

**DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS, STREETSCENE AND BROADBAND – COUNCILLOR JOHN THOMSON**

**HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT SERVICE**

**OFFICER CONTACT:** Stephen Hind 01225 713389 email: steve.hind@wiltshire.gov.uk

**REFERENCE:** HSB-13-14

---

**TRAFFIC CALMING – ABBERD WAY, CALNE**

**Purpose of Report**

1. To consider the points of objection received following the advertisement of the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) associated with the proposed Traffic Calming in Abberd Way, Calne.

**Relevance to the Council's Business Plan**

2. Outcome 3 - Everyone in Wiltshire lives in a high quality environment.  
Outcome 5 – People in Wiltshire have healthy, active and high quality lives.

**Background**

3. Abberd Way has been highlighted as a location for improvement, based on a request from the Sandpit Road Section 106 Working Group. The group was set up to prioritise and spend Section 106 funding obtained from the Sandpit Road development in Calne. This scheme has been developed to slow traffic and improve safety for pedestrians crossing Abberd Way and also as a link in a wider cycle network for the town.
4. The TRO for the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions necessary for the traffic calming has recently been advertised. As a result, one letter of objection has been received, although this objection does not directly relate to the principle of the proposal.

**Main Considerations for the Council**

5. To consider the comment received during the consultation period. A summary of the points of objection are included in **Appendix 1** and officer comments are included in **Appendix 2**.

**Safeguarding Considerations**

6. Not Applicable.

**Public Health Implications**

7. Not Applicable.

**Environmental Impact of the Proposal**

8. The introduction of the traffic calming will involve the installation of traffic islands, a buildout and illuminated bollards, together with some coloured surfacing. This will have an impact on the visual aspect but has to be balanced against safety.

### **Equalities Impact of the Proposal**

9. Not Applicable.

### **Risk Assessment**

10. If schemes, programmed for design or delivery are not progressed, the Council risks the potential of lost budget.

### **Financial Implications**

11. If a decision is taken to delay the current proposal, this may result in the loss of the budget.

### **Legal Implications**

12. There are no legal implications.

### **Options Considered**

13. To:
- (i) Implement the proposal as advertised.
  - (ii) Not implement the proposal.

### **Reason for Proposal**

14. The introduction of the traffic calming will help to provide safety for pedestrians crossing Abberd Way in the vicinity of Penn Hill Road.

### **Proposal**

15. That:
- (i) The proposals be implemented as advertised.
  - (ii) The objectors be informed accordingly.

---

**The following unpublished documents have been relied on in the preparation of this Report:**

None