is requested by the parish in order to protect and keep safe pedestrians.</p>	GR stated that an implementation date had not yet been set but was programmed for this Financial Year.	GR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>Assessment has been completed and proposal prepared. Area Board Grant has been used to progress implementation. As decision was made before boundary changes scheme will stay with the Tidworth CATG until project is completed.</p> <p>Enford PC have confirmed 25% Contribution.</p> <p>Design work is ongoing ahead of implementation in 2021.</p>		
b)	<p><b><u>Priority No 02</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-20-3</u></b></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit Assessment</p>	<p>The current 30mph zone and signage is failing to slow traffic down as it passes Aughton Junction. It is located so close to the Junction that traffic is only starting to slow down as it passes the Junction. This is creating a very dangerous situation for vehicles that are exiting the Junction on to the A338</p> <p>We would like the 30mph zone and signing to be moved 2-300 yards north of its current positioning. This would allow traffic to slow down in consideration of the 30mph speed limit by the time they get to Aughton Junction. This would dramatically improve the position for vehicles exiting Aughton Junction. We would like to have a speed review conducted to verify our concerns and then move on to having the zone and signage moved north as indicated above.</p> <p>RS confirmed that CKPC would fund 25% of the assessment costs. After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to Tidworth Area Board for a speed limit assessment to be undertaken on the A338 in CK to assess if the existing 30 mph limit can be extended to the end of the present 40 mph limit.</p>	<p>GR stated that Atkins are now undertaking such surveys following COVID restrictions being lifted. He hoped to have more information for the next meeting of the Group.</p>	GR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		The assessment has been added to the 2021/22 Programme for action. Social distancing regulations had prevented Atkins from commencing. These have now been addressed and reviews recommenced. The results will be fed back in due course.		
c)	<p><b><u>Priority No.03</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-20-04</u></b></p> <p>Tidworth, Pennings Road</p> <p>Request for Pedestrian Crossing Assessment</p>	<p>Following on from requests and concerns raised by residents our Services Committee discussed the above location and agreed that for safety reasons a crossing needed at this location.</p> <p>Now that the development is pretty much complete there is an increase in use by pedestrians.</p> <p>Tidworth Town Council fully supports the request for a pedestrian crossing and will fund 25% of the assessment.</p> <p>After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to TAB an assessment for a pedestrian crossing on the A338, Pennings Road (near its junction with Connolly Way), Tidworth to be undertaken.</p> <p>Assessment has been added to 2021-22 Programme. Counts to be undertaken now schools have returned.</p>	GR stated that Wiltshire Council had employed two apprentices and that such surveys are now being undertaken following COVID restrictions being lifted. He hoped to have more information for the next meeting of the Group.	GR
d)	<p><b><u>Priority No.04</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-21-04</u></b></p>	<p><i>Parked cars block or reduce access to Aster Housing garages along right side of Fleming Close. Aster have notices fixed to the garage walls stating no parking and do not block access, but this only applies to their land and not the highway which come under Wiltshire Council.</i></p> <p><i>This is an issue which seems to appear at the evening / weekend times. This would suggest it predominantly between those living in the local vicinity.</i></p>	GR stated that the contract order will be completed in the next couple of weeks. It is being tied with other lining schemes around the County to provide better value for money. Works should be completed by the end of October.	GR

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p>Ludgershall, Fleming Close</p> <p>Parking Issues</p>	<p><i>H-bar markings painted on the road for the areas where vehicle should keep clear and positively mark the areas where parking is considered appropriate. These wouldn't be time limited and hence not enforceable, but it may be enough to encourage people to be more considerate.</i></p> <p>The Group agreed that H bar white lining should be provided at the entrances to the garage blocks with LTC contributing 25% of the cost (approx. £1200 in total).</p> <p>Works have been added top programme and will be ordered shortly. Anticipate installation by Mid Nov.</p>		
<p>e)</p>	<p><b><u>Priority No.05</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-21-02</u></b></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Parking Issues Outside Post Office</p>	<p><i>The A338 outside of the Post Office is a very busy, often congested part of Pennings Road. There is a pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office, Tattoo Parlour and Flower Shop. Outside the Flower Shop and Tattoo Parlour there is a short parking area/layby for clients. Outside the Post Office there is no parking but to the side there is an alley way to a residential building behind the Tattoo Parlour.</i></p> <p><i>The pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office is often used for parking and causes many ructions, and more so now with social distancing and queues forming outside of it. There have been several nasty incidents where individuals have been rude to the Postmistress.</i></p> <p><i>There is also a pelican crossing to the right of the Post Office (as you look at it), so this area is a very busy, which does not need further complications of car parking on the pavement.</i></p> <p><i>There is a clear need to have 2 or 3 bollards or other similar 'No Parking' measures in front of the Post Office parking as agreed at the Tidworth Town Council Meeting dated the 13<sup>th</sup> April.</i></p>	<p>MC confirmed that he had visited all of the businesses and that they were content with the proposed 20-minute waiting restrictions between 9AM and 6PM.</p> <p>The Group agreed to proceed with the scheme.</p>	<p>GR</p>

