Name	Address	Objection/ Support	Officer's comments
Resident of Lyneham	Email	I am writing to you with regards to the proposal ref no; LJB/TRO/LYNE30 We live at The Old Rectory in Lyneham SN15 4PQ, which is adjacent to the construction works of the new	Wiltshire Council's policy on the setting of speed limits is based upon the Department for Transport guidance provided in Circular
		residential buildings. We moved into the property in April of 2021. Since we have been here, we have witnessed a number of accidents that have either directly impacted our property, or in the vicinity of and in addition, a number of near misses.	01/13; Setting Local Speed Limits. In terms of 30-mph speed limits in rural villages, this is based upon the criteria set out in DfT Traffic Advice Leaflet 01/04; Village Speed Limits.
		Shortly after we moved in, 6 weeks; a motorcycle, coming out of Lyneham ran straight into our perimeter wall. The owner of the motorcycle was unhurt, there was no damage to the wall, but the motorcycle had to be towed away. At the end of November 2021, we had a head on collision into our wall, (the vehicle was coming out of the village) at the closest point to the gable end of the house. The car was a complete write off, (luckily the passenger and driver managed to walk away). This resulted in an agonizingly long and expensive process of	The guidance recognises that in rural environments there are often scenarios where development extends beyond those where the criteria for a 30-mph is satisfied, but the National Speed Limit would also not be appropriate. In these cases the use of 40-mph and 50-mph would be the appropriate level of restriction. This is the case with the A3102 to
		completely rebuilding a large section of the wall. In April of this year, we had a near miss into the wall from a small car in the early hours of the evening, which left tyre marks very close to the wall, but no impact. There was also a severe accident where a car full of young men ploughed into a tree adjacent to the construction site, going into Lyneham village. The number of actual accidents and near misses are too many to be	the north of Lyneham Village. Without a significant change to the above criteria and environment view between the 30- mph proposed by this TRO and the point north of Lyneham requested for extension, any further reduction will not be considered acceptable from the highway authority.

ignored. This doesn't take into	
account any near misses that have not	
been witnessed.	
I see from the drawings that the speed	
limit sign is proposed to move to a	
point that does not include The Old	
Rectory.	
From our experience, Traffic going	
past us, is not travelling within those	
boundaries set out on the signage.	
This is obviously speculative without	
any proof of speed, but I know how	
fast I drive past at this point and there	
are many drivers that are driving way	
faster than I have been going. Vehicles	
coming out of the village are driving at	
speeds that are way too fast and have	
resulted in some cases, vehicles then	
misjudging the bend in the road at the	
beginning of our boundary wall and do	
not have the time to correct their	
vehicles which is why they either clip	
the kerb or simply plough into the	
wall. If the sign is placed where it is	
proposed, then there arises the	
possibility that some drivers will	
simply put their foot on the	
accelerator at that signage point and	
could just just crash into the wall	
further round the bend where there is	
no lighting at all.	
Looking at vehicles coming into the	
village, particularly late at night. We	
see and hear vehicles being driven	
even faster into the village and will	
only start slowing down when they	
see the sign. So if the sign is placed at	
its new proposed position, then there	
is the possibility and risk that vehicles	
will still be driving past at speed until	
they see the sign and if there is	
another vehicle waiting to turn into	
the new development, the distance	

	between the proposed position of the	
	new sign and the turning into the new	
	development leaves a minimal	
	amount of road meterage gap for	
	error and a higher risk of collision. I	
	believe this is where the real danger	
	could lie. In addition to this, it doesn't	
	solve the problem of vehicles driving	
	past our property at speed coming	
	into the village and the possibility of a	
	collision on our boundary wall,	
	particularly if there is an issue further	
	ahead.	
	I would like to see the position of the	
	30mph sign moved further down the	
	slope to include The Old Rectory	
	building in that zone to reduce the risk particularly of any further accidents	
	either directly or indirectly into our	
	boundary wall and to prevent any risk	
	of any collisions into any vehicles	
	turning into the new development	
	once completed.	
Lyneham Parish Council email	Please find attached the	Wiltshire Council's
	response from the Parish Council as discussed in the Full	policy on the setting of
	Parish Council Meeting on the	speed limits is based upon the Department for
	9th of May 2023. Kindly also	Transport guidance
	find attached two documents	provided in Circular
	showing the requested changes	01/13; Setting Local
	from the Parish Council.	Speed Limits.
		In terms of 30-mph
	The Council understands that	speed limits in rural
	you wish to move the current	villages, this is based
	30mph limit 37 meters. The	upon the criteria set out
	Council does not agree with	in DfT Traffic Advice
	how far you are moving this limit and would strongly ask	Leaflet 01/04; Village Speed Limits.
	for the 30 mph to be moved	specu Lillins.
	halfway down the hill near the	The guidance recognises
	Rectory.	that in rural
		environments there are
	The 40mph limit is currently	often scenarios where
		development extends
		-
	before Boyd's Lane because of the Clack Hill situation. The	beyond those where the
	before Boyd's Lane because of the Clack Hill situation. The Council believes the 40mph	-
	before Boyd's Lane because of the Clack Hill situation. The	beyond those where the criteria for a 30-mph is

for extension, any further reduction will no be considered acceptable from the highway authority.