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>GR stated that bollards may not be possible as there may be utility cables/pipes underground and the highway width of the path is only 1.8m. Bollards would need to be at least 45cm from the roadside and are 15cm in width. This would force people onto the privately owned part of the path.</p> <p>He suggested 20-minute waiting restrictions could solve some of the issue to ensure a constant flow of customers to the shops. However, a pre-consultation with the shop owners is advised.</p> <p>An extension of the crossing zig-zag lines/yellow lines to the access road between to the Post Office and the shops could also be considered.</p> <p>MC has consulted with business owners and the overall feedback is positive for restrictions during the daytime.</p>		
<b>6.</b>	<b>Other Priority schemes</b>			
a)				
<b>7.</b>	<b>Open / Other Issues</b>			
a)				
<b>8.</b>	<b>New Issues</b>			

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

<p>a)</p>	<p><b><u>Ref 15-21-06</u></b></p> <p>Station Road, Tidworth</p> <p>Bend Warning / Chevron Signs</p>	<p><i>Station Road in Tidworth is the main High Street with many shops and outlets, therefore creating a heavy traffic flow. There is already a 20mph speed limit on the main drag of the street, which appears to be working well.</i></p> <p><i>At the top of station road is a very sharp bend with a warning sign with two chevrons on it.</i></p> <p><i>There have been many incidents of cars speeding and crashing into the verges when coming from the North East direction of Perham Down. This has become a dangerous, downhill, sharp bend to the right.</i></p> <p><i>This subject was raised with the services committee of the town council, and it was proposed that Tidworth town council pay 25% towards the assessment for the installation of a second Chevron sign on the bend at the top of Station Road.</i></p>	<p>The Group agreed to implement the scheme whereby a larger luminous chevron sign will replace the small sign in situ that is not luminous.</p>	<p>GR</p>
<p>b)</p>	<p><b><u>Ref 15-21-07</u></b></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Ashdown Estate / Rorks Drift Roundabout</p>	<p><i>The Ashdown estate is a new estate in Tidworth which is entered from the A338 directly into housing on both sides of the road, of Rorks Drift, SP9 7WF and Salamanca's Drive, SP9 7TB</i></p> <p><i>It was proposed at our services meeting to be put forward to the full town council to pay a 25% contribution towards a road survey assessment to introduce a 20mph speed limit from a 30mph speed limit.</i></p> <p><i>The A338 is a busy main road. Both Rorks Drift and Salamanca Drive are busy residential areas, 30mph encourages speeding as soon as you enter the estate from both entry points, residents have complained they cannot get out of their driveways for fear of speeding cars coming off of</i></p>	<p>MC stated that he felt it was unfair that CATG and TTC would have to fund the assessment and implementation costs given that WC had confirmed the highways officer had written out the requirement for a 20-mph limit during the planning stage. All other new estates in the area have 20 mph limits.</p> <p>MC suggested that this be raised at next week's Area Board with a recommendation that the Area Board write to the Cabinet Member for highways to request</p>	<p>MC/Area Board</p>

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p><i>the A338. One resident who said he no longer uses his drive because it's so dangerous.</i></p> <p><i>The roads on this state are comparatively straight and this only encourages speed to be built up and in particular, along Minden Drive SP9 7GX where residents have complained about concerns getting out of their driveways.</i></p>	<p>that WC fund the assessment and any implementation costs. The Group agreed with the suggestion.</p>	
c)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-21-08</u></b></p> <p>Ludgershall, Castle Court</p> <p>Request for Street Name sign</p>	<p><i>Castle Court has never ever had a Road Sign stating that it is Castle Court.</i></p>	<p>NA confirmed that LTC had agreed to fund 25% of the implementation costs. GR advised that two signs would cost up to £500. The Group agreed to fund the remaining 75%.</p>	GR
d)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-21-09</u></b></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Ashdown Estate / South Drive</p> <p>Request for Dropped Kerb Crossing.</p>	<p><i>The A338 south Tidworth has a newly completed estate, call The Ashdown Estate. There is a small roundabout at the junction with Rourke's Drift, and opposite is South Drive. South Drive leads to Tedworth House and Park.</i></p> <p><i>Many families from the Ashdown Estate cross the road near the roundabout, to walk down South Drive to Tedworth Park, for recreational purposes, and to watch events that take place there.</i></p> <p><i>If they did not use South Drive, families would have to walk towards Hampshire Cross and access the park by the Avenue leading to Tedworth House, which is a very long diversion along a very busy main road.</i></p> <p><i>The Ashdown Residents have requested dropped kerbs near to the Rourke's Drift roundabout to ease the situation, by enabling pushchairs, wheelchairs etc to cross the road more safely, and access South Drive, which is a much shorter route.</i></p> <p><i>Tidworth Town Council has agreed to pay 25% contribution towards the survey, to help make this popular road crossing safer</i></p>	<p>After a discussion about the site and the St Mary's Church crossing, it was agreed that MC and GR would have a site visit.</p> <p>GR warned that working on the roundabout would increase the cost of the scheme significantly due to traffic management.</p>	GR/MC

COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

9.	<b>Other items</b>			
a)				
10.	<b>AOB</b>			
a)	Tidworth Area Cycle Network	 <p>Tidworth and Ludgershall Cycle Net</p> <p>MA to update the map to take into account improvements since 2013. Group to consider future improvements required.</p> <p>GR advised that Government is likely to provide additional funding for cycling and walking schemes in future. I B-P suggested that the Group should have schemes agreed and supported in preparation for this.</p> <p>MC suggested that TTC and LTC should review their networks and make recommendations to CATG of possible improvements to the foot and cycle networks. CW suggested TAB also discuss the issue.</p> <p>NA request LTC review its network and suggest any improvements to its network.</p> <p>MC/HJ request TTC review its network and suggest any improvements to its network.</p>	<p>No update on this issue. GR did state that Local Authorities now have to provide local walking and cycling plans to the Department for Transport. Salisbury, Chippenham and Trowbridge are being assessed first. MC stated his disappointment that again these three Towns and City get priority over the rest of Wiltshire, which is often neglected.</p> <p>GR suggested that Tidworth and Ludgershall Town Councils start thinking about foot/cycle links that need improving and report back to this group.</p>	TTC/LTC

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

b)	Toucan Crossing, Tidworth Post Office	HJ raised the flooding and mud issue at this crossing. He said there was a lip that prevented the water escaping and if the lip was removed, it would help with the situation.	RS confirmed that patching works will be undertaken but that temporary traffic lights will need to be installed whilst the work is undertaken.	RS
c)	Everleigh issues	DB raised three issues relating to signage in the village. GR and MC confirmed the signs relating to the closed Household Recycling Centre were to be updated. GR advised that the worn chevron sign near the Goa Balti was near the end of its useful life but would not be replaced until it has reached that point. MC and GR stated that new horses warning signs on the Netheravon Road are not funded by WC but can be via this group with a minimum of 25% contribution from EPC or a third party, such as the Richard Hannon stables. DB to refer this to EPC and Richard Hannon stables.	DB confirmed that the chevron had been cleaned and was now not an issue.  Everleigh HRC signs on the A342 in Everleigh Centre will be removed as a priority, as requested by Chris Williams.  The Group agreed to 2 x Caution Horses Warning signs on Netheravon Rd will be supported by the CATG. Estimated cost c£1500, with a 25% contribution required from Mr Richard Hannon.	GR/RS  GR
d)	Empress Way Lining	NA raised a safety issue of white lining that had worn away. RS confirmed after the meeting that it is the responsibility of the Developer to reinstate the lines through a S278 agreement. An email had been sent to say these are now a safety issue and this job now needs to be completed urgently.	RS confirmed this work had been completed but would confirm if orders for the priority change was required.	RS

## COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

			<p>RS also stated a traffic island was being implemented for crossing. RS also to investigate renewing the white crossing lines.</p> <p>Ludgershall Town Council would request a metro count as speeds appear to have increased.</p>	<p>RS</p> <p>LTC</p>
e)	Collingbourne Kingston	Discussion on Collision Reduction	<p>RiS stated there had been many discussions about accident and speed reductions on the A338. He requested a more strategic look at the issue.</p> <p>A discussion about the recent Police and Crime Commissioner elections may lead to a change in static speed camera use and even average speed cameras.</p> <p>The Group agreed that RiS provide CW and MC with a letter with statistics from the Community Speed Watch and Speed Indicator Devices for discussion at next week's AB for referral to the PCC.</p>	RiS/Area Board
f)	Collingbourne Ducis	<p>Church Street – Kerbing Improvements</p> <p>Church Street / Ludgershall Road Roundabout</p>	<p>PK and DD requested kerb improvements in Church Street as HGVs are eating into the bank</p>	

